

Special values of L-functions 6

——— (φ, Γ) -modules and Galois cohomology

Today, we make a digression on one version of p -adic Hodge theory: (φ, Γ) -modules

Goal: Just enough to understand Coleman's power series (next time)

§1. Galois representations for the Galois group of char p field.

- E field of char $p > 0$, not necessarily perfect (in later example, $E = \mathbb{F}_p((t))$)
- $\text{Gal}_E := \text{Gal}(E^{\text{sep}}/E)$
- $\varphi: E^{\text{sep}} \rightarrow E^{\text{sep}}$ $x \mapsto x^p$ the (arithmetic) Frobenius

Definition A φ -module over E is a finite dim'l E -vector space M together with an

isomorphism $\Phi: M \otimes_{E, \varphi} E \xrightarrow{\sim} M$

(This is equivalent to give $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$ semilinear: i.e. $\varphi(am) = \varphi(a)\varphi(m)$

+ matrix for φ being nondegenerate.)

Theorem. We have an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{Gal}_E) \longleftrightarrow \varphi\text{-Mod}/E$$

$$V \longmapsto D(V) = (V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} E^{\text{sep}})^{\text{Gal}_E}$$

$$V(D) := (D \otimes_E E^{\text{sep}})^{\varphi=1} \longleftrightarrow D$$

Compatible with tensors and duals, + preserving dimensions

Proof: ① Let $d := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$.

Claim There is an E^{sep} -linear isomorphism $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} E^{\text{sep}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (E^{\text{sep}})^{\oplus d}$

equivariant for Gal_E -actions

" E^{sep} eats up all actions on V "

$$\begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \text{Gal}_E \end{matrix} \qquad \qquad \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \text{Gal}_E \end{matrix}$$

Proof: Pick a basis of $V \rightsquigarrow$ let $a_g \in \text{GL}_d(E) \subseteq \text{GL}_d(E^{\text{sep}})$ for the matrix of $g \in \text{Gal}_E$

$$\text{Then } a_{gh} = a_g \cdot g(a_h)$$

So this defines a 1-cocycle in $Z^1(\text{Gal}_E, \text{GL}_d(E^{\text{sep}}))$

By Hilbert 90, $H^1(\text{Gal}_E, \text{GL}_d(E^{\text{sep}})) = \{1\}$

$$\Rightarrow \exists b \in \text{GL}_d(E^{\text{sep}}) \text{ s.t. } a_g = b^{-1}g(b).$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{w.r.t. basis } (e_1, \dots, e_b) b^{-1}, \quad g(e_i b) = e_i a_g g(b)^{-1} = e_i b^{-1} \quad \square$$

So $\mathbb{D}(V) = (V \otimes_E E^{\text{sep}})^{\text{Gal}_E} \simeq E^d$; it carries an action from φ . Also $\mathbb{D}(V) \otimes_E E^{\text{sep}} \simeq V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} E^{\text{sep}}$.

② Conversely, if $d = \dim D$ for $D \in \mathcal{G}\text{-Mod}/E$,

$$\text{WTS } \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (D \otimes_E E^{\text{sep}})^{\varphi=1} = d.$$

Pick a basis of D/E , and write $P \in \text{GL}_n(E)$ for matrix of φ .

Want: $\underline{v} \in (E^{\text{sep}})^n$ s.t. $P \cdot \varphi(\underline{v}) = \underline{v}$ or $\varphi(\underline{v}) - P^{-1}\underline{v} = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_d \end{pmatrix} - P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_d \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow E^{\text{sep}}$ -points of the algebra $E[v_1, \dots, v_n] / \langle \varphi(\underline{v}) - P^{-1}(\underline{v}) \rangle$

This is a finite étale algebra by Jacobian criterion

So, has p^d -solutions $\Rightarrow D \otimes_E E^{\text{sep}} \simeq (E^{\text{sep}})^d$ as φ -modules

In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (D \otimes_E E^{\text{sep}})^{\varphi=1} = d$, and $D \otimes_E E^{\text{sep}} \simeq V(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} E^{\text{sep}}$

Mutual inverses: $\mathbb{D}(V(D)) = (V(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} E^{\text{sep}})^{\text{Gal}_E} = (D \otimes_E E^{\text{sep}})^{\text{Gal}_E} = D$

$$V(D(V)) = (D(V) \otimes_E E^{\text{sep}})^{\varphi=1} = (V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} E^{\text{sep}})^{\varphi=1} = V. \quad \square$$

Definition A Cohen ring C_E of a field E of $\text{char } p$ is a complete DVR with residue field k s.t. p is a uniformizer. (In later example, $C_E \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := \mathbb{Z}_p((\tau))^{\wedge, p\text{-adic}}$)

Remark A Cohen ring exists but may not be unique if E is not perfect.

Notation. Let G_E be a Cohen ring which admits a lift φ of the Frobenius on E .
 (In later examples, $\varphi(T) = (1+T)^p - 1$.)

Then \exists max unram ext'n C_E^{ur} of C_E s.t. $C_E^{\text{ur}}/\mathfrak{p}) = E^{\text{sep}}$ (b/c (C_E/\mathfrak{p}) is Henselian)

Theorem. There is an equivalence of tensor categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Gal}_E) & \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}\text{-Mod}^{\text{et}}/C_E \\
 \text{need not be free }/\mathbb{Z}_p & \nearrow V \mapsto D(V) = (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{C}_E^{\text{ur}})^{\text{Gal}_E} & \text{need not be free }/C_E \\
 & \downarrow D \mapsto V(D) = (D \otimes_{C_E} \widehat{C}_E^{\text{ur}})^{\varphi=1} &
 \end{array}$$

Here étale means $\Phi: D \otimes_{C_E, \varphi} C_E \xrightarrow{\sim} D$, or equivalently, $\varphi: D \rightarrow D$ is semilinear, and the matrix for φ belongs to $GL_d(C_E)$. "integrally invertible"

Interpretation of Galois cohomology

For $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Gal}_E)$, we can compute its Galois cohomology $H^i(\text{Gal}_E, V)$ using (φ, Γ) -modules.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow E^{\text{sep}} \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} E^{\text{sep}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{Artin-Schreier exact sequence})$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}_E^{\text{ur}}} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \widehat{\mathcal{C}_E^{\text{ur}}} \rightarrow 0$$

$$V \xrightarrow{\cong} 0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{C_E^{\text{ur}}} \xrightarrow{g_*} V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{C_E^{\text{ur}}} \rightarrow 0$$

Note : $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathbb{G}_E^{\text{ur}}} \cong D(v) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathbb{G}_E^{\text{ur}}} \hookrightarrow$ is a "regular representation of $\text{Gal}(E^{\text{sep}}/E)$

Taking Galois cohomology \Rightarrow

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(G_{\bar{E}}, V) \xrightarrow{\delta} (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{C}_{\bar{E}})^{Gal_{\bar{E}}} \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{C}_{\bar{E}})^{Gal_{\bar{E}}} \rightarrow H^1(G_{\bar{E}}, V) \rightarrow 0$$

\Downarrow

$$\mathbb{D}(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} \mathbb{D}(V)$$

Fact: $R\Gamma(G_{\bar{E}}, v) \cong [\mathbb{D}(v) \xrightarrow{\Psi-1} \mathbb{D}(v)]$

§2 (φ, Γ) -modules vs. representations of $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Big Key Fact

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p & & \mathbb{F}_p((\tau))^{\text{sep}} \\ | &) H_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}_p((t))} (| \\ \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}) & & \mathbb{F}_p((\tau)) =: E \\ | &) \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \\ \mathbb{Q}_p & \gamma_a \leftarrow a & \uparrow \Gamma\text{-action } \gamma_a(\tau) = (1+\tau)^a - 1 \end{array}$$

Choose $C_E = A_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := \mathbb{Z}_p((\tau))^{\wedge, p\text{-adic}}$, φ -action on C_E : $\varphi(\tau) = (1+\tau)^p - 1$.

Theorem We have an equivalence of tensor categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(\text{free})}(\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & (\varphi, \Gamma)\text{-Mod}^{\text{et}} / E = \mathbb{F}_p((\tau)) \\ \mathbb{Z}_p & & C_E = A_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \\ V & \mapsto & D(V) := (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ur}})^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \\ (D \otimes_{A_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \widehat{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ur}})^{\varphi=1} & \longleftarrow & D \end{array}$$

Remark: Theory works for p -adic repns of Gal_K for K CDVF of mixed char + perfect residue field.

Will later explain a special case for $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)}$

Definition An étale (φ, Γ) -module is a finitely generated $A_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\text{-mod } M$, equipped with continuous semilinear Γ -action ($\gamma(am) = \gamma(a)\gamma(m)$), and a Γ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\Phi: M \otimes_{A_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \varphi} A_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$$

(étale \Leftrightarrow matrix for φ -action is integrally invertible.)

Example: Let \mathcal{O} = ring of integers in a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .

If $\chi: \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ab}} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ continuous character of $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$,
want to compute $D(\chi)$. ↑ geometric Frobenius ϕ

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \chi(\varphi) = 1. \quad D(\chi) = \left(\mathcal{O} \cdot e_\chi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ur}} \right)^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = A_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cdot e_\chi$$

$$g(e_\chi) = e_\chi, \quad \gamma_a(e_\chi) = \chi(a)e_\chi$$

② $\chi(p)$ general

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram showing the relationship between } H_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{ and } H_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}} \text{ via } \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}) \text{ and } \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}(\mu_{p^\infty}). \\ \text{The top row shows } H_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}} \xrightarrow{\text{inert}} I_E \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}{\mathbb{F}_p((t))} \right)^{\text{sep}}. \\ \text{The bottom row shows } \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}} \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}} E = \mathbb{F}_p((t)). \end{array}$$

$$D(\chi) = (\mathcal{O} \cdot e_\chi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ur}})^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = (\mathcal{O} \cdot e_\chi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p((T))}^{\text{p-adic}})^{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

Hilbert 90' for $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p$ or $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{ur}}}/\mathbb{Z}_p$ \Rightarrow

$\exists \lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{ur}}}$ s.t. for geom. Frobenius $\phi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$,

$$\phi(\lambda) = \chi(p)^{-1} \cdot \lambda$$

$$\text{Then } \phi(e_\chi \otimes \lambda) = \chi(p) e_\chi \otimes \chi(p)^{-1} \cdot \lambda = e_\chi \otimes \lambda$$

$$\text{So } D(\chi) = A_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathcal{O}} \cdot (e_\chi \otimes \lambda)$$

$$g(e_\chi \otimes \lambda) = e_\chi \otimes \phi^{-1}(\lambda) = \chi(p) \cdot e_\chi \otimes \lambda, \quad \gamma_a(e_\chi \otimes \lambda) = \chi(a) e_\chi \otimes \lambda.$$

$\uparrow \phi^{-1}$ is arithmetic Frobenius

Conclusion: The (g, Γ) -module attached to the Galois character

$$\chi : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ab}} \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times \text{ is}$$

$$A_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\chi) := A_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathcal{O}} \cdot e, \quad g(e) = \chi(p) e, \quad \gamma_a(e) = \chi(a) e$$

Remark: Although g looks like an arithmetic Frobenius, it's information \leftrightarrow geometric Frob.

§3 Galois cohomology in terms of (g, Γ) -modules

Keep the notation as above. Let $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram showing the relationship between } H_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{ and } H_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}} \text{ via } \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}) \text{ and } \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}. \\ \text{The top row shows } H_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}} \xrightarrow{\text{Serre spectral sequence}} H^i(\Gamma, H^j(H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, V)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, V). \\ \text{The bottom row shows } \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}} \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}}} E = \mathbb{F}_p((t)). \end{array}$$

A better way: let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be a topological generator

$$R\Gamma(H_{Q_p}, V) \cong [D(V) \xrightarrow{\Psi^{-1}} D(V)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(G_{Q_p}, V) &\simeq R\Gamma(\Gamma, R\Gamma(H_{Q_p}, V)) \\ &= R\Gamma\left(\Gamma, [D(V) \xrightarrow{\Psi^{-1}} D(V)]\right) \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c} D(V) \xrightarrow{\Psi^{-1}} D(V) \\ \downarrow \gamma^{-1} \quad \downarrow \gamma^{-1} \\ D(V) \xrightarrow{\Psi^{-1}} D(V) \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$C_{\varphi, \gamma} : D(V) \xrightarrow{(\varphi^{-1}, \gamma^{-1})} D(V) \oplus D(V) \xrightarrow{(\gamma^{-1}, 1-\varphi)} D(V) \quad \text{Herr's complex.}$$

$$x \longmapsto ((\varphi^{-1})x, (\gamma^{-1})x)$$

$$(x, y) \longmapsto (\gamma^{-1})x + (1-\varphi)y$$

$$\text{Its cohomology } H^i_{\varphi, \Gamma}(D(V)) \cong H^i(G_{Q_p}, V).$$

A nontrivial feature: ψ -operator!

$$\text{Recall: } \varphi : A_{Q_p} = \mathbb{Z}_p((T))^{\wedge, p\text{-adic}} \hookrightarrow A_{Q_p} \quad \varphi(T) = (1+T)^p - 1$$

There is a canonical decomposition as $\varphi(A_{Q_p})$ -modules

$$A_{Q_p} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} (1+T)^i \varphi(A_{Q_p}) \quad (*)$$

Now, for a (φ, Γ) -module D over A_{Q_p} , we may tensor $(*)$ with D over $\varphi(A_{Q_p})$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} D \otimes_{A_{Q_p}, \varphi} A_{Q_p} &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} (1+T)^i \varphi(A_{Q_p}) \otimes_{\varphi(A_{Q_p})} A_{Q_p} \\ &\stackrel{\text{HS}}{\simeq} \\ D &\stackrel{\textcolor{violet}{\sim}}{\simeq} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} (1+T)^i \varphi(D) \end{aligned}$$

So, every element $x \in D$ can be written uniquely as $x = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (1+T)^i \varphi(x_i)$

Define $\psi(x) := x_0$; it is Γ -equivariant.

Theorem. $H^i(G_{Q_p}, V)$ can be computed using (ψ, Γ) -cohomology

$$C_{\psi,\gamma}(v) : \mathbb{D}(v) \xrightarrow{(\psi-1, \gamma-1)} \mathbb{D}(v) \oplus \mathbb{D}(v) \xrightarrow{(\gamma-1, 1-\psi)} \mathbb{D}(v).$$

Proof:

$$\mathbb{D}(v)^{\psi=0} \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} \mathbb{D}^{\psi=0}$$

$$C_{\varphi,\gamma}(v) : \mathbb{D}(v) \xrightarrow{(\varphi-1, \gamma-1)} \mathbb{D}(v) \oplus \mathbb{D}(v) \xrightarrow{(\gamma-1, 1-\varphi)} \mathbb{D}(v).$$

$$\parallel \quad -\psi \downarrow \quad \parallel \quad -\psi \downarrow$$

$$C_{\psi,\gamma}(v) : \mathbb{D}(v) \xrightarrow{(\psi-1, \gamma-1)} \mathbb{D}(v) \oplus \mathbb{D}(v) \xrightarrow{(\gamma-1, 1-\psi)} \mathbb{D}(v).$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad -\psi(\varphi-1) = -1 + \psi$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \gamma-1 \text{ acts invertibly on } \mathbb{D}(v)^{\psi=0} \quad \square$$

Upshot: ψ -operator (not linear!) behaves much better for cohomology theory.