

p -ADIC HODGE PARAMETERS IN THE CRYSTABELLINE REPRESENTATIONS OF GL_n

YIWEN DING

ABSTRACT. Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , and ρ be an n -dimensional (non-critical generic) crystabelline representation of the absolute Galois group of K of regular Hodge-Tate weights. We associate to ρ an explicit locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation $\pi_1(\rho)$ of $GL_n(K)$, which encodes some p -adic Hodge parameters of ρ . When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, it encodes the full information hence reciprocally determines ρ . When ρ is associated to p -adic automorphic representations, we show under mild hypotheses that $\pi_1(\rho)$ is a subrepresentation of the $GL_n(K)$ -representation globally associated to ρ .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgement	10
2. Hodge filtration and higher intertwining	10
2.1. Notation and preliminaries	10
2.2. A reinterpretation of Hodge parameters	12
2.3. Deformations of crystabelline (φ, Γ) -modules	17
2.4. Hodge filtration and higher intertwining	27
3. Locally analytic crystabelline representations of $GL_n(K)$	35
3.1. Locally analytic representations of $GL_n(K)$ and extensions	35
3.2. Hodge parameters in $GL_n(K)$ -representations	51
4. Local-global compatibility	63
4.1. The patched setting	63
4.2. Some other cases	69
References	77

1. INTRODUCTION

The locally analytic p -adic Langlands program for $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ aims at building a correspondence between n -dimensional p -adic continuous representations of the

absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of \mathbb{Q}_p and certain locally analytic representations of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. In particular, it is expected to match the parameters on both sides via the conjectural correspondence.

On the Galois side, the p -adic $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -representations are central objects in the p -adic Hodge theory, and are classified by Fontaine's theory. Among these representations, the de Rham ones are particularly important, as they include those arising from geometry ([42]). The p -adic Langlands program for de Rham representations is expected to be compatible with the classical local Langlands correspondence (e.g. see [23]). More precisely, by Fontaine's theory, for a de Rham representation ρ over a p -adic field E , one can associate an n -dimensional Weil-Deligne representation \mathbf{r} , which furthermore corresponds, via the classical local Langlands correspondence, to an irreducible smooth representation $\pi_{\text{sm}}(\mathbf{r})$ of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over E . If ρ has regular Hodge-Tate weights $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$, then the locally algebraic representation

$$\pi_{\text{alg}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{h}) := \pi_{\text{sm}}(\mathbf{r}) \otimes_E L(\mathbf{h} - \theta)$$

is expected to be the locally algebraic subrepresentation of the conjectural locally analytic representation $\pi^?(\rho)$ associated to ρ , where $\theta = (0, -1, \dots, 1 - n)$ and $L(\mathbf{h} - \theta)$ is the algebraic representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of highest weight $\mathbf{h} - \theta$. One can clearly recover \mathbf{r} (up to F -semi-simplification) and \mathbf{h} from the representation of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{h})$. However, passing from ρ to (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{h}) , one loses the information of Hodge filtration of ρ . A fundamental question in the p -adic Langlands program is to find the missing information on Hodge filtration on the automorphic side, say, in the conjectural locally analytic representation $\pi^?(\rho)$. After the pioneer work of Breuil ([9][11]), the question was settled for $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by Colmez, establishing the p -adic Langlands correspondence ([29]). It remains quite mysterious for general $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. In this paper, we address the question for (non-critical generic) crystabelline $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -representations ρ , those that become crystalline when restricted to the absolute Galois group of a certain abelian extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .

For simplicity, we assume in the introduction that ρ itself is crystalline. Then by Fontaine's theory, ρ is equivalent to the associated filtered φ -module $D_{\text{cris}}(\rho)$. We assume the φ -action is *generic* (and we simply call such ρ generic), which means the φ -eigenvalues $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_i)$ on $D_{\text{cris}}(\rho)$ are distinct, and $\alpha_i \alpha_j^{-1} \neq p$ for $i \neq j$. In this case, $\mathbf{r} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{unr}(\alpha_i)$ and we denote \mathbf{r} by $\underline{\alpha}$. The classical local Langlands correspondence in this case is simply given by

$$\pi_{\text{sm}}(\underline{\alpha}) \cong (\text{Ind}_{B^-(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \text{unr}(\underline{\alpha})\eta)^\infty$$

where $\text{unr}(\underline{\alpha}) = \text{unr}(\alpha_1) \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \text{unr}(\alpha_n)$, $\eta = 1 \boxtimes |\cdot| \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes |\cdot|^{n-1}$ are unramified characters of $T(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and B^- is the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices.

Let Fil_H^\bullet denote the Hodge filtration, which is a complete flag in $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(\rho)$ as \mathbf{h} is regular. Let $e_i \in D_{\mathrm{cris}}(\rho)$ be an eigenvector for α_i . Under the basis $\{e_i\}$, Fil_H^\bullet is parametrized by an element in $T \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n / B$, which we call the p -adic Hodge parameter of ρ . Recall that ρ is called *non-critical* if Fil_H^\bullet is in a relative general position with respect to all the $n!$ φ -stable (complete) flags. When $n = 2$, $T \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2 / B$ is a finite set of cardinality 3. So there are at most 3 isomorphism classes¹ of ρ , distinguished by the relative position of Fil_H^\bullet with the two φ -stable flags. The information is reflected by the extra socle phenomenon on the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -side. In this context, Breuil formulated a conjecture concerning the locally analytic socle of GL_n , which characterizes the relative positions of Fil_H^\bullet with the φ -stable flags. The conjecture was subsequently proved (under Taylor-Wiles hypotheses) by Breuil-Hellmann-Schraen ([20]). However, a significant difference between the cases $n = 2$ and $n \geq 3$ lies in the extra parameters for non-critical ρ (with fixed $(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$): when $n = 2$, the non-critical ρ is unique, whereas for $n \geq 3$, there are additional (new) parameters for non-critical ρ (as $T \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n / B$ is now an infinite set). We refer to Example 2.9 for a concrete example of $n = 3$.

In the paper, we reveal these p -adic Hodge parameters on the $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -side. It turns out it is convenient to work with (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring instead of Galois representations. Denote by $\Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ the set of isomorphism classes of non-critical crystalline (φ, Γ) -modules overlying $\underline{\alpha}$ of regular Hodge-Tate weights \mathbf{h} . Under the basis of φ -eigenvectors $\{e_i\}$ in the precedent paragraph (noting that $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(D) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ee_i$, as φ -module, for all $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$), the set $\Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ can be identified with a Zariski open subset of $T \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n / B$. For each $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, we associate an explicit locally analytic $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation $\pi_1(D)$ (see Theorem 1.3 below for the construction). We have:

Theorem 1.1. (1) (*Local correspondence*) For $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, $\mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \pi_1(D) \cong \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, and $\pi_1(D) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus(2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1)}$. Moreover, for $D' \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, $\pi_1(D) \cong \pi_1(D')$ if and only if $D' \cong D$.

(2) (*Local-global compatibility*) Suppose ρ is automorphic for the setting of [24] (or the setting in § 4.2.2), and let $\widehat{\pi}(\rho)$ be the unitary Banach representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (globally) associated to ρ . Assume $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho) \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$. Then for $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$,

$$\pi_1(D) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\pi}(\rho)^{\mathrm{an}} \text{ if and only if } D \cong D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho).$$

In particular, $\widehat{\pi}(\rho)^{\mathrm{an}}$ determines ρ .²

¹The étaleness of ρ will imply that some of these classes may not occur. In most general cases, there is typically a unique isomorphism class. But note if we relax the étaleness condition, and consider crystalline (φ, Γ) -modules instead of ρ , all these classes can appear.

²Note that the information that $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho)$ is non-critical is determined by $\widehat{\pi}(\rho)^{\mathrm{an}}$ by [12, Thm. 9.3].

The quotient $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus(2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1)}$ of $\pi_1(D)$ appears in the “third” layer in its socle filtration. Let $\pi_{\text{min}}(D)$ be the minimal subrepresentation of $\pi_1(D)$ such that the composition $\pi_{\text{min}}(D) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(D) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus(2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1)}$ is surjective. The representation $\pi_{\text{min}}(D)$ has a much cleaner structure. For example, its socle filtration has only three grades (see § 3.2). Note that one can replace everywhere $\pi_1(D)$ in the statements by $\pi_{\text{min}}(D)$. The extra locally algebraic constituents in the cosocle of $\pi_1(D)$ were unexpected, not to mention their huge multiplicity. It is one of the reasons why it took a long time to find the Hodge parameters. In fact, the work grows out from the finding of such extra constituents while excluding such constituents for GL_2 in [36]. We remark that the existence of the extra locally algebraic constituent was first proved by Hellmann-Hernandez-Schraen in the split case for $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ([45]).

For a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p , we also construct a locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation $\pi_1(D)$ of $\text{GL}_n(K)$ such that $\text{soc}_{\text{GL}_n(K)} \pi_1(D) \cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ and $\pi_1(D) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus(2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1)[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$. The local-global compatibility result still holds. But a major difference is that when $K \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\pi_1(D)$ just determines the filtered φ^f -module $D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma$ (where f is the unramified degree of K over \mathbb{Q}_p) for each embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow E$ rather than D itself. For example, when $n = 2$, $\pi_1(D)$ are all isomorphic (for different $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$) but there are still extra parameters, see for example [10, § 3] [36, Conj. 1.7].

We make a few additional remarks on Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.2. (1) *Very little was known about such a local correspondence when $n \geq 3$. We highlight some related results. When $n = 3$, in [15], we showed how to recover the Hodge parameters in the semi-stable non-crystalline case (given by the Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariants) in the locally analytic $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representations and proved a local-global compatibility result in the ordinary case. When the Weil-Deligne representation \mathbf{r} associated to ρ is indecomposable, the (largely open) conjecture on Ext^1 in [14] (see also [16]) suggests a way to recover the p -adic Hodge parameters on the automorphic side. In contrast, the (non-critical) crystalline case was somewhat more mysterious, as such parameters are entirely new for $n \geq 3$. We finally mention that the results for $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ were presented in the note [35] (not intended for publication), which may help readers quickly understand the story.*

(2) *The phenomenon where the Hodge parameters lie in the extension group of certain locally algebraic representation by certain locally analytic representation traces back to Breuil’s initializing work in [9].*

(3) *Similar results are also obtained in the patched setting. Let Π_∞ be the patched Banach representation over the patched Galois deformation ring R_∞ of*

[24]. We show that if there is a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_ρ of $R_\infty[1/p]$ associated to ρ such that $\Pi_\infty[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]^{\mathrm{alg}} \neq 0$, then for $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, $\pi_1(D) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$ if and only if $D \cong D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho)$.

(4) We finally remark the representation $\pi_1(D)$ should still be far from the final complete locally analytic $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation associated to D (so we choose not to use the notation $\pi(D)$).

We now give the construction of $\pi_1(D)$. We first look at the Galois side. For each $w \in S_n$, let $\mathrm{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$ be the extension group of trianguline deformations of D with respect to the refinement $w(\underline{\alpha})$ (see the discussion above (2.12)). Recall there is a natural (weight) map $\kappa_w : \mathrm{Ext}_w^1(D, D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(T(\mathbb{Q}_p), E)$, sending \tilde{D} to ψ such that \tilde{D} is trianguline with parameter $\mathrm{unr}(w(\underline{\alpha}))z^{\mathbf{h}}(1 + \psi\epsilon)$ (that is a character of $T(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$). The map κ_w is surjective (e.g. see [3, Prop. 2.3.10]). One can show that $\mathrm{Ker} \kappa_w$, as a subspace of $\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D)$, is independent of the choice of w , denoted by $\mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D)$ (cf. Lemma 2.11). For a subspace $\mathrm{Ext}_?^1(D, D) \subset \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D)$ containing $\mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D)$, set

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_?^1(D, D) := \mathrm{Ext}_?^1(D, D) / \mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D).$$

We have hence a bijection

$$\kappa_w : \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(T(\mathbb{Q}_p), E).$$

By [27], the following ‘‘amalgamating’’ map is surjective (see also [49] [44], noting it is already surjective before quotienting by $\mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D)$ on both sides)

$$(1.1) \quad \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D).$$

Remark that here we use that all the refinements of D are non-critical.

Now we look at the $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -side. For each w , consider the locally analytic principal series $\mathrm{PS}(w, \underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}) := (\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathrm{unr}(w(\underline{\alpha}))z^{\mathbf{h}}\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta)^{\mathrm{an}}$, where ε denotes the cyclotomic character. The explicit structure of $\mathrm{PS}(w, \underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ is well-understood by Orlik-Strauch ([58]). For example, $\mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathrm{PS}(w, \underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}) \cong \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, which has multiplicity one as irreducible constituent of $\mathrm{PS}(w, \underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$. For $w \in S_n$, consider the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_w : \mathrm{Hom}(T(\mathbb{Q}_p), E) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\mathrm{PS}(w, \underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \mathrm{PS}(w, \underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \mathrm{PS}(w, \underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})), \end{aligned}$$

where the first map sends ψ to $(\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathrm{unr}(w(\underline{\alpha}))z^{\mathbf{h}}(\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta)(1 + \psi\epsilon))^{\mathrm{an}}$, and the second map is the natural pull-back map. Using Schraen’s spectral sequence

([62, (4.37)]), one can show that ζ_w is in fact bijective. Now we amalgamate these principal series: let $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ be the unique quotient of the amalgamation $\bigoplus_{\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \lambda)}^{w \in S_n} \text{PS}(w, \underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ of socle $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \lambda)$ (which was introduced and denoted by $\pi(D)^{\text{fs}}$ in [21, Def. 5.7]). For each $w \in S_n$, there is a natural injection $\text{PS}(w, \underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ which induces an injection

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}(w, \underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})).$$

We denote by $\text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}))$ its image. The following ‘‘amalgamating’’ map is also surjective (see Proposition 3.8 (2) and compare with (1.1)):

$$(1.2) \quad \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})).$$

The following theorem is crucial in the paper:

Theorem 1.3 (cf. Theorem 3.21, Theorem 3.34). *(1) For $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, there is a unique (surjective) map $t_D : \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D)$ such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \overline{\text{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(\zeta_w \circ \kappa_w)} & \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})) \\ \text{(1.1)} \downarrow & & \text{(1.2)} \downarrow \\ \overline{\text{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D) & \xleftarrow{t_D} & \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})). \end{array}$$

Moreover, $\dim_E \overline{\text{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + n$, $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})) = 2^n + n - 1$ hence $\dim_E \text{Ker}(t_D) = 2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1$.

(2) For $D, D' \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, $\text{Ker}(t_D) = \text{Ker}(t_{D'})$ if and only if $D \cong D'$.

Remark 1.4. *Consider the composition*

$$(1.3) \quad \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Hom}(T(\mathbb{Q}_p), E) \xrightarrow[\sim]{(\kappa_w^{-1})} \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \overline{\text{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \xrightarrow{\text{(1.1)}} \overline{\text{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D).$$

By Theorem 1.3 (1), the map (1.2) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\text{1.2}) \longrightarrow (\zeta_w)_{w \in S_n}(\text{Ker}(\text{1.3})) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(t_D) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As the maps (1.2) and ζ_w 's are all independent of $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, Theorem 1.3 (2) implies that $\text{Ker}(\text{1.3})$ also determines D . This fact (purely on Galois side) is of interest on its own right.

The representation $\pi_1(D)$ is then defined to be the (tautological) extension of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_E \text{Ker}(t_D) \cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus(2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1)}$ by $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$. More precisely, choosing a basis $\{v_i\}$ of $\text{Ker}(t_D)$ with $\mathcal{E}(v_i)$ the associated extension of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ by $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, $\pi_1(D)$ is the amalgamated sum of these $\mathcal{E}(v_i)$ along $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, which is clearly independent of the choice of $\{v_i\}$. The structure of $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ is complicated (see for example [21, § 5.3]). However, the theorem actually holds with $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ replaced by its subrepresentation given by the first two layers in its socle filtration, which has a much easier and cleaner structure, see Theorem 3.21 and § 3.1.2. The extension of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_E \text{Ker}(t_D)$ by this subrepresentation actually gives $\pi_{\text{min}}(D)$ in the discussion below Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.1 (1) is then a direct consequence of Theorem 1.3.

One can deduce from Theorem 1.3 (1):

Corollary 1.5 (cf. Corollary 3.25). *The map t_D induces a bijection*

$$(1.4) \quad t_D : \text{Ext}_{GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(D)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D).$$

Before discussing the proof of Theorem 1.3, we first explain the proof of the local-global compatibility (Theorem 1.1 (2)). For this, we will use an alternative formulation of Theorem 1.3 given as follows. Let π^{univ} (resp. π_w^{univ}) be the (universal) extension of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_E \text{Ext}_{GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}))$ (resp. of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_E \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}))$) by $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ (defined in a similar way as in the discussion below Theorem 1.3). By (1.2), π^{univ} is generated by all the subrepresentations π_w^{univ} for $w \in S_n$. On the Galois side, let R_D be the universal deformation ring of deformations of D over Artinian local E -algebras and \mathfrak{m} be its maximal ideal. The quotient $\overline{\text{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D)$ corresponds to a local Artinian E -subalgebra A_D of R_D/\mathfrak{m}^2 , and $\overline{\text{Ext}}_w^1(D, D)$ corresponds to a quotient $A_{D,w}$ of A_D . Using the isomorphism $\zeta_w \circ \kappa_w$, there exists a natural action of $A_{D,w}$ on π_w^{univ} such that $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{A_{D,w}}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_{D,w}}^2 \cong \overline{\text{Ext}}_w^1(D, D)^\vee \cong \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}))^\vee$ acts via

$$\pi_w^{\text{univ}} \longrightarrow \pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_E \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{x} \pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}) \longleftarrow \pi_w^{\text{univ}}.$$

The following corollary gives a reformulation of Theorem 1.3 (1).

Corollary 1.6 (cf. Theorem 3.36, Corollary 3.37). *There exists a unique action of A_D on π^{univ} such that for each $w \in S_n$, the A_D -action on its subrepresentation π_w^{univ} factors through the natural $A_{D,w}$ -action. Moreover, we have $\pi_1(D) \cong \pi^{\text{univ}}[\mathfrak{m}_{A_D}]$.*

Suppose we are in the patched setting as in Remark 1.2 (4), and let $D = D_{\text{rig}}(\rho)$. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of $R_\infty[1/p]$ with $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{m}_\rho^2$ (cf. Remark 1.2 (3)) such

that the composition $A_D \rightarrow R_D/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow R_\infty[1/p]/\mathfrak{a}$ is an isomorphism (see the discussion below (4.3)). Working with the patched eigenvariety of [19], and using Emerton’s adjunction formula [39], we can obtain $A_D \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant injections $\pi_w^{\mathrm{univ}} \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty[\mathfrak{a}]$ for all $w \in S_n$, where the A_D -action on the right hand side comes from the R_∞ -action (noting R_D is isomorphic to the universal Galois deformation ring of ρ). These injections “amalgamate” to an $A_D \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant injection

$$(1.5) \quad \pi^{\mathrm{univ}} \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty[\mathfrak{a}].$$

By Corollary 1.6, it induces an injection $\iota : \pi_1(D) \cong \pi^{\mathrm{univ}}[\mathfrak{m}_{A_D}] \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty[\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}_{A_D}] \cong \Pi_\infty[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$. Now for $D' \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, if $\pi_1(D') \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$, one can prove (cf. the proof of Corollary 4.8) that it factors through the injection (1.5), i.e. we have $\pi_1(D') \hookrightarrow \pi^{\mathrm{univ}} \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty[\mathfrak{a}]$. As $A_D (\hookrightarrow R_D/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ acts on $\Pi_\infty[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$ hence on its sub $\pi_1(D')$ via A_D/\mathfrak{m}_{A_D} and (1.5) is A_D -equivariant, $\pi_1(D') \hookrightarrow \pi^{\mathrm{univ}}$ has image contained in $\pi^{\mathrm{univ}}[\mathfrak{m}_{A_D}] \cong \pi_1(D)$. Since $\pi_1(D')$ and $\pi_1(D)$ have the same irreducible constituents with the same multiplicities, this implies $\pi_1(D') \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(D)$.

We now discuss the proof of Theorem 1.3. First, the case of $n = 2$ is clear, as now $\#\Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}) = 1$, t_D is bijective, and $\pi_1(D) \cong \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ (which is the locally analytic $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation associated to D , see [51] [30]). For general $n \geq 3$, we use an induction argument. For simplicity, in the rest of the introduction, we restrict to the case of $n = 3$. This case already presents the key arguments. Let D_1 (resp. C_1) be the (unique) non-critical (φ, Γ) -module of rank 2 over \mathcal{R}_E of refinement $\underline{\alpha}^1 := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and of Hodge-Tate weights $\mathbf{h}^1 := (h_1 > h_2)$ (resp. $\mathbf{h}^2 := (h_2 > h_3)$). Then for any $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, D admits two filtrations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} : 0 &\longrightarrow D_1 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_E(\mathrm{unr}(\alpha_3)z^{h_3}) \longrightarrow 0, \\ \mathcal{G} : 0 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_E(\mathrm{unr}(\alpha_3)z^{h_1}) \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as in (1.1) by considering the paraboline deformations with respect to \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , we have a natural map

$$(1.6) \quad f_D = (f_{\mathcal{F}}, f_{\mathcal{G}}) : \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D_1, D_1) \oplus \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(C_1, C_1) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D),$$

sending \tilde{D}_1 (resp. \tilde{C}_1) to a (or any) deformation \tilde{D} of D of the form (whose image in $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D)$ does not depend on the choice): $0 \rightarrow \tilde{D}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\mathrm{unr}(\alpha_3)z^{h_3}) \rightarrow 0$ (resp. $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\mathrm{unr}(\alpha_3)z^{h_1}) \rightarrow \tilde{D} \rightarrow \tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow 0$). The kernel of (1.6) is particularly important for our application. For $(\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{C}_1) \in \mathrm{Ker}(1.6)$, let \tilde{D} be a deformation of D whose image in $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D)$ is equal to

$f_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{D}_1) = -f_{\mathcal{G}}(\tilde{C}_1)$. Then \tilde{D} admits two different parabolic filtrations (of saturated (φ, Γ) -submodules over $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$). We refer to this as a *higher intertwining* property (see § 2.4). The following theorem is purely on Galois side, and follows from an explicit description of $\mathrm{Ker}(1.6)$ together with a reinterpretation of the p -adic Hodge parameters of D given in § 2.2.

Theorem 1.7 (cf. Corollary 2.34). *For $D, D' \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, $D \cong D'$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ker}(f_D) = \mathrm{Ker}(f_{D'})$.*

We move to the automorphic side. Using parabolic inductions, one can show there is a natural map

$$(1.7) \quad \zeta : \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{h}^1), \pi_1(D_1)) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{h}^2), \pi_1(C_1)) \\ \xrightarrow{(\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}, \zeta_{\mathcal{G}})} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_3}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})).$$

For example, $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}$ is constructed using $(\mathrm{Ind}_{P^-}^{\mathrm{GL}_3} - \boxtimes \mathrm{unr}(\alpha_3)\varepsilon^2)^{\mathrm{an}}$, for $P^- = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_2 & 0 \\ * & \mathrm{GL}_1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\zeta_{\mathcal{G}}$ uses $\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_1 & 0 \\ * & \mathrm{GL}_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Moreover, (1.7) is surjective (roughly because $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ can be “amalgamated” from the two corresponding parabolic inductions). We refer to Proposition 3.13 for details.

Now a key fact is that for any $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$, $\mathrm{Ker}(\zeta)$ is sent to $\mathrm{Ker} f_D$ (cf. (1.6)) via the isomorphism for $n = 2$ (cf. (1.4)):

$$t_{D_1, C_1} : \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{h}^1), \pi_1(D_1)) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{h}^2), \pi_1(C_1)) \\ \xrightarrow[\sim]{(t_{D_1}, t_{C_1})} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D_1, D_1) \oplus \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(C_1, C_1).$$

The map t_D in Theorem 1.3 (1) can now be easily constructed: there is a unique map t_D such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{h}^1), \pi_1(D_1)) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{h}^2), \pi_1(C_1)) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_3}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})) \\ t_{D_1, C_1} \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow t_D \\ \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D_1, D_1) \oplus \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(C_1, C_1) & \xrightarrow{f_D} & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D). \end{array}$$

It is not very difficult to check t_D satisfies the properties in Theorem 1.3 (1), and we refer to the proof of Theorem 3.21 for details. Theorem 1.3 (2) is then a consequence of Theorem 1.7, as $\mathrm{Ker}(t_D) = \zeta(t_{D_1, C_1}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ker}(f_D)))$ (noting $t_{D_1, C_1}(\mathrm{Ker}(\zeta)) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(f_{D'})$ for all $D' \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$). Remark the existence of

the extra one copy of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\underline{\alpha}, \mathbf{h})$ in $\pi_1(D)$ (for $n = 3$) then comes from the fact $\dim_E \text{Ker}(f_D) = \dim_E \text{Ker}(1.7) + 1$.

We refer to the the context for the more precise and detailed statements. One main difference from what's discussed in the introduction is that we mainly work with $\pi_{\text{min}}(D)$ instead of $\pi_1(D)$ in the introduction, which has a cleaner structure but requires a bit more on Orlik-Strauch representations.

Acknowledgement. I thank Xiaozheng Han, Zicheng Qian, Zhixiang Wu for helpful discussions. I especially thank Christophe Breuil for the discussions and his interest, which substantially accelerates the work, and for his comments on a preliminary version of the paper. I heartily thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading, and valuable suggestions, which greatly improve the clarity of the paper. This work is supported by the NSFC Grant No. 8200800065, No. 8200907289 and No. 8200908310, and partially supported by the New Cornerstone Science Foundation.

2. HODGE FILTRATION AND HIGHER INTERTWINING

2.1. Notation and preliminaries. Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p containing all the embeddings of K in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Let $\Sigma_K := \{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow E\}$, and $d_K := [K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. For $\mathbf{k} = (k_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma_K}$, denote by $z^{\mathbf{k}} := \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \sigma(z)^{k_\sigma}$ the (\mathbb{Q}_p) -algebraic character of K^\times of weight \mathbf{k} . Let $|\cdot|_K : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ be the unramified character such that $|\varpi_K|_K = p^{-[K_0:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$ for a uniformizer ϖ_K of K , where K_0 is the maximal unramified subextension of K over \mathbb{Q}_p . Let Gal_K be the absolute Galois group of K , and $\varepsilon : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ be the cyclotomic character. We normalize the local class field theory by sending a uniformizer to a (lift of the) geometric Frobenius. In this way, we view ε as a character of K^\times , which is equal to $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\cdot)|\cdot|_K$.

For a locally K -analytic group H (e.g. $H = K^\times$), set $\text{Hom}(H, E)$ to be the E -vector space of locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic E -valued characters on H , $\text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(H, E)$ the subspace of smooth (i.e. locally constant) E -valued characters on H . Let \mathfrak{h} be the Lie algebra of H (over K). For $\chi \in \text{Hom}(H, E)$, by derivation, it induces a \mathbb{Q}_p -linear map $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow E$ hence an E -linear map $d\chi : \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \rightarrow E$. It is clear that $\chi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(H, E)$ if and only if $d\chi = 0$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$, we call χ locally σ -analytic if $d\chi$ factors through $\mathfrak{h} \otimes_{K,\sigma} E \rightarrow E$. Set $\text{Hom}_\sigma(H, E) \subset \text{Hom}(H, E)$ to be the subspace of locally σ -analytic characters. Note we have $\dim_E \text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(K^\times, E) = 1$, $\dim_E \text{Hom}_\sigma(K^\times, E) = 2$ and $\dim_E \text{Hom}(K^\times, E) = 1 + d_K$.

Let $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}$ be the E -coefficient Robba ring for K . For a continuous character $\chi : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$, denote by $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\chi)$ the associated rank one (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}$ (see for example [47, § 6.2]). Note $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\chi)$ is de Rham if and only if χ

is locally algebraic. We write Ext^i (and $\text{Hom} = \text{Ext}^0$) without “ (φ, Γ) ” in the subscript for the i -th extension group of (φ, Γ) -modules (cf. [50]). For de Rham (φ, Γ) -modules M and N , denote by $\text{Ext}_g^1(M, N) \subset \text{Ext}^1(M, N)$ the subspace of de Rham extensions. For a (φ, Γ) -module M , we identify *elements* in $\text{Ext}^1(M, M)$ with deformations of M over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$. Indeed, the $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ -structure on $\widetilde{M} \in \text{Ext}^1(M, M)$ is given by letting ϵ act via $\epsilon : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\text{id}} M \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M}$.

We denote by $W_{\text{dR}}^+(M)$ the (semi-linear) Gal_K -representation over $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ associated to M (cf. [7, Prop. 2.2.6 (2)]). There is a natural decomposition $W_{\text{dR}}^+(M) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} W_{\text{dR}, \sigma}^+(M)$ with respect to $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{K, \sigma} E$. Denote by $D_{\text{dR}}^+(M) := W_{\text{dR}}^+(M)^{\text{Gal}_K} \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} W_{\text{dR}, \sigma}^+(M)^{\text{Gal}_K} =: \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} D_{\text{dR}}^+(M)_{\sigma}$. We will frequently use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E}$, N be a (φ, Γ) -submodule of M such that $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_{K, E}}(N) = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_{K, E}}(M)$. Then there is a natural isomorphism of E -vector spaces: $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(M/N) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\text{Gal}_K, W_{\text{dR}}^+(M)/W_{\text{dR}}^+(N))$. Moreover, when M is de Rham, this isomorphism identifies $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(M/N)$ with $D_{\text{dR}}^+(M)/D_{\text{dR}}^+(N)$.*

Proof. The first part follows from a straightforward generalization of the proof of [16, Lem. 5.1] to finite extensions K of \mathbb{Q}_p . For the second part, applying $(-)^{\text{Gal}_K}$ to the exact sequence of B_{dR}^+ -representations $0 \rightarrow W_{\text{dR}}^+(N) \rightarrow W_{\text{dR}}^+(M) \rightarrow W_{\text{dR}}^+(M)/W_{\text{dR}}^+(N) \rightarrow 0$, it suffices to show the natural map $H^1(\text{Gal}_K, W_{\text{dR}}^+(N)) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}_K, W_{\text{dR}}^+(M))$ is injective. But this follows from [53, Lem. 2.6]. \square

Let M be a crystabelline (φ, Γ) -module of rank d over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E}$. We can associate to M a filtered Deligne-Fontaine module $(D_{\text{pst}}(M), D_{\text{dR}}(M))$ such that

- $D_{\text{pst}}(M) = (W_e(M) \otimes_{B_e} B_{\text{cris}})^{\text{Gal}_{K'}}$ which is free of rank d over $K'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ equipped with a commuting K'_0 -semi-linear action of φ and $\text{Gal}(K'/K)$, K' is an *abelian* extension of K , and K'_0 is the maximal unramified extension of K' (over \mathbb{Q}_p), and where $W_e(M)$ is the $B_e = B_{\text{cris}}^{\varphi=1}$ -representation associated to M ([7, Prop. 2.2.6 (1)]),
- $D_{\text{dR}}(M) := (W_{\text{dR}}^+(M)[1/t])^{\text{Gal}_K} \cong (D_{\text{pst}}(M) \otimes_{K'_0} K')^{\text{Gal}(K'/K)}$ is free of rank d over $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$, equipped with a Hodge filtration Fil_H of $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -submodules (not necessarily free).

By [23, Prop. 4.1], to $D_{\text{pst}}(M)$, one can associate a Weil-Deligne representation $\mathbf{r}(M)$ over E . We call M *generic* if $\mathbf{r}(M)$ generic, which means $\mathbf{r}(M)$ is semi-simple and isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \phi_i$ with $\phi_i \phi_j^{-1} \neq 1, |\cdot|_K$ for $i \neq j$. In fact, M being generic crystabelline is equivalent to the existence of smooth characters ϕ_i for $i = 1, \dots, d$ such that $M[1/t] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{R}_{K, E}(\phi_i)[1/t]$, and $\phi_i \phi_j^{-1} \neq 1, |\cdot|_K$ for $i \neq j$. An ordering of (ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_d) is referred to as a *refinement* of M . Indeed,

an ordering $w(\phi) = (\phi_{w^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \phi_{w^{-1}(d)})$ for $w \in S_d$, corresponds uniquely to a filtration $\mathcal{T}_w = \{\mathcal{T}_w^i\}$, increasing with i , of saturated (φ, Γ) -submodules on M such that $(\text{gr}_{\mathcal{T}_w}^i M)[1/t] \cong \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_{w^{-1}(i)})[1/t]$. We frequently view $w(\phi)$ as a (smooth) character of $T(K)$ (the torus subgroup of $\text{GL}_d(K)$) for any $w \in S_d$. We also call these characters of $T(K)$ refinements of M .

Let $\mathbf{h} := (\mathbf{h}_i)_{i=1, \dots, d} = (\mathbf{h}_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} = (h_{\sigma,1} \geq \dots \geq h_{\sigma,d})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K}$ be the Hodge-Tate-Sen weights of M (normalized such that the weight of the cyclotomic character is 1). Let $w \in S_d$, we call the refinement $w(\phi)$ (or \mathcal{T}_w) *non-critical* if the Hodge-Tate-Sen weights of $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{T}_w}^i M$ are exactly \mathbf{h}_i (which are hence decreasing with growth of i). We call M non-critical, if all the refinements of M are non-critical. We denote by $\Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ the set of isomorphism classes of non-critical crystabelline (φ, Γ) -modules of refinement ϕ and of Hodge-Tate-Sen weights \mathbf{h} . Finally, we say M has *regular* Hodge-Tate-Sen weights if \mathbf{h} is strictly dominant, i.e. $h_{i,\sigma} > h_{i+1,\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$.

Suppose M is generic crystabelline with refinement ϕ . For a subset $\mathbf{r} = \{r_1, \dots, r_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$, denote by $M_{\mathbf{r}}$ (resp. $M^{\mathbf{r}}$) the saturated (φ, Γ) -submodule of M (resp. the quotient of M) which has a refinement given by $(\phi_{r_1}, \dots, \phi_{r_k})$. So $M^{\mathbf{r}} = M/M_{\mathbf{r}^c}$ with $\mathbf{r}^c = \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus \mathbf{r}$. While $M_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $M^{\mathbf{r}}$ depend on the chosen refinement, this will not cause ambiguity: in all instances where they appear, the context will specify the refinement in use. Assuming M is non-critical, $M_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $M^{\mathbf{r}}$ are non-critical as well for any \mathbf{r} (noting any triangulation of $M_{\mathbf{r}}$ or of $M^{\mathbf{r}}$ extends to a triangulation of M). In this case, the Hodge-Tate-Sen weights of $M_{\mathbf{r}}$ (resp. $M^{\mathbf{r}}$) are $(\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_k)$ (resp. $(\mathbf{h}_{d-k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_d)$).

Throughout the paper, we will use $\bullet \dashrightarrow \bullet$ to denote an extension of two objects (such as (φ, Γ) -modules, or $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -representations etc.), where the left object is the sub and the right the quotient.

2.2. A reinterpretation of Hodge parameters. In this section, we give a reinterpretation of (some) p -adic Hodge parameters of a generic non-critical crystabelline (φ, Γ) -module.

Let $\phi = (\phi_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be generic, and $\mathbf{h} = (\mathbf{h}_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} = (\mathbf{h}_i)_{i=1, \dots, n} = (h_{\sigma,1} > h_{\sigma,2} > \dots > h_{\sigma,n})$. Let $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. Let $D_1 := D_{\{1, \dots, n-1\}}$ and $C_1 := D^{\{1, \dots, n-1\}}$, we have two exact sequences:

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow D_1 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_1}) \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let ι_D be the composition $D_1 \hookrightarrow D \twoheadrightarrow C_1$. As $\text{Hom}(D_1, \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_1})) = 0$, ι_D is injective.

Proposition 2.2. (1) We have $\dim_E \operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1) \leq 2$.

(2) We have $\dim_E \operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1) = 2$ if and only if $n \geq 3$, and for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $\mathbf{r} := \{1, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{i\}$, we have $(D_1)^{\mathbf{r}} \cong (C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}$ (for the refinement $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1})$). Moreover, if these hold, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, the composition

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha_i : D_1 \longrightarrow (D_1)^{\mathbf{r}} \cong (C_1)_{\mathbf{r}} \hookrightarrow C_1.$$

are pair-wisely linearly independent as elements in $\operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1)$.

Proof. (1) If $n = 2$, it is clear that $\dim_E \operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1) = 1$. Assume $n \geq 3$, and let $\mathbf{r} = \{1, \dots, n-3\}$, and consider $(C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}$, which is the saturated submodule of C_1 of rank $n-3$ over $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}$ with a refinement $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-3})$. As C_1 is non-critical of Hodge-Tate weights $(\mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_n)$, $(C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}$ is non-critical of Hodge-Tate weights $(\mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{n-2})$. Thus $(C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}$ is isomorphic to a (non-split) successive extension of $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}_{i+1}})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-3$. Consider

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(D_1, (C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1/(C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}).$$

Any map in $\operatorname{Hom}(D_1, (C_1)_{\mathbf{r}})$ clearly factors through $(D_1)^{\mathbf{r}}$, the latter being isomorphic to a (non-split) successive extension of $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}_{i+2}})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-3$. By an easy dévissage, using $h_{\sigma, i+2} < h_{\sigma, i+1}$ and the fact

$$(2.4) \quad \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi'_1 z^{k_1}), \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi'_2 z^{k_2})) = 0 \text{ if } \phi'_1 \neq \phi'_2 \text{ or } k_1 < k_2,$$

we deduce $\operatorname{Hom}((D_1)^{\mathbf{r}}, (C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}) = 0$ hence $\operatorname{Hom}(D_1, (C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}) = 0$. Again by an easy dévissage, we have $\dim_E \operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1/(C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}) = \dim_E \operatorname{Hom}(D_1, (C_1)^{\{n-2, n-3\}}) \leq 2$. Hence $\dim_E \operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1) \leq 2$.

(2) We first prove “if”. As $(D_1)^{\mathbf{r}} \cong (C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}$, it is clear that α_i are well defined (as in (2.3)) and pair-wisely linearly independent. Together with (1), we deduce $\dim_E \operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1) = 2$. Conversely, assume $\operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1) = 2$, and let ι_1, ι_2 be a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1)$. Let i, \mathbf{r} be as in (2). Consider the induced map $f_i : \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}_1}) \hookrightarrow D_1 \xrightarrow{\iota_i} C_1$. As $\dim_E \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), C_1) = 1$, there exists a non-zero linear combination $\iota = a_1 \iota_1 + a_2 \iota_2$ such that $a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 = 0$. So (the non-zero) ι factors through a non-zero map $(D_1)^{\mathbf{r}} \rightarrow C_1$. As both $(D_1)^{\mathbf{r}}$ and C_1 are non-critical, by comparing the weights and using (2.4), we deduce the map has to factor through an isomorphism $(D_1)^{\mathbf{r}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (C_1)_{\mathbf{r}}$. \square

Remark 2.3. By Proposition 2.2 (2), $\operatorname{Hom}(D_1, C_1)$ is always two dimensional when $n = 3$, or $n = 4$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. In general, its dimension may be one or two depending on the specific D_1 and C_1 .

Consider the cup-product

$$(2.5) \quad \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), D_1) \times \mathrm{Hom}(D_1, C_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), C_1).$$

Proposition 2.4. *Under the cup-product, $E[D] \subset [\iota_D]^\perp$, and we have an equality if $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. In particular, when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, D is determined by D_1 , C_1 , ϕ_n and ι_D .*

The last statement in Proposition 2.4 can be formulated precisely as follows: for a crystabelline (φ, Γ) -module D' of rank n over $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}_p, E}$, suppose D_1 is a saturated submodule of D' , C_1 is a quotient of D' and (ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n) is a refinement of D' (noting $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1})$ is already determined by D_1). If the composition $\iota_{D'} : D_1 \hookrightarrow D' \twoheadrightarrow C_1$ is equal to ι_D up to a non-zero scalar, then $D' \cong D$.

Proof. As ι_D factors through D , the map induced by the pairing $\langle -, \iota_D \rangle$ (in (2.5)) is equal to the following composition

$$(2.6) \quad \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), D_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), D) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), C_1).$$

The first map sends $[D]$ to zero, hence $\langle D, \iota_D \rangle = 0$. In fact, by dévissage, the kernel of the composition is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), C_1/D_1)$, which, by Lemma 2.1, is furthermore isomorphic to $D_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(C'_1)/D_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(D'_1)$, where $C'_1 = C_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n^{-1} z^{-\mathbf{h}_n})$ and $D'_1 = D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n^{-1} z^{-\mathbf{h}_n})$. As C'_1 (resp. D'_1) has Hodge-Tate-Sen weights $\{\mathbf{h}_i - \mathbf{h}_n\}_{i=2, \dots, n}$ (resp. $\{\mathbf{h}_i - \mathbf{h}_n\}_{i=1, \dots, n-1}$), we have $\dim_E D_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(C'_1)/D_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(D'_1) = d_K$. In particular, when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, the kernel of (2.6) is exactly generated by $[D]$. This finishes the proof. \square

In the rest of the section, we discuss what information of D can be detected by ι_D for general K . The reader who is mainly interested in the \mathbb{Q}_p -case can skip to the next section. Fix $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$, and define $\mathfrak{T}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})$ to be the weight such that

$$\mathfrak{T}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})_{\tau, i} = \begin{cases} h_{\tau, i} & \tau = \sigma \\ h_{\tau, n} & \tau \neq \sigma \end{cases} \text{ which is in particular constant for } \tau \neq \sigma. \text{ The following}$$

proposition is a direct consequence of [6, Thm. A]. We include a proof (of (1)) using similar arguments as in [36, Lem. 2.1].

Proposition 2.5. (1) *Let $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$, and let $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$. There exists a unique (φ, Γ) -module (up to isomorphism) D_σ over $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}$ such that $D_\sigma[1/t] \cong D[1/t]$, $D \subset D_\sigma$, and the Hodge-Tate weights of D_σ are $\mathfrak{T}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})$.*

(2) *Let D, D_σ be as in (1). The injection $D \hookrightarrow D_\sigma$ induces a natural isomorphism of Deligne-Fontaine modules $D_{\mathrm{pst}}(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\mathrm{pst}}(D_\sigma)$, such that the induced map $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(D) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{dR}}(D_\sigma)$ is a morphism of filtered $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -modules, satisfying $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(D)_\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\mathrm{dR}}(D_\sigma)$ (as filtered E -vector space).*

Proof. Let $(W_e(D), W_{\text{dR}}^+(D))$ be the B -pair associated to D (cf. [7, Thm. A]). By Fontaine's classification of B_{dR} -representations [43, Thm. 3.19], there is a unique $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -representation $\Lambda \cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_K} \Lambda_\tau$ such that $W_{\text{dR}}^+(D) \subset \Lambda \subset W_{\text{dR}}^+(D)[\frac{1}{t}]$

and $\Lambda_\tau \cong \begin{cases} W_{\text{dR}}^+(D)_\tau & \tau = \sigma \\ ({}^t h_{\tau, n} B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{K, \tau} E)^{\oplus n} & \tau \neq \sigma \end{cases}$. Let D_σ be the (φ, Γ) -module associated

to the B -pair $(W_e(D), \Lambda)$. This construction satisfies all the claimed properties in (1). (2) follows from (1) and [6, Thm. A]. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let D, D_σ be as in Proposition 2.5. For each $w \in S_n$, $w(\phi)z^{\mathfrak{I}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})}$ is a trianguline parameter of D_σ .*

Proof. Consider the composition $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_{w^{-1}(1)}z^{\mathbf{h}_1}) \hookrightarrow D \hookrightarrow D_\sigma$. It is not difficult to see the saturation of the image in D_σ is just $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_{w^{-1}(1)}z^{\mathfrak{I}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})_1})$, and we have $D/\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_{w^{-1}(1)}z^{\mathbf{h}_1}) \hookrightarrow D_\sigma/\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_{w^{-1}(1)}z^{\mathfrak{I}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})_1})$. Continuing with the argument, the lemma follows. \square

We have hence a (surjective) map

$$(2.7) \quad \mathfrak{I}_\sigma : \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \longrightarrow \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathfrak{I}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})), \quad D \mapsto D_\sigma.$$

Let $D_{1,\sigma} := (D_\sigma)_{\{1, \dots, n-1\}}$ and $C_{1,\sigma} := (D_\sigma)^{\{1, \dots, n-1\}}$ (for the refinement ϕ). By Lemma 2.6, it is not difficult to see $D_{1,\sigma}$ (resp. $C_{1,\sigma}$) has Hodge-Tate-Sen weights $(\mathfrak{I}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})_1, \dots, \mathfrak{I}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})_{n-1})$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{I}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})_2, \dots, \mathfrak{I}_\sigma(\mathbf{h})_n)$). In fact, we have $D_{1,\sigma} = \mathfrak{I}_\sigma(D_1)$ and $C_{1,\sigma} = \mathfrak{I}_\sigma(C_1)$ (where \mathfrak{I}_σ is defined in a similar way as (2.7)). Consider $\text{Hom}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$. Note it is non-zero as it contains the composition $\iota_{D_\sigma} : D_{1,\sigma} \hookrightarrow D_\sigma \twoheadrightarrow C_{1,\sigma}$. By similar arguments as in Proposition 2.2, we have:

Proposition 2.7. (1) $\dim_E \text{Hom}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) \leq 2$.

(2) *We have $\dim_E \text{Hom}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) = 2$ if and only if $n \geq 3$, and for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $\mathbf{r} := \{1, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{i\}$, we have $(D_{1,\sigma})^{\mathbf{r}} \cong (C_{1,\sigma})_{\mathbf{r}}$ (for the refinement $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1})$). Moreover, if these hold, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, the composition*

$$(2.8) \quad \alpha_{i,\sigma} : D_{1,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow (D_{1,\sigma})^{\mathbf{r}} \cong (C_{1,\sigma})_{\mathbf{r}} \hookrightarrow C_{1,\sigma}.$$

are pair-wisely linearly independent as elements in $\text{Hom}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$.

Proposition 2.8. *For the cup-product*

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), D_{1,\sigma}) \times \text{Hom}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), C_{1,\sigma}),$$

we have $[\iota_{D_\sigma}]^\perp = E[D_\sigma]$. In particular, D_σ is determined by $D_{1,\sigma}$, $C_{1,\sigma}$, ϕ_n and ι_{D_σ} in a similar sense to that discussed following Proposition 2.4.

Proof. Taking the cup-product with ι_{D_σ} is equal to the following composition

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), D_{1,\sigma}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), D_\sigma) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), C_{1,\sigma}),$$

which is the push-forward map via ι_{D_σ} . We see $\langle D_\sigma, \iota_{D_\sigma} \rangle = 0$. On the other hand, by dévissage and Lemma 2.1, $\text{Ker}(2.9)$ is isomorphic to

$$(2.10) \quad D_{\text{dR}}^+(C_{1,\sigma} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n^{-1} z^{-\mathbf{h}_n})) / D_{\text{dR}}^+(D_{1,\sigma} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n^{-1} z^{-\mathbf{h}_n})).$$

By comparing the Hodge-Tate-Sen weights (and noting the weights of $D_{1,\sigma}$ and $C_{1,\sigma}$ for embeddings different from σ are the same), we easily see that (2.10) is one dimensional. Hence $\text{Ker}(2.9)$ is generated by $[D_\sigma]$. \square

Example 2.9. We give an example to illustrate how ι_{D_σ} determines D_σ (or equivalently the Hodge σ -filtration of D). Suppose $n = 3$, K unramified and D is crystalline (generic non-critical) of regular Hodge-Tate-Sen weights \mathbf{h} . In this case we have $D_{\text{cris}}(D) \cong D_{\text{dR}}(D) \cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_K} D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\tau$, where each $D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\tau$ is a filtered φ^{d_K} -module. Fix $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$. Note that we have an isomorphism of filtered φ^{d_K} -module $D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma \cong D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma$.

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ be the three distinct eigenvalues of φ^{d_K} on $D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\tau$ (for any τ). Let $e_{i,\sigma}$ be an α_i -eigenvector in $D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma$, hence $D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma \cong Ee_{1,\sigma} \oplus Ee_{2,\sigma} \oplus Ee_{3,\sigma}$. For $j = 0, \dots, d_K - 1$, we have $D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma \circ \text{Frob}^{-j} \cong E\varphi^j(e_{1,\sigma}) \oplus E\varphi^j(e_{2,\sigma}) \oplus E\varphi^j(e_{3,\sigma})$ (where Frob denotes the absolute Frobenius), and $D_{\text{cris}}(D_{1,\sigma})_\sigma \circ \text{Frob}^{-j} \cong E\varphi^j(e_{1,\sigma}) \oplus E\varphi^j(e_{2,\sigma})$ for $j = 0, \dots, d_K - 1$, which is equipped with the induced Hodge filtration. As $D_{1,\sigma}$ is non-critical, multiplying $e_{1,\sigma}, e_{2,\sigma}$ by non-zero scalars, we can and do assume $\text{Fil}^{\max} D_{\text{cris}}(D_{1,\sigma})_\sigma = \text{Fil}^j D_{\text{cris}}(D_{1,\sigma})_\sigma$, $-h_{1,\sigma} < j \leq -h_{2,\sigma}$, is generated by $e_{1,\sigma} + e_{2,\sigma}$. As D_σ is non-critical for all the refinements, multiplying $e_{3,\sigma}$ by a non-zero scalar, we can and do assume $\text{Fil}^{\max} D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma = \text{Fil}^j D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma$, $-h_{2,\sigma} < j \leq -h_{3,\sigma}$, is generated by $e_1 + a_{D_\sigma} e_2 + e_3$. The filtered φ^{d_K} -module $D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma$ is in fact parametrized (and determined) by $a_{D_\sigma} \in E \setminus \{0, 1\}$: we have

$$\text{Fil}^j D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma = \begin{cases} D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\sigma & j \leq -h_{1,\sigma} \\ E(e_{1,\sigma} + e_{2,\sigma}) \oplus E(e_{1,\sigma} + a_{D_\sigma} e_{2,\sigma} + e_{3,\sigma}) & -h_{1,\sigma} < j \leq -h_{2,\sigma} \\ E(e_{1,\sigma} + a_{D_\sigma} e_{2,\sigma} + e_{3,\sigma}) & -h_{2,\sigma} < j \leq -h_{3,\sigma} \\ 0 & j > -h_{3,\sigma} \end{cases}$$

For $\tau \neq \sigma$, we have $\text{Fil}^j D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\tau = \begin{cases} D_{\text{cris}}(D)_\tau & j \leq -h_{n,\tau} \\ 0 & j > -h_{n,\tau} \end{cases}$. So D_σ is indeed

determined by the single parameter a_{D_σ} (in contrast, D itself has many more parameters, when $K \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$). Note that for $-h_{2,\sigma} < j \leq -h_{3,\sigma}$, $\text{Fil}^{\max} D_{\text{cris}}(C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma =$

$\mathrm{Fil}^j D_{\mathrm{cris}}(C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma$ is generated by $e_{1,\sigma} + a_{D_\sigma} e_{2,\sigma}$ (as it is equipped with the quotient filtration). The map ι_{D_σ} uniquely corresponds to the morphism of filtered φ^{d_K} -modules $\iota_{D_\sigma} : D_{\mathrm{cris}}(D_{1,\sigma})_\sigma \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{cris}}(C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma$ sending $e_{i,\sigma}$ to $e_{i,\sigma}$ for $i = 1, 2$. We see a_{D_σ} can be read out from the relative position of the two lines $\mathrm{Fil}^{\max} D_{\mathrm{cris}}(C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma$ and $\iota_{D_\sigma}(\mathrm{Fil}^{\max} D_{\mathrm{cris}}(D_{1,\sigma})_\sigma)$ in $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma$. Thus a_{D_σ} (hence D_σ) is determined by ι_{D_σ} .

2.3. Deformations of crystabelline (φ, Γ) -modules. Let $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. In this section, we collect some facts on certain deformations of D .

2.3.1. Trianguline and paraboline deformations, I. We first consider trianguline deformations. For a character $\chi : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$, recall we have natural isomorphisms

$$(2.11) \quad \mathrm{Hom}(K^\times, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_{K^\times}^1(\chi, \chi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\chi), \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\chi)),$$

sending ψ to $\chi(1 + \psi\epsilon)$ then to $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\chi(1 + \psi\epsilon))$.

For $w \in S_n$, denote by $\mathrm{Ext}_w^1(D, D) \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D)$ the subspace of trianguline deformations with respect to the refinement $w(\phi)$. More precisely, for $\tilde{D} \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D)$ (viewed as a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$), $\tilde{D} \in \mathrm{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$ if and only if \tilde{D} is isomorphic to a successive extension of $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_{w^{-1}(i)} z^{\mathbf{h}_i} (1 + \psi_i \epsilon))$ for $\psi_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(K^\times, E)$. In this case, we call the character $w(\phi) z^{\mathbf{h}} (1 + \psi\epsilon)$ (with $\psi := (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$) of $T(K)$ over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ the *trianguline parameter* of \tilde{D} with respect to $w(\phi)$. Let κ_w be the following composition:

$$(2.12) \quad \kappa_w : \mathrm{Ext}_w^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{T(K)}^1(w(\phi) z^{\mathbf{h}}, w(\phi) z^{\mathbf{h}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E),$$

where the first map sends \tilde{D} to its trianguline parameter with respect to $w(\phi)$, and the second map is induced by (2.11). We also denote $\mathrm{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$ by $\mathrm{Ext}_{w(\phi)}^1(D, D)$ or $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_w}^1(D, D)$ where \mathcal{F}_w is the filtration on D associated to $w(\phi)$ whenever it is convenient for the context. The following proposition is well-known (cf. [3, § 2] [54, § 2]).

Proposition 2.10. (1) $\dim_E \mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D) = 1 + n^2 d_K$, $\dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_g^1(D, D) = 1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} d_K$ and $\dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_w^1(D, D) = 1 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} d_K$ for all $w \in S_n$.

(2) For $w \in S_n$, κ_w is surjective.

(3) For $w \in S_n$, $\mathrm{Ext}_g^1(D, D) \subset \mathrm{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$ and is equal to the preimage of the subspace $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T(K), E)$ via κ_w .

Proof. The $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ -case is given in [3, Prop. 2.3.10, Thm. 2.5.10]. We sketch a proof for general K . As D is non-critical, $\mathrm{Hom}(D, D) = E$. We also have $\mathrm{Ext}^2(D, D) = 0$ since D is generic. By [50, Thm. 1.2(1)], $\dim_E \mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D) =$

$1 + n^2 d_K$. By [54, Cor. 2.53] (noting any de Rham deformation of D is automatically potentially crystalline), $\dim_E \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) = 1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} d_K$. By [54, Prop. 2.41] and the proof, $\dim_E \text{Ext}_w^1(D, D) = 1 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} d_K$ and κ_w is surjective for all $w \in S_n$. Hence $\dim_E \text{Ker } \kappa_w = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} d_K + 1 - n$. By [54, Lem. 2.56], $\text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) \subset \text{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$ for all w . It is also clear $\kappa_w(\text{Ext}_g^1(D, D)) \subset \text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(T(K), E)$. By comparing dimensions: $\dim_E \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) = \dim_E \text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(T(K), E) + \dim_E \text{Ker } \kappa_w$, (3) follows. \square

Recall there is a right action of S_n on $T(K)$: $w(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (a_{w(1)}, \dots, a_{w(n)})$ for $w \in S_n$. It induces a left action of S_n on $\text{Hom}(T(K), E)$: $(w\psi)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \psi(a_{w(1)}, \dots, a_{w(n)})$. It is clear that $\text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(T(K), E)$ is stabilized by the action.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $w_1, w_2 \in S_n$, the following diagram commutes*

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{w_1}} & \text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(T(K), E) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \sim \\ \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{w_2}} & \text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(T(K), E). \end{array}$$

Proof. The lemma is well-known, but we include a proof for the convenience of the reader. It suffices to prove the statement for the case where $w_2 w_1^{-1}$ is a simple reflection, say, s_k . Let $\tilde{D} \in \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D)$ and suppose $\kappa_{w_i}(\tilde{D}) = (\psi_{i,1}, \dots, \psi_{i,n})$. By definition, \tilde{D} admits triangulations:

$$\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_{w_i^{-1}(1)} z^{\mathbf{h}_1}(1 + \psi_{i,1}\epsilon)) - \dots - \mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_{w_i^{-1}(n)} z^{\mathbf{h}_1}(1 + \psi_{i,n}\epsilon)).$$

Note by assumption $w_1^{-1}(j) = w_2^{-1}(j)$ for $j \neq k, k+1$. Consequently, for $j < k$ or $j > k+1$, we have $\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{T}_{w_1}}^j \tilde{D} \cong \text{Fil}_{\mathcal{T}_{w_2}}^j \tilde{D}$, since $\text{Hom}(\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{T}_{w_1}}^j \tilde{D}, \tilde{D}/\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{T}_{w_2}}^j \tilde{D}) = 0$.

As $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_{w_1^{-1}(1)} z^{\mathbf{h}_1}(1 + \psi_{1,1}\epsilon)), \tilde{D}) \cong E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$, using dévissage for \mathcal{T}_{w_2} , we easily deduce that if $k > 1$,

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_{w_1^{-1}(1)} z^{\mathbf{h}_1}(1 + \psi_{1,1}\epsilon)), \mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_{w_2^{-1}(1)} z^{\mathbf{h}_1}(1 + \psi_{2,1}\epsilon))) \cong E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,$$

hence $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 + (\psi_{1,1} - \psi_{2,1})\epsilon)) \cong E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ (noting $w_1^{-1}(1) = w_2^{-1}(1)$). So $\psi_{1,1} = \psi_{2,1}$. We can then consider the $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ -module $\tilde{D}/\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_{w_1^{-1}(1)} z^{\mathbf{h}_1}(1 + \psi_{1,1}\epsilon))$ equipped with the filtrations induced by \mathcal{T}_{w_1} and \mathcal{T}_{w_2} . Continuing with the above argument, we have $\psi_{1,j} = \psi_{2,j}$ for $j < k$.

For $j = k$, we have (noting $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathcal{T}_{w_1}}^{k-1} \tilde{D} = \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathcal{T}_{w_2}}^{k-1} \tilde{D}$)

$$\mathrm{Hom} \left(\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_{w_1^{-1}(k)} z^{\mathbf{h}k} (1 + \psi_{1,k\epsilon})), \tilde{D} / \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathcal{T}_{w_2}}^{k-1} \tilde{D} \right) \cong E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2.$$

Using dévissage for \mathcal{T}_{w_2} (and the fact $w_2 w_1^{-1} = s_k$), we get

$$\mathrm{Hom} \left(\mathcal{R}(\phi_{w_1^{-1}(k)} z^{\mathbf{h}k} (1 + \psi_{1,k\epsilon})), \mathcal{R}(\phi_{w_2^{-1}(k+1)} z^{\mathbf{h}(k+1)} (1 + \psi_{2,k+1\epsilon})) \right) \cong E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,$$

hence $\psi_{1,k} = \psi_{2,k+1}$. Exchanging \mathcal{T}_{w_1} and \mathcal{T}_{w_2} , we get $\psi_{2,k} = \psi_{1,k+1}$.

For $j > k + 1$, using the same argument as in the case of $j < k$ with \tilde{D} replaced by $\tilde{D} / \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathcal{T}_{w_1}}^{k+1} \tilde{D}$, we see $\psi_{1,j} = \psi_{2,j}$. This concludes the proof. \square

Let $\mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D) := \mathrm{Ker} \kappa_w$ (for some $w \in S_n$ *a priori*). By Proposition 2.10 (3), $\mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D) \subset \mathrm{Ext}_g^1(D, D)$. Using Lemma 2.11, we see $\mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D) = \mathrm{Ker} \kappa_w$ for all $w \in S_n$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.10 (1) (2), we have

$$(2.14) \quad \dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} d_K + 1 - n.$$

For $\mathrm{Ext}_*^1(D, D) \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D)$ (with $*$ = g, w, \dots), if $\mathrm{Ext}_*^1(D, D) \supset \mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D)$, we set

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_*^1(D, D) := \mathrm{Ext}_*^1(D, D) / \mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D).$$

We have hence isomorphisms

$$(2.15) \quad \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\kappa_w} \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E), \quad \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_g^1(D, D) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\kappa_w} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T(K), E).$$

Note also

$$(2.16) \quad \dim_E \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} d_K + n.$$

Let $\mathrm{Ext}_{g'}^1(D, D) \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D)$ be the subspace of de Rham deformations up to twist by characters of K^\times over $(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)^\times$. Similarly, set

$$(2.17) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E) := \{ \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E) \mid \exists \psi_0 : K^\times \rightarrow E \\ \text{such that } \psi - \psi_0 \circ \det \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T(K), E) \}.$$

One easily deduces from Proposition 2.10 (3) that for all $w \in S_n$, $\mathrm{Ext}_{g'}^1(D, D) \subset \mathrm{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$ and is equal to the preimage of $\mathrm{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E)$ under κ_w . Thus $\dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_{g'}^1(D, D) = 1 + (\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 1) d_K$. Moreover, (2.13) holds with “ g ” and

“sm” replaced by “g’”. Using the fact that D is non-critical, by [27, Thm. 3.19] (for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$) and [54, Thm. 2.62] (for general K) (see also [49] for the $(n = 2)$ -case, noting the proposition also follows from Corollary 2.33 below and an easy induction argument), we have

Proposition 2.12. *The natural map $\bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Ext}_w^1(D, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D, D)$ is surjective and induces a surjective map $\bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \overline{\text{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}^1(D, D)$.*

Now we consider general parabolic deformations of D . Let B the Borel subgroup of GL_n of upper triangular matrices, $P \supset B$ be a standard parabolic subgroup of GL_n with the standard Levi subgroup $L_P \supset T$ equal to $\text{diag}(\text{GL}_{n_1}, \dots, \text{GL}_{n_r})$. A filtration

$$\mathcal{F}_P : 0 = \text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^0 D \subsetneq \text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1 D \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^r D = D$$

of saturated (φ, Γ) -submodules of D is called a P -filtration if $M_i := \text{rank gr}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i D = n_i$. A deformation \tilde{D} of D over $E[\epsilon/\epsilon^2]$ is called an \mathcal{F}_P -deformation, if \tilde{D} admits a filtration $\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i \tilde{D}$ of saturated (φ, Γ) -submodules of D over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ (which means $\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i \tilde{D}$ is free over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$) such that $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i \tilde{D}$ is a deformation of M_i over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$. Denote by $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) \subset \text{Ext}^1(D, D)$ the subspace of \mathcal{F}_P -deformations. By [27, Prop. 3.6, Prop. 3.7] (which is for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, but all the arguments generalize directly to general K , see also the proof of Proposition 2.17 below), we have

Proposition 2.13. $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) = 1 + d_K \dim P = 1 + d_K \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq r} n_i n_j$.
The natural map

$$(2.18) \quad \kappa_{\mathcal{F}_P} : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}^1(M_i, M_i),$$

sending \tilde{D} to $(\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i \tilde{D})_{i=1, \dots, r}$, is surjective.

For $w \in S_n$, we call the B -filtration \mathcal{T}_w (associated to $w(\phi)$) compatible with \mathcal{F}_P , if \mathcal{T}_w induces a complete flag on $\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i D$ for all i . In this case, we have $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}_w}^1(D, D) \subset \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D)$. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, we let $\mathcal{T}_{w,i}$ be the induced filtration on $M_i (= \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i D)$.

Corollary 2.14. *Keep the above situation.*

(1) $\text{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$ is the preimage of $\prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}_{w,i}}^1(M_i, M_i)$ via $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}_P}$. In particular, $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}_P}$ induces a surjective map $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}_P} : \text{Ext}_w^1(D, D) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}_{w,i}}^1(M_i, M_i)$.

(2) The map $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}_P}$ sends $\text{Ext}_0^1(D, D)$ to $\prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_0^1(M_i, M_i)$ and induces isomorphisms

$$(2.19) \quad \kappa_{\mathcal{F}_P} : \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{Ext}}^1(M_i, M_i),$$

and $\overline{\text{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{T}_{w,i}}^1(M_i, M_i)$.

Proof. The first part of (1) is by definition, and the second part follows from Proposition 2.13. It is clear that the following diagram commutes

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_w^1(D, D) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{T}_{w,i}}^1(M_i, M_i) \\ \downarrow \kappa_w & & \downarrow (\kappa_{\mathcal{T}_{w,i}}) \\ \text{Hom}(T(K), E) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Hom}(T_i(K), E) \end{array}$$

where T_i is the torus subgroup of GL_{n_i} . The first part of (2) follows. By (1) and Proposition 2.12, (2.19) is surjective. However, by Proposition 2.13, (2.14) and (2.16) (applied to the M_i 's), we have $\dim_E \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) = d_K \dim(B \cap L_P) - n = \sum_{i=1}^r \dim_E \overline{\text{Ext}}^1(M_i, M_i)$. Hence (2.19) is bijective. The final isomorphism follows by similar arguments. \square

Let $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P, g'}^1(D, D)$ be the preimage of $\prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_{g'}^1(M_i, M_i)$ via (2.18). Set

$$(2.21) \quad \text{Hom}_{P, g'}(T(K), E) := \{\psi \in \text{Hom}(T(K), E) \mid \exists \psi_P : Z_{L_P}(K) \rightarrow E \\ \text{such that } \psi - \psi_P \circ \det_{L_P} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(T(K), E)\}.$$

It is straightforward to see $\dim_E \text{Hom}_{P, g'}(T(K), E) = n + rd_K$. The following corollary generalizes (2.13).

Corollary 2.15. (1) Let $w \in S_n$ such that \mathcal{T}_w is compatible with \mathcal{F}_P , then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P, g'}^1(D, D) \subset \text{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$.

(2) Let $w_1, w_2 \in S_n$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{w_1}, \mathcal{T}_{w_2}$ are compatible with \mathcal{F}_P (so $w_2 w_1^{-1}$ lies in the Weyl group \mathcal{W}_P of L_P), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_P, g'}^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\kappa_{w_1}} & \text{Hom}_{P, g'}(T(K), E) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow w_2 w_1^{-1} \sim \\ \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_P, g'}^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\kappa_{w_2}} & \text{Hom}_{P, g'}(T(K), E). \end{array}$$

Proof. (1) follows from the fact $\text{Ext}_{g'}^1(M_i, M_i) \subset \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_{w,i}}^1(M_i, M_i)$ and Corollary 2.14 (1). By Corollary 2.14 (2), we have $\overline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_P, g'}^1(D, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{Ext}}_{g'}^1(M_i, M_i)$. (2) then follows from the commutative diagram (2.20) and Lemma 2.11 (applied to each M_i , and with “ g ”, “sm” replaced by “ g' ”). \square

2.3.2. *Trianguline and paraboline deformations, II.* Let $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. We consider some partially de Rham deformations of D . The reader who is mainly interested in the \mathbb{Q}_p -case can skip this section. Recall for $J \subset \Sigma_K$, and a (φ, Γ) -module M over $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}$, M is called J -de Rham, if $\dim_E D_{\text{dR}}(M)_\tau = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} M$ for all $\tau \in J$, where $D_{\text{dR}}(M)_\tau = H^0(\text{Gal}_K, W_{\text{dR}}^+(M)_\tau[1/t])$. Note the property is clearly inherited by taking subquotients. For a (φ, Γ) -module M over $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}$, denote by $W(M) = (W_e(M), W_{\text{dR}}^+(M))$ its associated B -pair ([7]). By [54, Thm. 5.11], there are natural isomorphisms for $i = 0, 1, 2$,

$$(2.22) \quad H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^i(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\text{Gal}_K, W(M))$$

where $H^i(\text{Gal}_K, W(M))$ denotes the i -th Galois cohomology of the B -pair M , see [53, § 2.1].

Throughout the section, we fix $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$. For an extension group $\text{Ext}_?^1(D, D)$, we denote by $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, ?}^1(D, D) \subset \text{Ext}_?^1(D, D)$ the subspace consisting of \tilde{D} that are $\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}$ -de Rham. If $\text{Ext}_?^1(D, D) \supset \text{Ext}_0^1(D, D)$, then it is clear that $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, ?}^1(D, D) \supset \text{Ext}_0^1(D, D)$ and we set

$$\overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, ?}^1(D, D) := \text{Ext}_{\sigma, ?}^1(D, D) / \text{Ext}_0^1(D, D) \subset \overline{\text{Ext}}_?^1(D, D).$$

Lemma 2.16. *We have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(D, D) = 1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(d_K - 1) + n^2$.*

Proof. Using the notation of [33, § A], the isomorphism (2.22) (for $i = 1$, $M = D \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D^\vee$) induces an isomorphism $\text{Ext}_\sigma^1(D, D) \cong H_{g, \Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}^1(\text{Gal}_K, W(D \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D^\vee))$ where $D^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}}(D, \mathcal{R}_{K,E})$. The lemma follows then from [33, Cor. A.4] (noting $D \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D^\vee$ has Hodge-Tate-Sen weights $\{h_{\tau, i} - h_{\tau, j}\}_{\substack{\tau \in \Sigma_K \\ i, j=1, \dots, r}}$). The required assumption holds because D is generic. \square

Let P be a standard parabolic subgroup, and \mathcal{F}_P be a P -filtration on D with $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i D =: M_i$. The surjection $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}_P}$ (2.18) induces a map

$$(2.23) \quad \kappa_{\mathcal{F}_P} : \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(M_i, M_i).$$

Proposition 2.17. (1) We have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) = 1 + (d_K - 1) \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \dim P$.

(2) The map (2.23) is surjective and induces an isomorphism

$$(2.24) \quad \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}(D, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma}^1(M_i, M_i).$$

Proof. Let $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_P}(D, D)$ be the (φ, Γ) -submodule of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}}(D, D) \cong D \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D^\vee$ consisting of the maps f such that $f(\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i) \subset \text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Similarly as in [27, Prop. 3.6 (ii)] and using the notation of [33, § A], we have $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) \cong H_{g, \Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}^1(\text{Gal}_K, W(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_P}(D, D)))$. Since D is non-critical, it is straightforward to see $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_P}(D, D)$ has Hodge-Tate-Sen weights $\{h_{\tau,i} - h_{\tau,j}\}_{\tau \in \Sigma_K}$ where the indices (i, j) correspond to entries of the matrix \mathfrak{gl}_n lying in \mathfrak{p} , the Lie algebra of P . By [33, Cor. A.4] (noting that the (φ, Γ) -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_P}(D, D)$ satisfies the assumptions in *loc. cit.* as D is generic), we calculate $\dim_E H_{g, \Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}^1(\text{Gal}_K, W_{\text{dR}}^+(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_P}(D, D))) = 1 + d_K \dim P - \sum_{\tau \neq \sigma} \dim(B \cap L_P) = 1 + (d_K - 1) \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \dim P$. (1) follows. For any $\tilde{D} \in \text{Ker}(2.18)$, using Corollary 2.14 (1), (2.20) and Proposition 2.10 (3), we see \tilde{D} is de Rham. Hence $\text{Ker}(2.18) \subset \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D)$. Let N be the unipotent radical of B . As $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) - \dim_E \text{Ker}(2.18) = r + (d_K - 1) \dim(N \cap L_P) + \dim L_P = \sum_{i=1}^r \dim_E \text{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(M_i, M_i)$, (2.23) hence (2.24) are surjective. Finally we have equalities $\dim_E \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}(D, D) = n + \dim(B \cap L_P) = \sum_{i=1}^r \dim \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma}^1(M_i, M_i)$ which complete the proof of (2). \square

Combining Proposition 2.17 (2) with Corollary 2.14 (2), we get:

Corollary 2.18. Let \mathcal{F}_w be a B -filtration compatible with \mathcal{F}_P (see Corollary 2.14). The map $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}_P}$ induces a bijection $\overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, w}^1(D, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_w, i}^1(M_i, M_i)$.

For a rank one de Rham (φ, Γ) -module $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\chi)$ (implying χ is locally algebraic), by [33, Lem. 1.15], (2.11) induces by restriction an isomorphism

$$(2.25) \quad \text{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\chi), \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\chi)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\sigma}(K^\times, E).$$

By Proposition 2.17 (2) applied to $P = B$, we obtain:

Corollary 2.19. For $w \in S_n$, κ_w (2.12) induces an isomorphism $\overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, w}(D, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\sigma}(T(K), E)$.

We will show later (in Corollary 2.40 below) the induced map

$$(2.26) \quad \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Ext}_{\sigma, w}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(D, D)$$

is surjective (and the same holds with Ext^1 replaced by $\overline{\text{Ext}}^1$). Consider now certain extension groups of $D_\sigma := \mathfrak{T}_\sigma(D)$ (cf. (2.7)).

Proposition 2.20. (1) We have $\dim_E \text{Ext}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) = 1 + n^2 d_K$.

(2) We have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) = 1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

(3) Let P be a standard parabolic subgroup of GL_n , and \mathcal{F}_P be a P -filtration of D_σ with $\text{gr}_i \mathcal{F}_P \cong M_{i,\sigma}$. We have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) = 1 + d_K \dim P$ and $\text{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \subset \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$. Moreover, the following natural map (defined similarly as in (2.18)) is surjective

$$(2.27) \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}^1(M_{i,\sigma}, M_{i,\sigma}).$$

Proof. (1) follows from [50, Thm. 1.2 (1)] as $\text{Hom}(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \cong E$, $\text{Ext}^2(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) = 0$. (2) follows from (1), [33, Cor. A.4] and $\dim H^0(\text{Gal}_K, W_{\text{dR}}^+(D_\sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D_\sigma^\vee)_\tau) = \begin{cases} n^2 & \tau \neq \sigma \\ \frac{n(n+1)}{2} & \tau = \sigma. \end{cases}$ The statements in (3) except $\text{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \subset \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$ follow by the same argument as in the proof of [27, Prop. 3.6, Prop. 3.7]. By [33, Cor. A.4], $\text{Ext}_g^1(\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i D_\sigma, D_\sigma / \text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i D_\sigma) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. Hence if $\tilde{D}_\sigma \in \text{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$, it must map to zero under the natural map

$$\text{Ext}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1 D_\sigma, D_\sigma / \text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1 D_\sigma).$$

Thus \tilde{D}_σ has the form $[\tilde{M}_1 - \tilde{M}_2]$ where \tilde{M}_1 (resp. \tilde{M}_2) is a deformation of $\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1 D_\sigma$ (resp. of $D_\sigma / \text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1 D_\sigma$). Iterating the argument for \tilde{M}_2 , we inductively deduce $\tilde{D}_\sigma \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$. \square

Remark 2.21. Recall for each $w \in S_n$, $w(\phi)$ is also a refinement of D_σ and we still use \mathcal{T}_w to denote the associated B -filtration on D_σ . Applying Proposition 2.20 (3) for $P = B$ and $\mathcal{F}_P = \mathcal{T}_w$, we have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_w^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) = 1 + d_K \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and a natural surjection

$$(2.28) \quad \kappa_w : \text{Ext}_w^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(T(K), E).$$

The preimage of $\text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(T(K), E)$ hence has dimension equal to $(1 + d_K \frac{n(n+1)}{2}) - nd_K = 1 + d_K \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Together with Proposition 2.20 (2), we see when $K \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\text{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$ is properly contained in the preimage of $\text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(T(K), E)$.

For $\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}$ -de Rham deformations of D_σ , we have:

Proposition 2.22. (1) We have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) = 1 + n^2$.

(2) Let P be a standard parabolic subgroup of GL_n , and \mathcal{F}_P be a P -filtration of D_σ with $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i D_\sigma \cong M_{i,\sigma}$. Then $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) = 1 + \dim P$.

Proof. By [33, Cor. A.4], (1) (resp. (2)) follows from Proposition 2.20 (1) (resp. (3)) and the fact that for $\tau \neq \sigma$, $\dim_E H^0(\text{Gal}_K, W_{\text{dR}}^+(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}}(D_\sigma, D_\sigma))_\tau) = n^2$ (resp. $\dim_E H^0(\text{Gal}_K, W_{\text{dR}}^+(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_P}(D_\sigma, D_\sigma))_\tau) = \dim P$). Here $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_P}(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$ is defined in a similar way as in the proof of Proposition 2.17. \square

Now we consider the relation between deformations of D and those of D_σ . The following proposition follows from the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.5, accounting for the $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ -structure. We leave the details to the reader.

Proposition 2.23. For any (φ, Γ) -module $\tilde{D} \in \text{Ext}^1(D, D)$ over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$, there is a unique (φ, Γ) -module $\tilde{D}_\sigma \in \text{Ext}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$ over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ satisfying that $\tilde{D} \subset \tilde{D}_\sigma$, $\tilde{D}[1/t] \cong \tilde{D}_\sigma[1/t]$, and the Sen σ -weights of \tilde{D}_σ are equal to those of \tilde{D} , and the Sen τ -weights (over E) of \tilde{D}_σ are constantly $h_{\tau,n}$ for $\tau \neq \sigma$.

We obtain hence a natural map

$$(2.29) \quad \mathfrak{T}_\sigma : \text{Ext}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma), \quad \tilde{D} \mapsto \tilde{D}_\sigma.$$

It is clear that this operation preserves (partial) de Rhamness and filtrations of saturated submodules. In particular, \mathfrak{T}_σ restricts to a map $\text{Ext}_\sigma^1(D, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$, and to a map $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$, where \mathcal{F}_P on D_σ is defined by $\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i D_\sigma = \mathfrak{T}_\sigma(\text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^i D)$.

Proposition 2.24. (1) For $* \in \{g, \sigma, \{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P\}\}$, the induced map $\mathfrak{T}_\sigma : \text{Ext}_*^1(D, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_*^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$ is surjective, and has the same kernel as (2.29).

(2) The following diagram commutes

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow{(2.24)} & \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(M_i, M_i) \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{T}_\sigma & & \downarrow \mathfrak{T}_\sigma \\ \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) & \xrightarrow{(2.27)} & \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(M_{i,\sigma}, M_{i,\sigma}). \end{array}$$

Moreover, the map $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(M_{i,\sigma}, M_{i,\sigma})$ is surjective.

Proof. First, any $\tilde{D} \in \text{Ker}(2.29)$ is de Rham, as it is contained in the de Rham (φ, Γ) -module $D_\sigma \oplus D_\sigma$. Hence $\text{Ker}(2.29)$ coincides with the kernel of any maps in

(1) (see also Proposition 2.20 (3)). Consider the composition (where the second map is the natural pull-back)

$$(2.31) \quad \mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{F}_\sigma} \mathrm{Ext}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D_\sigma).$$

As $\mathrm{Hom}(D, D) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(D, D_\sigma) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \cong E$, the kernel of the second map in (2.31) is isomorphic to $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0((D_\sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D^\vee)/(D_\sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D_\sigma^\vee)) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Lem. 2.1}} D_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(D_\sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D^\vee)/D_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(D_\sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D_\sigma^\vee) = 0$ where the vanishing follows easily by comparing the weights. Thus $\mathrm{Ker}(2.29) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ker}(2.31)$. The composition (2.31) coincides with the natural push-forward map via $D \hookrightarrow D_\sigma$. We deduce by dévissage that $\mathrm{Ker}(2.31)$ is isomorphic to $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0((D_\sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D^\vee)/(D \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D^\vee))$. Using Lemma 2.1 and the easy fact $\dim_E D_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(D_\sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D^\vee) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (d_K - 1)n^2$ and $\dim_E D_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(D \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D^\vee) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}d_K$, we deduce $\dim_E \mathrm{Ker}(2.29) = \dim_E \mathrm{Ker}(2.31) = (d_K - 1)\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. By the dimension results in Proposition 2.10 (1) (resp. Proposition 2.13, resp. Proposition 2.17 (1)) and Proposition 2.20 (2) (resp. Proposition 2.22 (1), resp. Proposition 2.22 (2)), the difference in dimensions between the source and target spaces in (1) is exactly $(d_K - 1)\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ for $* = g$ (resp. $* = \sigma$, resp. $* = \{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P\}$). This proves (1). The commutativity of (2.30) follows directly from the definition of \mathfrak{F}_σ . The second part of (2) is then a consequence of (1) applied to each M_i (with $* = \sigma$) and of the surjectivity of (2.23) (see the first part of Proposition 2.17 (2)). \square

Corollary 2.25. *Let $w_1, w_2 \in S_n$, the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{w_1}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T(K), E) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow w_2 w_1^{-1} \\ \mathrm{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{w_2}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T(K), E), \end{array}$$

and the horizontal maps are surjective.

Proof. The commutativity follows by the same argument as in Lemma 2.11. For $w \in S_n$, we have a commutative diagram (where the right square corresponds to (2.30) for $P = B$ and $\mathcal{F}_P = \mathcal{T}_w$)

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Ext}_g^1(D, D) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma, w}^1(D, D) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_\sigma(T(K), E) \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{F}_\sigma & & \downarrow \mathfrak{F}_\sigma & & \parallel \\ \mathrm{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma, w}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_\sigma(T(K), E). \end{array}$$

The surjectivity of κ_{w_i} in the corollary follows from Proposition 2.10 (3). \square

Let $\text{Ext}_0^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \subset \text{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$ be the kernel of $\kappa_w : \text{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(T(K), E)$ (for one or equivalently any $w \in S_n$, by Corollary 2.25). Note that unlike the case for D , this subspace is strictly contained in the kernel of (2.28) when $K \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ (see the last sentence in Remark 2.21).

Corollary 2.26. *We have $\text{Ext}_0^1(D, D) = \mathfrak{T}_\sigma^{-1}(\text{Ext}_0^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma))$, and \mathfrak{T}_σ restricts to a surjection $\text{Ext}_0^1(D, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_0^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.24 (1) (and the proof), $\text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) = \mathfrak{T}_\sigma^{-1}(\text{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma))$. The corollary then follows from the definition of Ext_0^1 's and (2.32). \square

For $\text{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \supset \text{Ext}_0^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$, set $\overline{\text{Ext}}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) := \frac{\text{Ext}_g^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)}{\text{Ext}_0^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)}$. By (the first statement of) Corollary 2.26 and Proposition 2.24, we easily deduce:

Corollary 2.27. *For $* \in \{\sigma, g, \{\mathcal{F}_P, \sigma\}\}$, the (surjective) map $\mathfrak{T}_\sigma : \text{Ext}_*^1(D, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_*^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{T}_\sigma : \overline{\text{Ext}}_*^1(D, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Ext}}_*^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$. Moreover, there is a natural commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(2.24)} & \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(M_i, M_i) \\ \mathfrak{T}_\sigma \downarrow \sim & & \mathfrak{T}_\sigma \downarrow \sim \\ \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(M_{i,\sigma}, M_{i,\sigma}). \end{array}$$

2.4. Hodge filtration and higher intertwining. Let $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. The existence of S_n -distinct trianguline filtrations of D corresponds to an intertwining phenomenon on the automorphic side. We adapt the term ‘‘intertwining’’ to describe the non-uniqueness of saturated (φ, Γ) -submodules in such modules. Analogously, *higher intertwining* in this section refers to the non-uniqueness of filtrations of saturated (φ, Γ) -submodules over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ for a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$. By Corollary 2.15 (2), higher intertwining relations exist for $\tilde{D} \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P, g'}^1(D, D)$. In this section, we show a special class of paraboline deformations of D admits higher intertwining (cf. Theorem 2.32 below). Moreover, the Hodge parameter, reinterpreted as in § 2.2, can be revealed in such higher intertwining relations.

Let D_1, C_1 be as in § 2.2. Let \mathcal{F} be the filtration $D_1 \subset D$, and \mathcal{G} be the filtration $\mathcal{R}_{K, E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}) \subset D$, which correspond to the exact sequences (2.1) (2.2) respectively. By Proposition 2.13, we have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) = \dim_E \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D) =$

$1 + (n^2 - n + 1)d_K$. And there are natural surjections (identifying $\text{Ext}_{K^\times}^1(\delta, \delta)$ with $\text{Hom}(K^\times, E)$):

$$(2.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa_{\mathcal{F}} &= (\kappa_{\mathcal{F},1}, \kappa_{\mathcal{F},2}) : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D_1, D_1) \times \text{Hom}(K^\times, E), \\ \kappa_{\mathcal{G}} &= (\kappa_{\mathcal{G},1}, \kappa_{\mathcal{G},2}) : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(C_1, C_1) \times \text{Hom}(K^\times, E). \end{aligned}$$

We introduce certain subspaces of $\text{Ext}^1(D_1, D_1)$ and $\text{Ext}^1(C_1, C_1)$. For $\iota \in \text{Hom}(D_1, C_1)$. Consider the pull-back and push-forward maps:

$$(2.34) \quad \iota^- : \text{Ext}^1(C_1, D_1) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D_1, D_1), \quad \iota^+ : \text{Ext}^1(C_1, D_1) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(C_1, C_1).$$

Set $\text{Ext}_\iota^1(D_1, D_1) := \iota^-(\text{Ext}^1(C_1, D_1))$, $\text{Ext}_\iota^1(C_1, C_1) := \iota^+(\text{Ext}^1(C_1, D_1))$.

Lemma 2.28. *Suppose $\dim_E \text{Hom}(D_1, C_1) = 2$, and for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, let α_i be as in (2.3). We have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\alpha_i}^1(D_1, D_1) = (n-1)(n-2)d_K$. Moreover for $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $j \neq i$,*

$$\dim_E (\text{Ext}_{\alpha_i}^1(D_1, D_1) \cap \text{Ext}_{\alpha_j}^1(D_1, D_1)) = (n-1)(n-3)d_K + d_K - 1.$$

Consequently, $\dim_E (\text{Ext}_{\alpha_i}^1(D_1, D_1) + \text{Ext}_{\alpha_j}^1(D_1, D_1)) = 1 + n(n-2)d_K$. Finally, the same statement holds with D_1 replaced by C_1 .

Proof. We only prove it for D_1, C_1 being similar. Fix the refinement $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1})$ of D_1 and C_1 . Let $\mathbf{r} := \{1, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{i\}$. The map α_i^- factors through $\text{Ext}^1(C_1, D_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1((D_1)^{\mathbf{r}}, D_1) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D_1, D_1)$ where the corresponding surjectivity and injectivity follow easily by dévissage. So $\text{Ext}_{\alpha_i}^1(D_1, D_1)$ is just the image of $\text{Ext}^1((D_1)^{\mathbf{r}}, D_1)$ in $\text{Ext}^1(D_1, D_1)$, and is the kernel of the natural pull-back map $\kappa_i : \text{Ext}^1(D_1, D_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), D_1)$. We directly calculate $\dim_E \text{Ext}^1((D_1)^{\mathbf{r}}, D_1) = (n-1)(n-2)d_K$, and the first part follows. For $i \neq j$, consider the following composition (of natural pull-back maps)

$$(2.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^1(D_1, D_1) &\xrightarrow{\kappa_{i,j}} \text{Ext}^1((D_1)_{\{i,j\}}, D_1) \xrightarrow{f_{i,j}} (\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}_1}) \\ &\oplus \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_j z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), D_1)) \cong \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), D_1) \oplus \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_j z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), D_1), \end{aligned}$$

whose kernel is clearly $\text{Ext}_{\alpha_i}^1(D_1, D_1) \cap \text{Ext}_{\alpha_j}^1(D_1, D_1)$. By dévissage, $\kappa_{i,j}$ is surjective and $\text{Ker}(\kappa_{i,j}) \cong \text{Ext}^1((D_1)^{i,j}, D_1)$, hence has dimension equal to $(n-3)(n-1)d_K$. Let $M_1 := D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} (D_1)_{\{i,j\}}^\vee$ and $M_2 := D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} (\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_i^{-1} z^{-\mathbf{h}_1}) \oplus \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_j^{-1} z^{-\mathbf{h}_1}))$. By dévissage, we have

$$0 \rightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(M_1) \rightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(M_2) \rightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(M_2/M_1) \rightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(M_1) \rightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(M_2)$$

where the last map coincides with $f_{i,j}$ in (2.35). We have $\dim_E H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^0(M_1) = 1$, $\dim_E H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^0(M_2) = 2$, and by Lemma 2.1, $\dim_E H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^0(M_2/M_1) = \dim_E D_{\text{dR}}^+(M_2) - \dim_E D_{\text{dR}}^+(M_1) = 2(n-1)d_K - (n-1+n-2)d_K = d_K$. So $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\alpha_i}^1(D_1, D_1) \cap \text{Ext}_{\alpha_j}^1(D_1, D_1) = \dim_E \text{Ker}(\kappa_{i,j}) + \dim_E \text{Ker}(f_{i,j}) = (n-1)(n-3)d_K + d_K - 1$. This proves the second part of the lemma. \square

Proposition 2.29. *Let $\iota \in \text{Hom}(D_1, C_1)$ be an injection.*

- (1) $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\iota}^1(D_1, D_1) = \dim_E \text{Ext}_{\iota}^1(C_1, C_1) = 1 + (n-1)(n-2)d_K$.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_g^1(D_1, D_1) \subset \text{Ext}_{\iota}^1(D_1, D_1)$ and $\text{Ext}_g^1(C_1, C_1) \subset \text{Ext}_{\iota}^1(C_1, C_1)$.
- (3) For $\iota' \in \text{Hom}(D_1, C_1)$, $\text{Ext}_{\iota'}^1(D_1, D_1) = \text{Ext}_{\iota}^1(D_1, D_1)$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{\iota'}^1(C_1, C_1) = \text{Ext}_{\iota}^1(C_1, C_1)$ if and only if $\iota' = a\iota$ for some $a \in E^\times$.

Proof. We only prove it for D_1 with C_1 being similar.

- (1) By dévissage, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^0(D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D_1^\vee) &\longrightarrow H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^0((D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D_1^\vee)/(D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} C_1^\vee)) \\ &\longrightarrow H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^1(D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} C_1^\vee) \longrightarrow H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^1(D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D_1^\vee), \end{aligned}$$

where the last map can be identified with ι^- . By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_E H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^0((D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D_1^\vee)/(D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} C_1^\vee)) &= \dim_E D_{\text{dR}}^+(D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D_1^\vee) \\ - \dim_E D_{\text{dR}}^+(D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} C_1^\vee) &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2}d_K - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}d_K = (n-1)d_K. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\dim_E \text{Im } \iota^- = (n-1)^2d_K - (n-1)d_K + 1 = 1 + (n-1)(n-2)d_K$.

(2) The map ι^- clearly induces $\iota_g^- : \text{Ext}_g^1(C_1, D_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_g^1(D_1, D_1)$. For any $M \in \text{Ker}(\iota^-)$, $D_1 \oplus D_1 \subset M$ implies M is de Rham. So $\text{Ker } \iota^- \subset \text{Ext}_g^1(C_1, D_1)$ and is equal to $\text{Ker } \iota_g^-$. By [33, Cor. A.4] applied to the B -pair associated to $D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} C_1^\vee$ (which satisfies the assumptions of *loc. cit.* by the generic assumption on D), we have $\dim_E H_g^1(D_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} C_1^\vee) = (n-1)^2d_K - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}d_K = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}d_K$. Together with $\dim_E \text{Ext}_g^1(D_1, D_1) = 1 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}d_K$ (cf. Proposition 2.10 (1)) and (1), we see ι_g^- is surjective.

(3) The case where $\dim_E \text{Hom}(D_1, C_1) = 1$ is trivial. Assume henceforth $\dim_E \text{Hom}(D_1, C_1) = 2$ (which implies $n \geq 3$ and Lemma 2.28 can apply). Suppose $\iota' \notin E[\iota]$, then ι' and ι form a basis of $\text{Hom}(D_1, C_1)$. If $\text{Ext}_{\iota'}^1(D_1, D_1) = \text{Ext}_{\iota}^1(D_1, D_1)$, we then easily deduce $\text{Ext}_{\alpha_i}^1(D_1, D_1) \subset \text{Ext}_{\iota}^1(D_1, D_1)$ for all $i = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. However, for $i \neq j$, by Lemma 2.28, $\dim_E(\text{Ext}_{\alpha_i}^1(D_1, D_1) + \text{Ext}_{\alpha_j}^1(D_1, D_1)) = 1 + n(n-2)d_K > \dim_E \text{Ext}_{\iota}^1(D_1, D_1)$, a contradiction. \square

Let T_1 be the torus subgroup of GL_{n-1} , and $\phi^1 := \phi_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \phi_{n-1}$. Let $\mathbf{h}^1 := (\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{n-1})$, and $\mathbf{h}^2 := (\mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_n)$. For the refinement ϕ^1 (of D_1 and C_1), we have maps

$$\mathrm{Ext}_g^1(D_1, D_1) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\phi^1}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T_1(K), E), \quad \mathrm{Ext}_g^1(C_1, C_1) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\phi^1}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T_1(K), E).$$

Lemma 2.30. *For $M \in \mathrm{Ext}_g^1(C_1, D_1)$, $\kappa_{\phi^1} \circ \iota_g^-(M) = \kappa_{\phi^1} \circ \iota_g^+(M)$, where ι_g^\pm is the restriction of ι^\pm to $\mathrm{Ext}_g^1(C_1, D_1)$ (see the proof of Proposition 2.29 (2)).*

Proof. By definition, there is a natural injection $\tilde{\iota} : \iota_g^-(M) \hookrightarrow \iota_g^+(M)$ which sits in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & D_1 & \longrightarrow & \iota_g^-(M) & \longrightarrow & D_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \tilde{\iota} & & \downarrow \iota \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_1 & \longrightarrow & \iota_g^+(M) & \longrightarrow & C_1 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Moreover, $\tilde{\iota}$ is $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ -linear if $\iota_g^-(M)$ and $\iota_g^+(M)$ are equipped with the natural $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ -action. Suppose

$$\kappa_{\phi^1} \circ \iota_g^-(M) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}), \quad \kappa_{\phi^1} \circ \iota_g^+(M) = (\psi'_1, \dots, \psi'_{n-1}).$$

Then $\iota_g^-(M)$ (resp. $\iota_g^+(M)$) is isomorphic, as (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$, to a successive extension of $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}^i} (1 + \psi_i \epsilon))$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}^{i+1}} (1 + \psi'_i \epsilon))$) for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. One sees inductively that $\tilde{\iota}$ induces injections $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}^i} (1 + \psi_i \epsilon)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(\phi_i z^{\mathbf{h}^{i+1}} (1 + \psi'_i \epsilon))$ of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$. Hence $\psi_i = \psi'_i$ for all i . \square

We fix crystabelline (φ, Γ) -modules D_1 and C_1 , where D_1 has Hodge-Tate-Sen weights \mathbf{h}^1 and C_1 has weights \mathbf{h}^2 , and both have a generic refinement ϕ^1 . Denote by $\Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(D_1, C_1, \phi_n) \subset \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ the subset of isomorphism classes of (φ, Γ) -modules D such that $\mathrm{Hom}(D_1, D) = \mathrm{Hom}(D, C_1) \cong E$. Assume $\Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(D_1, C_1, \phi_n)$ is non-empty. For an injection $\iota \in \mathrm{Hom}(D_1, C_1)$, we set \mathcal{I}_ι to be the following set

$$(2.36) \quad \{(\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{C}_1) \in \mathrm{Ext}_\iota^1(D_1, D_1) \times \mathrm{Ext}_\iota^1(C_1, C_1) \mid \\ \exists M \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(C_1, D_1) \text{ s.t. } \iota^-(M) = \tilde{D}_1, -\iota^+(M) = \tilde{C}_1\}.$$

If $\iota = \iota_D$ for some $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(D_1, C_1, \phi_n)$, we write $\mathcal{I}_D := \mathcal{I}_{\iota_D}$. The following corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.29 (3) and Proposition 2.4.

Corollary 2.31. *We have $\mathcal{I}_\iota = \mathcal{I}_{\iota'}$ if and only if $\iota' = a\iota$ for some $a \in E^\times$. In particular, for $D, D' \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(D_1, C_1, \phi_n)$ we have $\mathcal{I}_D = \mathcal{I}_{D'}$ if and only if $\iota_D = a\iota_{D'}$ for $a \in E^\times$. When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, this is equivalent to $D \cong D'$.*

Theorem 2.32 (Higher intertwining). *Let $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(D_1, C_1, \phi_n)$ and $\tilde{D} \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D)$ with $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{D}) = (\tilde{D}_1, \psi)$ (cf. (2.33)). The followings are equivalent:*

- (1) $\tilde{D} \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \cap \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D)$.
- (2) $\tilde{D}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}} \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 - \psi\epsilon) \in \text{Ext}_{\iota_D}^1(D_1, D_1)$.

Moreover, if the equivalent conditions hold, then $\kappa_{\mathcal{G},2}(\tilde{D}) = \psi$ and there exists $M \in \text{Ext}^1(C_1, D_1)$ such that $\tilde{D}_1 = \iota_D^-(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}} \mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 + \psi\epsilon)$ and $\kappa_{\mathcal{G},1}(\tilde{D}) = \iota_D^+(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}} \mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 + \psi\epsilon)$.

Proof. Twisting \tilde{D} by $1 - \psi\epsilon$, we can and do assume $\kappa_{\mathcal{F},2}(\tilde{D}) = 0$. By definition, $\tilde{D} \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D)$ if and only if it lies in the kernel of the composition

$$(2.37) \quad \text{Ext}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), D) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), C_1).$$

Similarly, $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D)$ is equal to the kernel of the composition

$$\text{Ext}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D, \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n})) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D_1, \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n})).$$

By dévissage, one can deduce an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D, D_1) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}), \mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As $\kappa_{\mathcal{F},2}(\tilde{D}) = 0$, \tilde{D} lies in the image of $\text{Ext}^1(D, D_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D)$. Let $M_1 \in \text{Ext}^1(D, D_1)$ be the preimage of \tilde{D} . Consider the composition

$$\text{Ext}^1(D, D_1) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), C_1).$$

It is straightforward to see it is equal to the composition

$$(2.38) \quad \text{Ext}^1(D, D_1) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), D_1) \xrightarrow{\iota_D} \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_1}), C_1).$$

So \tilde{D} lies in the kernel of (2.37) if and only if M_1 is sent to zero via (2.38). However, using dévissage, we see the kernel of ι_D in (2.38) is isomorphic to $H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^0(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n^{-1} z^{-\mathbf{h}_1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} (C_1/D_1))$, which, by Lemma 2.1, has dimension $\dim_E D_{\text{dR}}^+(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n^{-1} z^{-\mathbf{h}_1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} C_1) - \dim_E D_{\text{dR}}^+(\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n^{-1} z^{-\mathbf{h}_1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} D_1) = 0$. So ι_D in (2.38) is injective. We see (under the assumption $\psi = 0$) that (1) is equivalent to that M_1 lies in the kernel of the first map of (2.38), which is equal

to $\text{Ext}^1(C_1, D_1)$ by dévissage. This is furthermore equivalent to that \widetilde{D}_1 lies in the image of the composition $\text{Ext}^1(C_1, D_1) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D, D_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D_1, D_1)$, which is no other than $\iota_{\widetilde{D}}$. The other parts are straightforward. \square

Corollary 2.33. *We have $\dim_E(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \cap \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D)) = 1 + (n^2 - 2n + 2)d_K$. Consequently, the following natural map is surjective:*

$$(2.39) \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \oplus \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D, D).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.32, $\dim_E(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \cap \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D)) = \dim_E \text{Hom}(K^\times, E) + \dim_E \text{Ext}_{\iota_D}^1(D_1, D_1) + \dim_E \text{Ker}(\kappa_{\mathcal{F}})$ (cf. (2.33)). By Proposition 2.29 (1) and Proposition 2.13, it is equal to $(1 + d_K) + (1 + (n - 1)(n - 2)d_K) + (-1 + (n - 1)d_K) = 1 + (n^2 - 2n + 2)d_K$. Together with Proposition 2.13, we see $\dim_E(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) + \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D)) = 2(1 + (n(n - 1) + 1)d_K - 1 + (n^2 - 2n + 2)d_K) = 1 + n^2 d_K \stackrel{\text{Prop. 2.10(1)}}{=} \dim_E \text{Ext}^1(D, D)$. The second part follows. \square

Let $V(D_1, C_1) := (\overline{\text{Ext}}^1(D_1, D_1) \times \text{Hom}(K^\times, E)) \oplus (\overline{\text{Ext}}^1(C_1, C_1) \times \text{Hom}(K^\times, E))$ ($\xleftarrow[\sim]{(\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}, \kappa_{\mathcal{G}})} \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \oplus \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D)$), and $\mathcal{L}(D, D_1, C_1)$ be the subspace consisting of those $((\widetilde{D}_1, \psi), (\widetilde{C}_1, -\psi)) \in V(D_1, C_1)$ such that $(\widetilde{D}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}} \mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 - \psi\epsilon), \widetilde{C}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}} \mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 + \psi\epsilon)) \in \mathcal{I}_D$ (cf. (2.36)).

Corollary 2.34. (1) *Let $D, D' \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(D_1, C_1, \phi_n)$, $\mathcal{L}(D', D_1, C_1) = \mathcal{L}(D, D_1, C_1)$ if and only if $\iota_{D'} = a\iota_D$ for some $a \in E^\times$. When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, this is equivalent to $D \cong D'$.*

(2) *For $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(D_1, C_1, \phi_n)$, there is a natural exact sequence*

$$(2.40) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(D, D_1, C_1) \longrightarrow V(D_1, C_1) \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. (1): The “if” part is trivial. Suppose $\mathcal{L}(D', D_1, C_1) = \mathcal{L}(D, D_1, C_1)$. Let $\widetilde{D}_1 \in \text{Ext}_{\iota_D}^1(D_1, D_1)$ $M \in \text{Ext}^1(C_1, D_1)$ be a preimage of \widetilde{D}_1 (via $\iota_{\widetilde{D}}$) and $\widetilde{C}_1 := -\iota_D^+(M) \in \text{Ext}_{\iota_D}^1(C_1, C_1)$. We have by definition and assumption

$$((\widetilde{D}_1, 0), (\widetilde{C}_1, 0)) \in \mathcal{L}(D, D_1, C_1) = \mathcal{L}(D', D_1, C_1).$$

There exists hence $\widetilde{D}'_1 \in \text{Ext}_{\iota_{D'}}^1(D_1, D_1)$ such that $[\widetilde{D}'_1] - [\widetilde{D}_1] \in \text{Ext}_0^1(D_1, D_1)$. As $\text{Ext}_0^1(D_1, D_1) \subset \text{Ext}_{\iota_{D'}}^1(D_1, D_1)$ (by Proposition 2.29 (2)), this implies $\widetilde{D}_1 \in \text{Ext}_{\iota_{D'}}^1(D_1, D_1)$. So $\text{Ext}_{\iota_D}^1(D_1, D_1) \subset \text{Ext}_{\iota_{D'}}^1(D_1, D_1)$ hence $\text{Ext}_{\iota_{D'}}^1(D_1, D_1) = \text{Ext}_{\iota_D}^1(D_1, D_1)$ by symmetry and $\iota_{D'} \in E^\times \iota_D$ by Proposition 2.29 (3).

(2) Quotienting (2.39) by $\text{Ext}_0^1(D, D)$ yields a surjection $V(D_1, C_1) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}^1(D, D)$. By Theorem 2.32, the kernel is exactly $\mathcal{L}(D, D_1, C_1)$. \square

Now we consider $\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}$ -de Rham deformations for general K . Let $D_{1,\sigma} = \mathfrak{T}_\sigma(D_1)$ and $C_{1,\sigma} = \mathfrak{T}_\sigma(C_1)$ (cf. (2.7)). Let $\iota_\sigma \in \text{Hom}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$. We have similar maps as in (2.34), which induce, by restricting to $\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}$ -de Rham extension groups,

$$\iota_\sigma^- : \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(C_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}), \quad \iota_\sigma^+ : \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(C_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(C_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}).$$

Let $\text{Ext}_{\iota_\sigma}^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) := \text{Im}(\iota_\sigma^-)$, $\text{Ext}_{\iota_\sigma}^1(C_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) := \text{Im}(\iota_\sigma^+)$. Denote by

$$(2.41) \quad \mathcal{I}_{\iota_\sigma} := \{(\tilde{D}_{1,\sigma}, \tilde{C}_{1,\sigma}) \in \text{Ext}_{\iota_\sigma}^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) \times \text{Ext}_{\iota_\sigma}^1(C_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) \mid \\ \exists M \in \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(C_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) \text{ with } \iota_\sigma^-(M) = \tilde{D}_{1,\sigma}, -\iota_\sigma^+(M) = \tilde{C}_{1,\sigma}\}.$$

Similarly as in Proposition 2.29, we have:

Proposition 2.35. *Let $\iota_\sigma \in \text{Hom}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$ be an injection.*

- (1) $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\iota_\sigma}^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) = \dim_E \text{Ext}_{\iota_\sigma}^1(C_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) = 1 + (n-1)(n-2)$.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_g^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) \subset \text{Ext}_{\iota_\sigma}^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma})$ and $\text{Ext}_g^1(C_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) \subset \text{Ext}_{\iota_\sigma}^1(C_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$.
- (3) For $\iota'_\sigma \in \text{Hom}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$, $\text{Ext}_{\iota'_\sigma}^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) = \text{Ext}_{\iota_\sigma}^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma})$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{\iota'_\sigma}^1(C_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) = \text{Ext}_{\iota_\sigma}^1(C_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$ if and only if $\iota'_\sigma = a\iota_\sigma$ for some $a \in E^\times$.

Proof. We still only prove the statements for $D_{1,\sigma}$. By [33, Cor. A.4], $\dim_E \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(C_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) = (n-1)^2 d_K - \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}} \dim_E D_{\text{dR}}^+(D_{1,\sigma} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E}} C_{1,\sigma}^\vee)_\tau = (n-1)^2$. By similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.29 (1), the kernel of $\text{Ext}^1(C_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma})$ has dimension $(n-1) - 1$. But any element in this kernel contains $D_{1,\sigma} \oplus D_{1,\sigma}$ hence is de Rham. We see it is the same as $\text{Ker } \iota_\sigma^-$ and $\text{Ker}(\iota_\sigma^-|_{\text{Ext}_g^1(C_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma})})$. (1) follows. Using [33, Cor. A.4], $\dim_E \text{Ext}_g^1(C_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Together with Proposition 2.20 (2) and comparing dimensions, the induced map $\text{Ext}_g^1(C_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_g^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma})$ is surjective. (2) follows. (3) follows from similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.29 (3) using an analogue of Lemma 2.28 for $\text{Ext}_{\alpha_{i,\sigma}}^1$ with $\alpha_{i,\sigma}$ given as in (2.8) (when $\dim_E \text{Hom}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) = 2$). Note the dévissage arguments in the proof of Lemma 2.28 work when Ext^1 's are all replaced by Ext_σ^1 's, by [33, Prop. A.5]. We leave the details to the reader. \square

For $D_\sigma \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}, \phi_n)$ (which is the subset of $\Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\mathbf{h}))$ defined similarly as $\Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(D_1, C_1, \phi_n)$), set $\mathcal{I}_{D_\sigma} := \mathcal{I}_{\iota_{D_\sigma}}$ (cf. (2.41)) where ι_{D_σ} is the composition $D_{1,\sigma} \hookrightarrow D_\sigma \rightarrow C_{1,\sigma}$. We have by Proposition 2.35 (3) and Proposition 2.8:

Corollary 2.36. *For $D_\sigma, D'_\sigma \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}, \phi_n)$, we have $\mathcal{I}_{D_\sigma} = \mathcal{I}_{D'_\sigma}$ if and only if $D_\sigma \cong D'_\sigma$.*

Consider $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}} : \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) \times \text{Hom}_\sigma(K^\times, E)$, and $\kappa_{\mathcal{G}} : \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(C_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) \times \text{Hom}_\sigma(K^\times, E)$ (cf. (2.27) and (2.25)). The following theorem follows by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.32 (note that all the dévissage arguments used in *loc. cit.* work if Ext^1 's are all replaced by Ext_σ^1 's by [33, Prop. A.5]).

Theorem 2.37. *Let $\tilde{D}_\sigma \in \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$ with $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{D}_\sigma) = (\tilde{D}_{\sigma,1}, \psi)$. The followings are equivalent:*

- (1) $\tilde{D}_\sigma \in \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \cap \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{G}}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$,
- (2) $\tilde{D}_{1,\sigma} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}} \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 - \psi\epsilon) \in \text{Ext}_{\iota_{D_\sigma}}^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma})$.

Moreover, if the equivalent conditions hold, then $\kappa_{\mathcal{G},2}(\tilde{D}_\sigma) = \psi$ and there exists $M \in \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(C_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma})$ such that $\tilde{D}_{1,\sigma} \cong \iota_{D_\sigma}^-(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}} \mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 + \psi\epsilon)$ and $\kappa_{\mathcal{G},1}(\tilde{D}_\sigma) = \iota_{D_\sigma}^+(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}} \mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 + \psi\epsilon)$.

Set $V(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma := (\overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D_{1,\sigma}, D_{1,\sigma}) \times \text{Hom}_\sigma(K^\times, E)) \oplus (\overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(C_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) \times \text{Hom}_\sigma(K^\times, E))$ and $\mathcal{L}(D_\sigma, D_{1,\sigma}, D_{2,\sigma})_\sigma$ to be the subspace consisting of those $((\tilde{D}_{1,\sigma}, \psi), (\tilde{C}_{1,\sigma}, -\psi)) \in V(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma$ such that (cf. (2.41))

$$(\tilde{D}_{1,\sigma} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}} \mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 - \psi\epsilon), \tilde{C}_{1,\sigma} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}} \mathcal{R}_{K,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}(1 + \psi\epsilon)) \in \mathcal{I}_{D_\sigma}.$$

By Proposition 2.8 and the same arguments as in Corollary 2.34, we have:

Corollary 2.38. (1) *Let $D_\sigma, D'_\sigma \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}, \phi_n)$, then $\mathcal{L}(D'_\sigma, D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) = \mathcal{L}(D_\sigma, D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$ if and only if $D_\sigma \cong D'_\sigma$.*

(2) *There is a natural exact sequence*

$$(2.42) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(D_\sigma, D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) \longrightarrow V(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Set $V(D_1, C_1)_\sigma$ to be

$$(\overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D_1, D_1) \times \text{Hom}_\sigma(K^\times, E)) \oplus (\overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(C_1, C_1) \times \text{Hom}_\sigma(K^\times, E)) \subset V(D_1, C_1),$$

and $\mathcal{L}(D, D_1, C_1)_\sigma := \mathcal{L}(D, D_1, C_1) \cap V(D_1, C_1)_\sigma \subset V(D_1, C_1)$. Note $V(D_1, C_1)_\sigma \cong \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \oplus \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{G}}^1(D, D)$ by Proposition 2.17 (2).

Proposition 2.39. *The functor \mathfrak{T}_σ induces a commutative diagram of short exact sequences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}(D, D_1, C_1)_\sigma & \longrightarrow & V(D_1, C_1)_\sigma & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D, D) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \mathfrak{T}_\sigma \downarrow \sim & & \mathfrak{T}_\sigma \downarrow \sim & & \mathfrak{T}_\sigma \downarrow \sim \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}(D_\sigma, D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma & \longrightarrow & V(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the top sequence is induced by (2.40).

Proof. All the maps are clear, and we have seen in the above corollary that the bottom sequence is exact. The left exactness of the top sequence is clear. It is also exact in the middle because of the definition of $\mathcal{L}(D, D_1, C_1)_\sigma$. By Corollary 2.27, the two right vertical maps are both isomorphisms. The proposition follows. \square

Corollary 2.40. *The map (2.26) is surjective. And the same holds with D replaced by D_σ .*

Proof. By the above proposition, $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \oplus \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{G}}^1(D, D) \cong V(D_1, C_1)_\sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D, D)$ is surjective. Using Proposition 2.17 (2), Corollary 2.18 and induction on the rank n , one deduces $\bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\sigma, w}^1(D, D) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D, D)$ is surjective. As $\mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D) \subset \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma, w}^1(D, D)$ for any $w \in S_n$, we see (2.26) is also surjective. The statement for D_σ follows by similar arguments or using Corollary 2.27. \square

3. LOCALLY ANALYTIC CRYSTABELLINE REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$

3.1. Locally analytic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ and extensions.

3.1.1. *Notation and preliminaries.* We introduce some (more) notation on the GL_n -side. Recall T is the torus subgroup of GL_n , and $B \supset T$ is the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices. For a standard parabolic subgroup $P \supset B$ of GL_n , let $L_P \supset T$ be its standard Levi subgroup and P^- its opposite parabolic subgroup. Denote by $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ the corresponding Lie algebras over K . Let $\theta := (0, \dots, 1 - i, \dots, 1 - n)$. For a parabolic subgroup P , let $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that the simple roots of L_P are given by $\{1, \dots, n - 1\} \setminus \{n_1, n_2 + n_1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_{r-1}\}$ (so $L_P \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_r}$). Let $\theta^P := (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n_1}, \underbrace{-n_1, \dots, -n_1}_{n_2}, \dots, \underbrace{-(n_1 + \dots + n_{r-1}), \dots, -(n_1 + \dots + n_{r-1})}_{n_r})$

(so $\theta = \theta^B$), that we view as an algebraic character of L_P . For simplicity, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, we denote by P_i the associated maximal parabolic subgroup such that its standard Levi subgroup $L_i \supset T$ has simple roots $\{1, \dots, n - 1\} \setminus \{i\}$.

For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over K , denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \cong \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{K, \sigma} E =: \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$. For a weight μ of \mathfrak{t}_{Σ_K} , denote by $M^-(\mu) := U(\mathfrak{gl}_{n, \Sigma_K}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b}_{\Sigma_K}^-)} \mu$, and let $L^-(\mu)$ be its unique simple quotient. If μ is anti-dominant (i.e. $\mu_{\sigma, 1} < \mu_{\sigma, 2} < \cdots < \mu_{\sigma, n}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$, where $\mu = (\mu_{\sigma, i})_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_K \\ i=1, \dots, n}}$), then $L^-(\mu)$ is finite dimensional and isomorphic to the dual $L(-\mu)^\vee$, where $L(-\mu)$ is the algebraic representation of $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^K \text{GL}_n$ of highest weight $-\mu$ with respect to $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^K B$.

For an admissible locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation V of $\text{GL}_n(K)$, by [59], its continuous dual V^\vee is naturally a module over the (\mathbb{Q}_p -analytic) distribution algebra $\mathcal{D}(\text{GL}_n(K), E)$, which, equipped with the strong topology, is a coadmissible module over $\mathcal{D}(H, E)$ for a(ny) compact open subgroup H of $\text{GL}_n(K)$. For admissible locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations V_1, V_2 of $\text{GL}_n(K)$, set $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^i(V_1, V_2) := \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{GL}_n(K), E)}^i(V_2^\vee, V_1^\vee)$, where the latter is defined in the abelian category of abstract $\mathcal{D}(\text{GL}_n(K), E)$ -modules. By [14, Lem. 2.1.1], $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(V_1, V_2)$ is equal to the extension group of admissible locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of V_1 by V_2 . If V_1, V_2 are locally algebraic, set $\text{Ext}_{\text{Gal}}^1(V_1, V_2)$ to be the subgroup of locally algebraic extensions. Any representation \tilde{V} in $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(V, V)$ is equipped with a natural $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ structure where ϵ acts via $\tilde{V} \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V \hookrightarrow \tilde{V}$.

Suppose $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(V_1, V_2)$ is finite dimensional over E . For a subspace $U \subset \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(V_1, V_2)$, we can associate a tautological extension of $V_1 \otimes_E U$ by V_2 (for example see the discussion below Theorem 1.3). When $U = \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(V_1, V_2)$, we call the corresponding extension the *universal* extension of V_1 (or $V_1 \otimes_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(V_1, V_2)$) by V_2 .

Let $\phi = \phi_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \phi_n : T(K) \rightarrow E^\times$ be a smooth character. We call ϕ generic if $\phi_i \phi_j^{-1} \neq 1, |\cdot|_K$ for $i \neq j$. For $w \in S_n$, let $w(\phi) := \phi_{w^{-1}(1)} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \phi_{w^{-1}(n)}$. Let $\delta_B = |\cdot|_K^{n-1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes |\cdot|_K^{n+1-2i} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes |\cdot|_K^{-n}$ be the modulus character of $B(K)$ and $\eta := 1 \boxtimes |\cdot|_K \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes |\cdot|_K^{n-1} = |\cdot|_K^{-1} \circ \theta$. Let $I_{\text{sm}}(\phi) := (\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \phi \eta)^\infty$, which is an absolutely irreducible smooth admissible representation of $\text{GL}_n(K)$ when ϕ is generic. Moreover, when ϕ is generic, $I_{\text{sm}}(\phi) \cong I_{\text{sm}}(w(\phi)) =: \pi_{\text{sm}}(\phi)$ for all $w \in S_n$, which is in fact the smooth representation of $\text{GL}_n(K)$ corresponding to the Weil-Deligne representation $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \phi_i$ in the classical local Langlands correspondence.

3.1.2. Principal series. We collect some facts on the locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic principal series of $\text{GL}_n(K)$.

Let \mathbf{h} be a strictly dominant weight of \mathfrak{t}_{Σ_K} , put $\lambda := \mathbf{h} - \theta^{[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]} = (\lambda_{i,\sigma} = h_{i,\sigma} + i - 1)_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_K \\ i=1, \dots, n}}$, which is a dominant weight of \mathfrak{t} . Let ϕ be a generic smooth character of $T(K)$. Put $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) := \pi_{\mathrm{sm}}(\phi) \otimes_E L(\lambda) (\cong I_{\mathrm{sm}}(w(\phi)) \otimes_E L(\lambda)$ for all $w \in S_n$), which is an irreducible locally algebraic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. For $w \in S_n$, put $\mathrm{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) := (\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)\eta z^\lambda)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}} = (\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}(\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta))^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$. We have (where $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(-, -)$ denotes Orlik-Strauch functor [58]):

Proposition 3.1. *Let $w \in S_n$.*

(1) *The irreducible constituents of $\mathrm{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$ are given by $\{\mathcal{C}(w, u) := \mathcal{F}_{B^-}^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(L^-(-u \cdot \lambda), w(\phi)\eta)\}_{u=(u_\sigma) \in S_n^{|\Sigma_K|}}$, which are pairwise distinct. Moreover, if $\mathrm{lg}(u) = 1$, then $\mathcal{C}(w, u)$ has multiplicity one.*

(2) $\mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \mathrm{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) \cong I_{\mathrm{sm}}(w(\phi)) \otimes_E L(\lambda) \cong \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$.

(3) $\mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(\mathrm{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})/\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{u \in S_n^{|\Sigma_K|} \\ \mathrm{lg}(u)=1}} \mathcal{C}(w, u)$.

(4) *For $w' \in S_n$, and $u, u' \in S_n^{|\Sigma_K|}$ with $\mathrm{lg}(u) = \mathrm{lg}(u') = 1$, $\mathcal{C}(w, u) \cong \mathcal{C}(w', u')$ if and only if $u = u' = s_{i,\sigma}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$, and $w(w')^{-1}$ lies in the Weyl group of L_{P_i} .*

Proof. (1) and (4) follow from [58, Thm.] (together with some standard facts on the constituents of the Verma module, see for example [46, Chap. 6]). (2) (3) follow from [57, Thm. 1]. \square

For $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, let $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a subset of cardinality i . By Proposition 3.1 (4), all the representations $\mathcal{C}(w, s_{i,\sigma})$ with $w(\{1, \dots, i\}) = I$ are isomorphic, which we denote by $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$ are pairwise distinct for different $s_{i,\sigma}$ or I . For $w \in S_n$ with $w(\{1, \dots, i\}) = I$, we have (by [57, Thm. 1])

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma}) \cong \mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} z^{-s_{i,\sigma} \cdot \lambda} w(\phi)\eta)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $w \in S_n$ such that $w(\{1, \dots, i\}) = I$.*

(1) *We have $\mathrm{Hom}_{T(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(z^{-s_{i,\sigma} \cdot \lambda} w(\phi)\eta \delta_B, J_B(\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma}))) \cong E$, where $J_B(-)$ denotes the Jacquet-Emerton functor for B (cf. [38]).*

(2) *We have $I_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(z^{-s_{i,\sigma} \cdot \lambda} w(\phi)\eta) \cong \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$, where $I_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(-)$ is Emerton's induction functor [39, § (2.8)].*

Proof. By [58, Thm.], it is easy to see any irreducible constituent of $\mathrm{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$ is a subrepresentation of a certain locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic principal series, hence is very strongly admissible by [39, Prop. 2.1.2]. (1) then follows by [12, Thm. 4.3, Rem. 4.4 (i)]. By *loc. cit.* and [57, Thm. 1], $\mathrm{Hom}_{T(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(z^{-s_{i,\sigma} \cdot \lambda} w(\phi)\eta \delta_B, J_B(\mathcal{C})) =$

0 for any irreducible constituent \mathcal{C} of $(\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} z^{-s_{i,\sigma}\cdot\lambda} w(\phi)\eta)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ with $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$. The natural map $z^{-s_{i,\sigma}\cdot\lambda} w(\phi)\eta\delta_B \hookrightarrow J_B((\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} z^{-s_{i,\sigma}\cdot\lambda} w(\phi)\eta)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}})$ hence has image contained in $J_B(\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma}))$. By definition of $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)}(-)$ (cf. [38, § (2.8)]), (2) follows. \square

Let $\text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$ be the unique subrepresentation of $\text{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$ of socle $I_{\text{sm}}(w(\phi)) \otimes_E L(\lambda)$ and cosocle $\bigoplus_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n-1 \\ \sigma \in \Sigma_K}} \mathcal{C}(w, s_{i,\sigma})$ (with the tautological injection $\text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \text{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$). Throughout the section, we fix isomorphisms

$$(3.2) \quad \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \cong L(\lambda) \otimes_E I_{\text{sm}}(w(\phi)) \left(\hookrightarrow \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) \right).$$

for all $w \in S_n$. The amalgamated sum $\bigoplus_{\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \lambda)}^{w \in S_n} \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$ admits a unique quotient, denoted by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ of socle $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. By Lemma 3.1 (3) (4), $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ is given by an extension of $\bigoplus_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n-1, \sigma \in \Sigma_K \\ I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, \#I=i}} \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$ ($(2^n - 2)d_K$ constituents in total) by $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. Note we have a tautological injection

$$(3.3) \quad \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}).$$

We study the extension group of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$.

Proposition 3.3. (1) For $w \in S_n$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E)$ (cf. (2.17)), we have $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)}(w(\phi)\eta z^\lambda(1 + \psi\epsilon)) \in \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ (using (3.2)). Moreover, the following map is a bijection:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta_w : \text{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})), \\ \psi &\mapsto I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)}(w(\phi)\eta z^\lambda(1 + \psi\epsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

and induces $\text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\text{alg}}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$. In particular, $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{alg}}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = n$, $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = n + d_K$.

(2) For $w_1, w_2 \in S_n$, the following diagram commutes:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\zeta_{w_1}} & \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ w_2 w_1^{-1} \downarrow \sim & & \parallel \\ \text{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\zeta_{w_2}} & \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})). \end{array}$$

Proof. For $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_0 \circ \det$ with $\psi_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T(K), E)$ and $\psi_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}(K^\times, E)$, it is easy to see the natural map

$$\begin{aligned} w(\phi)\eta z^\lambda(1 + \psi\epsilon)\delta_B &\hookrightarrow J_B((\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)\eta z^\lambda(1 + \psi\epsilon))^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}) \\ &\hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)z^\lambda\eta(1 + \psi\epsilon))^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}} \end{aligned}$$

factors through the subrepresentation $(\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)\eta(1 + \psi_1\epsilon))^{\mathrm{sm}} \otimes_E L(\lambda) \otimes_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} (1 + \epsilon\psi_0 \circ \det)$. By definition ([39, § (2.8)]), we see

$$I_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(w(\phi)\eta z^\lambda(1 + \psi\epsilon)) \cong (\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)\eta(1 + \psi_1\epsilon))^{\mathrm{sm}} \otimes_E L(\lambda) \otimes_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} (1 + \psi_0 \circ \det).$$

Together with the isomorphism $I_{\mathrm{sm}}(w(\phi)) \otimes_E L(\lambda) \cong \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (3.2), it gives a well-defined element in $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$. By [62, Prop. 4.7], we have (where $Z \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ denotes the centre, and the subscript “ Z ” stands for fixing central character)

$$(3.6) \quad \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{alg}, Z}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K), Z}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

By classical smooth representation theory, the restriction of ζ_w induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T(K)/Z(K), E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{alg}, Z}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ (so the latter has dimension $n - 1$). Using similar arguments as in [15, Lem. 3.16] (and the aforementioned discussion), we obtain a commutative diagram of short exact sequences (we omit $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, (ϕ, \mathbf{h}))

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T(K)/Z(K), E) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(Z(K), E) \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta_w & & \parallel \\ \mathrm{Ext}_Z^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}, \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}, \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(Z(K), E) \end{array}$$

So ζ_w is a bijection and $\dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = n + d_K$. For (2), it suffices to prove the statement for g' replaced by “sm”. This is a classical fact. Indeed, let \mathcal{H} (resp. $\mathcal{H}_i \cong \mathbb{G}_m$) be the Bernstein centre over E associated to the smooth representation $\pi_{\mathrm{sm}}(\phi)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ (resp. ϕ_i of K^\times) (cf. [24, § 3.13]). By [24, Lem. 3.22], for each $w \in S_n$, there is a natural map $\mathcal{J}_w : \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{H}_{w^{-1}(i)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{H}$ sending a point $(\phi'_i) \in \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{H}_{w^{-1}(i)}$ to the point (associated to) $(\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} (\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \phi'_i)\eta)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ of \mathcal{H} . Moreover, the tangent map of \mathcal{J}_w at $(\phi_{w^{-1}(i)})$ coincides with ζ_w . The intertwining property implies that for $w_1, w_2 \in S_n$, $\mathcal{J}_{w_2} = (w_2 w_1^{-1}) \circ \mathcal{J}_{w_1}$ where $w_2 w_1^{-1}$ here denotes the morphism

$\prod_{i=1}^n \text{Spec } \mathcal{H}_{w_1^{-1}(i)} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Spec } \mathcal{H}_{w_2^{-1}(i)}$, $(\phi'_i) \mapsto (\phi'_{(w_2 w_1^{-1})^{-1}(i)})$. By considering the corresponding tangent maps of \mathcal{J}_{w_1} , \mathcal{J}_{w_2} , we deduce the commutativity of (3.5) (with g' replaced by “sm”). This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. Note that ζ_w is in fact independent of the choice of (3.2).

Lemma 3.5. For any $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$, we have:

- (1) $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n}^1(\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = \dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})) = 1$.
- (2) Let $\tilde{\pi}_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \in \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ be non-split, then the following pull-back map (via $\tilde{\pi}_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$) is a bijection:

$$(3.7) \quad \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\tilde{\pi}_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})).$$

Proof. (1) follows from [22, Prop. 5.1.14] together with [22, Lem. 3.2.4 (ii)] (when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, the part on $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n}^1(\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ was proved in [16, Cor. 5.9]). We give a proof of (2) and an alternative proof of the second equality in (1) using Schraen’s spectral sequence [62, Cor. 4.9] (for $G = \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^K \text{GL}_n$). First, note by the same argument below [62, Cor. 4.9], the separatedness assumption in [62, Cor. 4.9] is satisfied for either $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ or any $\tilde{\pi}_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ in (2) (noting by Proposition 3.3 (1) and the proof, $\tilde{\pi}_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})|_{\text{SL}_n(K)}$ is locally algebraic). Let $\delta := z^{-s_{i,\sigma} \cdot \lambda} w(\phi) \eta$. By [62, Cor. 4.9], we have a spectral sequence

$$(3.8) \quad \text{Ext}_{T(K)}^p(H_q(N^-(K), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})), \delta) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^{p+q}(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), (\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \delta)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}})$$

where N^- is the unipotent radical of B^- . Recall for characters χ, χ' of $T(K)$ over E , we have $\text{Ext}_{T(K)}^i(\chi, \chi') = 0$ for all i if $\chi \neq \chi'$. This, together with [62, (4.40), (4.41), (4.42)] and the classical fact $J_{N^-}(I_{\text{sm}}(\phi)) \cong \bigoplus_{w' \in S_n} w'(\phi) \eta$ (where $J_{N^-}(-)$ denotes the classical Jacquet module for N^-), imply that for $p+q=1$, the only non-zero term on the left hand side of (3.8) is $\text{Hom}_{T(K)}(H_1(N^-(K), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})), \delta) \cong \text{Hom}_{T(K)}(\delta, \delta) \cong E$. So $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), (\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \delta)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}) \cong E$. By (3.1) and [34, Lem. 2.26], the natural push-forward map is an isomorphism: $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), (\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \delta)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}})$. This proves the second equality in (1). Similarly with $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ replaced by $\tilde{\pi}_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$, we get

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\tilde{\pi}_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})) \\ & \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\tilde{\pi}_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), (\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} z^{-s_{i,\sigma} \cdot \lambda} w(\phi) \eta)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}) \\ & \cong \text{Hom}_{T(K)}(w(\phi) \eta z^{-s_{i,\sigma} \cdot \lambda} (1 + \psi \epsilon), w(\phi) \eta z^{-s_{i,\sigma} \cdot \lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi = \zeta_w^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \in \text{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E)$. As $\tilde{\pi}_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ is non-split, $\psi \neq 0$ hence the right hand side of (3.9) is one dimensional over E . However, by an easy dévissage, (3.7) is injective hence has to be bijective. \square

For $w \in S_n$, consider the natural map

$$\text{Hom}(T(K), E) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\text{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}))$$

sending ψ to $(\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)\eta z^\lambda(1 + \psi\epsilon))^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$. Composed with the pull-back map for (3.2) and using [34, Lem. 2.26], it induces:

$$(3.10) \quad \text{Hom}(T(K), E) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})).$$

Composed furthermore with the push-forward map via the injection $\text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (associated to (3.2), see also (3.3)), we finally obtain a map

$$(3.11) \quad \zeta_w : \text{Hom}(T(K), E) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

Note that the map ζ_w does not depend on the choice of (3.2).

Proposition 3.6. (1) For $w \in S_n$, the map (3.10) is bijective. In particular, we have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})) = n + nd_K$.
(2) For $w \in S_n$, $\zeta_w|_{\text{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E)}$ is equal to the composition of (3.4) with the push-forward map $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$.

Proof. (1) follows from similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, using Schraen's spectral sequence [62, Cor. 4.9] and [33, Lem. 2.26]. We leave the details to the reader. (2) is clear (see also Remark 3.7 below). \square

Remark 3.7. The map ζ_w can also be obtained by using Emerton's functor $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)}(-)$. In fact, by definition (cf. [39, § (2.8)]) and using [34, Lem. 2.26], it is straightforward to see for $\psi \in \text{Hom}(T(K), E)$, $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)}(w(\phi)\eta z^\lambda(1 + \psi\epsilon)) \subset (\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)\eta z^\lambda(1 + \psi\epsilon))^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ is an extension of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \cong I_{\text{sm}}(w(\phi)) \otimes_E L(\lambda)$ by a certain subrepresentation V of $\text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$. Then $\zeta_w(\psi)$ is just its image of the push-forward map via $V \hookrightarrow \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$.

Proposition 3.8. (1) We have an exact sequence

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n-1, \sigma \in \Sigma_K \\ I \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \#I=i}} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular, $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = n + (2^n - 1)d_K$.

(2) The following map is surjective:

$$(3.13) \quad t_{\phi, \mathbf{h}} : \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Hom}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow{(\zeta_w)} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

Proof. We omit the subscript “ $\text{GL}_n(K)$ ” in the proof. The sequence follows by dévissage, and it suffices to prove the second last map in (3.12) is surjective. For $w \in S_n$, using dévissage, we have an exact sequence

$$(3.14) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})) \\ \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n-1 \\ \sigma \in \Sigma_K}} \text{Ext}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(w, s_{i, \sigma})).$$

By comparing dimensions (using Proposition 3.3 (1), Proposition 3.6 (1) and Lemma 3.5 (1)), the last map in (3.14) is surjective. The following diagram clearly commutes

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n-1 \\ \sigma \in \Sigma_K}} \text{Ext}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(w, s_{i, \sigma})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n-1, \sigma \in \Sigma_K \\ I \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \#I=i}} \text{Ext}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i, \sigma})). \end{array}$$

Varying w , the image of the right vertical map can “cover” the target. Together with the surjectivity of the top map, we see the bottom map is also surjective. (2) follows by the first statement in Proposition 3.6 (1) and (3.12). And the dimension part in (1) follows then from Lemma 3.5 (1) and Proposition 3.6 (1). \square

Remark 3.9. By Proposition 3.6 (1) and [34, Lem. 2.26] (and using (3.2)), for $w \in S_n$, we have $\zeta_w : \text{Hom}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}))$. Denote by $\pi(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ the unique quotient of $\bigoplus_{\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})}^{w \in S_n} \text{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$ of socle $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (cf. [21, Def. 5.7], which is the representation $\pi(\rho)^{\text{fs}}$ of loc. cit.). The representation $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ is in fact the first two layers in the socle filtration of $\pi(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. Moreover, using again [34, Lem. 2.26], we have

$$(3.16) \quad \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

Proposition 3.8 (2) hence holds with $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ replaced by $\pi(\phi, \mathbf{h})$.

Denote by

$$\text{Ext}_g^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \subset \text{Ext}_{g'}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \subset \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$$

the respective image of $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{alg}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$, $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$, and $\mathrm{Im}(\zeta_w)$ for $w \in S_n$. We also use the notation $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_w}^1$ for Ext_w^1 whenever it is convenient for the context where \mathcal{F}_w is the B -filtration of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \phi_i$ associated to w . So ζ_w (3.11) induces an isomorphism

$$(3.17) \quad \zeta_w : \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

By Proposition 3.3 (2), for $w_1, w_2 \in S_n$, the following diagram commutes

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\zeta_{w_1}} & \mathrm{Ext}_{g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ w_2 w_1^{-1} \downarrow \sim & & \parallel \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\zeta_{w_2}} & \mathrm{Ext}_{g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})). \end{array}$$

3.1.3. Parabolic inductions. Let $P \supset B$ be a standard parabolic subgroup of GL_n with $L_P = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}, \dots, \mathrm{GL}_{n_r})$. Let \mathcal{W}_P be the Weyl group of L_P . Let \mathcal{F}_P be a P -filtration of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \phi_i$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i} := \bigotimes \phi_j$ for $\phi_j \in \mathrm{gr}_i \mathcal{F}_P$ (where the order of these ϕ_j does not matter here). For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $\mathbf{h}^i := (\mathbf{h}_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{n_1+\dots+n_i})$, $\lambda^i = (h_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1, \sigma}, \dots, h_{n_1+\dots+n_i, \sigma+n_i-1})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K}$. Applying the constructions in § 3.1.2 to $(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)$, we obtain $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(K)$ -representations $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)$, $\pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)$ etc. Note when $n_i = 1$, we have $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) = \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) = \phi_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}} z^{\mathbf{h}_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}}}$. We fix an isomorphism $(\mathrm{Ind}_{P^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} (\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathrm{lalg}} \cong \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (where the superscript “lalg” means locally algebraic induction) and (then) fix isomorphisms $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) \cong I_{\mathrm{sm}}(w_i(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i})) \otimes_E L(\lambda^i)$ for all i and $w_i \in S_{n_i}$ such that the composition (noting the first isomorphism is obtained by the transitivity of parabolic induction) $I_{\mathrm{sm}}(w(\phi)) \otimes_E L(\lambda) \cong (\mathrm{Ind}_{P^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} (\boxtimes_{i=1}^r I_{\mathrm{sm}}(w_i(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i})) \otimes_E L(\lambda^i)) \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathrm{lalg}} \cong \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ coincides the fixed isomorphism (3.2) for all $w \in \mathcal{W}_P$. Consider the parabolic induction

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{Ind}_{P^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} (\widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}} \\ & \longleftarrow (\mathrm{Ind}_{P^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} (\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathrm{lalg}} \cong \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\boxtimes}$ denotes the completed (injective or equivalently projective) tensor product over E (cf. [40, Prop. 1.1.31]).

Lemma 3.10. *For $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$ and $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\#I = i$, $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i, \sigma})$ appears as an irreducible constituent of $(\mathrm{Ind}_{P^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} (\widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

(1) there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $(n_1 + \dots + n_{k-1}) + 1 \leq i \leq (n_1 + \dots + n_k) - 1$ and $\{j \mid \phi_j \in \text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P, k-1}\} \subset I \subset \{j \mid \phi_j \in \text{Fil}_{\mathcal{F}_P, k}\}$,
 (2) $i = n_1 + \dots + n_k$ for some $k = 1, \dots, r-1$, and $I = \{j \mid \phi_j \in \text{Fil}_k \mathcal{F}_P\}$.
 Moreover, each of such constituents has multiplicity one, and lies in the socle of

$$(3.20) \quad (\text{Ind}_{P^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} (\widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}} / \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}).$$

Proof. Let $V_0 := (\text{Ind}_{P^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} (\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$. For all i as in (2), $L^-(-s_{i,\sigma} \cdot \lambda)$ has multiplicity one in the parabolic Verma module $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{n, \Sigma_K}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p}_{\Sigma_K})} (-\lambda)$ and lies in the cosocle of $\text{Ker}[U(\mathfrak{gl}_{n, \Sigma_K}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p}_{\Sigma_K})} (-\lambda) \rightarrow L^-(-\lambda)]$. Using [58, Thm.], we deduce the constituents for i as in (2) appear with multiplicity one in V_0 , and all lie in the socle of (3.20).

For i as in (1), let $V_I := (\text{Ind}_{P^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} ((\boxtimes_{i=1, \dots, r, i \neq k} \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) \widehat{\boxtimes} \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})_k) \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ where $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})_k$ denotes the corresponding representation in the cosocle of $\pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)$. By (3.1) for $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})_k$ and the transitivity of parabolic inductions, V_I injects into $(\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} z^{-s_{i,\sigma} \cdot \lambda} w(\phi) \eta)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ for any $w \in S_n$ satisfying $w(\{1, \dots, i\}) = I$. Since the latter representation has socle $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$ with multiplicity one (cf. (3.1)), so does its subrepresentation V_I . It is not difficult to see these give all the $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$ appearing in (1), and they all have multiplicity one. Let U be the closed subrepresentation of $\pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, k}, \mathbf{h}^k)$ of the form $[\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, k}, \mathbf{h}^k) - \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})_k]$, which is clearly a closed subrepresentation of a certain principal series of $\text{GL}_{n_k}(K)$. Using the transitivity of parabolic inductions, one sees $W := (\text{Ind}_{P^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} ((\boxtimes_{i=1, \dots, r, i \neq k} \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) \widehat{\boxtimes} U) \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ is a closed subrepresentation of $(\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} z^\lambda w(\phi) \eta)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ with $w \in S_n$ satisfying $w(\{1, \dots, i\}) = I$. For the latter representation, $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$ has multiplicity one and lies in the socle of its quotient by $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. We then deduce $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$ lies in the socle of $W/\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ hence in the socle of (3.20).

Finally, by [58, Thm.], one sees every $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$ in the representation $(\text{Ind}_{P^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} (\widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ must come from either V_0 or V_I with I as in (1), and has multiplicity one. This completes the proof. \square

Denote by $S_{\mathcal{F}_P}$ the subset of the constituents $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$, which satisfy one of the conditions in Lemma 3.10. We have

$$(3.21) \quad \#S_{\mathcal{F}_P} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (2^{n_i} - 2) + (r-1) \right) d_K.$$

The representation $(\text{Ind}_{P-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i))\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ contains a unique subrepresentation $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ such that $\text{soc}_{\text{GL}_n(K)} \pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})/\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathcal{C} \in S_{\mathcal{F}_P}} \mathcal{C}$. Note when $P = B$, $\mathcal{F}_P = \mathcal{T}_w$, then $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \cong \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$. It is easy to see the injection $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (cf. (3.19)) uniquely extends to $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$.

Proposition 3.11. *We have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = n + d_K r + d_K \sum_{i=1}^r (2^{n_i} - 2)$. And the following push-forward map is injective*

$$(3.22) \quad \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

Proof. We have an exact sequence by dévissage

$$(3.23) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \bigoplus_{\mathcal{C} \notin S_{\mathcal{F}_P}} \mathcal{C}).$$

The injectivity of (3.22) follows. By Proposition 3.8 (1), the last map in (3.23) is surjective. The first part follows then by a direct calculation using Proposition 3.8 (1), Lemma 3.5 (1) and (3.21). \square

Set $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ to be the image of (3.22). The injection $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ induces a natural injection

$$(3.24) \quad \text{Ext}_g^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

We have natural maps

$$(3.25) \quad \text{Ext}_{L_P(K)}^1 \left(\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) \right) \\ \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1 \left(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), (\text{Ind}_{P-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i))\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}} \right) \\ \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})),$$

where the first map is obtained by taking $(\text{Ind}_{P-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} - \otimes_E \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ and using the pull-back via $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow (\text{Ind}_{P-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i))\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ (cf. (3.19)), and where the second map is the natural push-forward map, which is bijective by [34, Lem. 2.26] (and Lemma 3.10).

For $(\tilde{\pi}_i) \in \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_{n_i}}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i))$, consider the $L_P(K)$ -representation $\widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \tilde{\pi}_i$ (where the completed tensor product is taken over E). It is clear that $\widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \tilde{\pi}_i$ admits a quotient V given by an extension of $\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)$

by $W := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \left(\widehat{\boxtimes}_{j=1, \dots, r, j \neq i} \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, j}, \mathbf{h}^j) \boxtimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) \right)$. The push-forward of V via the natural map $W \rightarrow \widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)$ (induced by $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)$) gives an element in $\text{Ext}_{L_P(K)}^1 \left(\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) \right)$. In this way, we obtain a map

$$(3.26) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_{n_i}(K)}^1 \left(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) \right) \\ \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{L_P(K)}^1 \left(\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) \right).$$

Together with (3.25), we finally get a map:

$$(3.27) \quad \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_P} : \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_{n_i}(K)}^1 \left(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) \right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1 \left(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \right).$$

For $w \in S_n$, let \mathcal{I}_w be the B -filtration of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \phi_i$ associated to w . Suppose \mathcal{I}_w is compatible with \mathcal{F}_P . It is clear that $\text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$ is a subrepresentation of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (e.g. by comparing constituents and using Lemma 3.5 (1)), hence (by dévissage) $\text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$.

Proposition 3.12. (1) *The map $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_P}$ is bijective.*

(2) *For any w such that the associated B -filtration \mathcal{I}_w is compatible with \mathcal{F}_P , the following diagram commutes*

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Hom}(T(K) \cap L_{P,i}(K), E) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(T(K), E) \\ \sim \downarrow (3.17) & & \sim \downarrow (3.17) \\ \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{I}_{w,i}}^1 \left(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i) \right) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Ext}_w^1 \left(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \right) \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{w,i}$ is the induced $B \cap L_P$ -filtration on $\text{gr}_i \mathcal{F}_P$, and the bottom map is induced by $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_P}$.

Proof. Given $\psi = (\psi_i) \in \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Hom}(T(K) \cap L_{P,i}(K), E) \cong \text{Hom}(T(K), E)$, we have $(1 + \psi\epsilon) \cong \boxtimes_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}^{i=1, \dots, r} (1 + \psi_i\epsilon)$ as character of $T(K)$ over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ hence as element in $\text{Ext}_{T(K)}^1(1, 1)$. Note $\boxtimes_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}^{i=1, \dots, r} (1 + \psi_i\epsilon)$ admits an extension construction in a similar way as given above (3.26). The commutativity of (3.28) then follows by definition and the transitivity of parabolic inductions (see also the discussion above (3.19)). In particular, we deduce the bottom map of (3.28) is bijective. Note that any $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma}) \in S_{\mathcal{F}_P}$ is a constituent of some $\text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$ with \mathcal{I}_w

compatible with \mathcal{F}_P . By similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 3.8, one sees the natural map (“ \subset ” means compatible)

$$(3.29) \quad \bigoplus_{\mathcal{T}_w \subset \mathcal{F}_P} \mathrm{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$$

is surjective, hence so is $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_P}$. By Proposition 3.8 (1) and Proposition 3.11, both sides of (3.27) have the same dimension over E hence $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_P}$ is bijective. \square

Let $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P, g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) := \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\prod_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Ext}_{g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)))$. By Proposition 3.12 (2), and (3.18), assuming \mathcal{T}_{w_i} compatible with \mathcal{F}_P , the following diagram commutes (cf. (2.21)):

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{P, g'}(T(K), E) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\zeta_{w_1}} & \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P, g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \parallel \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{P, g'}(T(K), E) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\zeta_{w_2}} & \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P, g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})). \end{array}$$

We finally discuss some intertwining properties related to § 2.4. Let $\phi^1 := \phi_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \phi_{n-1} : T_{n-1}(K) \rightarrow E^\times$, $\mathbf{h}^1 := (\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{n-1})$ and $\mathbf{h}^2 := (\mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_n)$ which are dominant weights of $\mathfrak{t}_{n-1, \Sigma_K}$. We have locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(K)$ -representations $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^i) \subset \pi_1(\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and parabolic inductions $(\mathrm{Ind}_{P_1^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(\pi_1(\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^1) \otimes \varepsilon) \boxtimes \phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n})^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ and $(\mathrm{Ind}_{P_2^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_1} \varepsilon^{n-1} \boxtimes \pi_1(\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^2))^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$. Let \mathcal{F} be the filtration $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \phi_i$ and \mathcal{G} be the filtration $\phi_n \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \phi_i$. By Lemma 3.10, $C(I, s_{i, \sigma})$ appears in $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (resp. in $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$) if and only if $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$ and $I \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $\#I = i$ (resp. $I = I_1 \cup \{n\}$ with $I_1 \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\#I_1 = i-1$). In particular, $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})/\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \cong (\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})/\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \oplus (\pi_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})/\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$. The following proposition is straightforward (where the right exactness of the last sequence follows by comparing dimensions, using Proposition 3.3 (1), Proposition 3.8 (1) and Proposition 3.11):

Proposition 3.13. *There is a natural exact sequence of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ -representations*

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \longrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \oplus \pi_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, we have a natural exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.14. *By Proposition 3.12 (1), we have a bijection*

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}} : \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_{n-1}}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^1), \pi_1(\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^1)) \times \text{Hom}(K^\times, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})),$$

and a similar bijection $\zeta_{\mathcal{G}}$.

3.1.4. Locally σ -analytic parabolic inductions. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$. Recall a locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation V of $\text{GL}_n(K)$ over E is called locally σ -analytic if the $\mathfrak{gl}_n(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -action (obtained by derivation) on V factors through $\mathfrak{gl}_n(K) \otimes_{K, \sigma} E$ (cf. [61, § 2]). And V is called $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}$ -algebraic if $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}})v$ is a finite dimensional algebraic representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}$ over E for all $v \in V$. Let λ_σ be the σ -component of λ , and $\lambda^\sigma := (\lambda_\tau)_{\tau \neq \sigma}$. We also view them as weights of \mathfrak{t}_{Σ_K} in the obvious way. For $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\#I = i$, let $w \in S_n$ such that $w(\{1, \dots, i\}) = I$. We have

$$\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i, \sigma}) \cong \mathcal{F}_{B^-}^{\text{GL}_n}(L^-(-s_{i, \sigma} \cdot \lambda), w(\phi)\eta) \cong \mathcal{F}_{B^-}^{\text{GL}_n}(L^-(-s_{i, \sigma} \cdot \lambda_\sigma), w(\phi)\eta) \otimes_E L(\lambda^\sigma).$$

Note we have $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}^{\text{GL}_n}(L^-(-s_{i, \sigma} \cdot \lambda_\sigma), w(\phi)\eta) \hookrightarrow (\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)\eta z^{s_{i, \sigma} \cdot \lambda_\sigma})^{\sigma\text{-an}}$, where the sup-script “ σ -an” means the locally σ -analytic induction. So the both are locally σ -analytic. Let $\pi_{1, \sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ be the subrepresentation of $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ given by the extension of $\bigoplus_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n-1 \\ I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, \#I=i}} \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i, \sigma})$ by $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \lambda)$. Similarly, for $w \in S_n$, let $\text{PS}_{1, \sigma}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) \subset \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$ be the subrepresentation with irreducible constituents $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ and $\mathcal{C}(w, s_{i, \sigma})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. It is easy to see

$$\text{PS}_{1, \sigma}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) = \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) \cap ((\text{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)\eta z^{\lambda_\sigma})^{\sigma\text{-an}} \otimes_E L(\lambda^\sigma)) \hookrightarrow \text{PS}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}).$$

Moreover, $\pi_{1, \sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ is the unique quotient of $\bigoplus_{\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \lambda)}^{w \in S_n} \text{PS}_{1, \sigma}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$ of socle $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. In particular, $\pi_{1, \sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}$ -algebraic. In fact, $\pi_{1, \sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ is the maximal $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}$ -algebraic subrepresentation of $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$.

For $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}$ -algebraic representations V, W , we denote by $\text{Ext}_\sigma^1(V, W) \subset \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(V, W)$ the subspace of extensions, which are $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}$ -algebraic. Let $\text{Hom}_{\sigma, g'}(T(K), E) := \text{Hom}_{g'}(T(K), E) \cap \text{Hom}_\sigma(T(K), E)$ (recalling $\text{Hom}_\sigma(T(K), E)$ is just the subspace of locally σ -analytic characters).

Lemma 3.15. *We have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = n + 1$, and (3.4) induces an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\sigma, g'}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$.*

Proof. As $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K), Z}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \subset \text{Ext}_{\text{alg}}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ (by (3.6)) hence is contained in $\text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$, we have an exact sequence

(similarly as in [15, Lem. 3.16])

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K), Z}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\sigma}(Z(K), E) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The first part follows. It is clear that (3.4) induces the map in the lemma by restriction, which is hence injective. However, both the source and target spaces have the same dimension $n + 1$, so the map is bijective. \square

Proposition 3.16. *Let $w \in S_n$, the map (3.10) induces an isomorphism*

$$(3.31) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\sigma}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathrm{PS}_{1,\sigma}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})).$$

Proof. For $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\sigma}(T(K), E)$, by similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 3.3, $I_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(w(\phi)\eta z^{\lambda}(1+\psi\epsilon))$ is a subrepresentation of $(\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} w(\phi)\eta z^{\lambda\sigma}(1+\psi\epsilon))^{\sigma\text{-an}} \otimes_E L(\lambda^{\sigma})$, hence is $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}$ -algebraic. Together with the description of (3.10) in Remark 3.7, we deduce (3.10) induces the injective map in (3.31) by restriction. We have an exact sequence by dévissage

$$(3.32) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathrm{PS}_{1,\sigma}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})) \\ \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(w(\phi), s_{i,\sigma})).$$

By Lemma 3.15 and Lemma 3.5 (1), $\dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathrm{PS}_{1,\sigma}(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})) \leq (n+1) + (n-1) = 2n$. However, the source of (the injective) (3.31) has dimension $2n$, so (3.31) must be bijective. \square

Remark 3.17. *By the above proof, we see the last map in (3.32) is surjective and $\mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(w(\phi), s_{i,\sigma})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(w(\phi), s_{i,\sigma}))$.*

Denote by $\mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma, g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$) the image of $\mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$) via the (injective) push-forward map. It is easy to see

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma, g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = \mathrm{Ext}_{g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \cap \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

Proposition 3.18. (1) *We have an exact sequence*

$$(3.33) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n-1 \\ I \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \#I=i}} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

And $\dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = n + 2^n - 1$.

(2) The map (3.13) induces a surjection $t_{\phi, \mathbf{h}} : \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Hom}_\sigma(T(K), E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$.

(3) The following map is surjective

$$(3.34) \quad \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_K} \text{Ext}_\tau^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})),$$

and induces an isomorphism

$$(3.35) \quad \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_K} (\text{Ext}_\tau^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) / \text{Ext}_g^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) / \text{Ext}_g^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

Proof. (1) follows by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.8 (1). (2) follows from Proposition 3.16 and Remark 3.17 by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.8 (2). The first part of (3) follows easily by comparing the exact sequences (3.12) and (3.33). It is clear that (3.34) induces (3.35), which is hence surjective. However, both the sources and target spaces have the same dimension $(2^n - 1)d_K$ by (1) and Proposition 3.3 (1), Proposition 3.8 (1). So (3.35) is bijective. \square

Now let P be a standard parabolic subgroup of GL_n , and \mathcal{F}_P be a P -filtration on ϕ . We use the notation in § 3.1.3. Let $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_P, \sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) := \pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \cap \pi_{1, \sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$, which is the maximal $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}$ -algebraic subrepresentation of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. Then $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_P, \sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ is an extension of the direct sum of $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i, \sigma}) \in S_{\mathcal{F}_P}$ (for the fixed σ) by $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. We denote by $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ the image of $\text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\mathcal{F}_P, \sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ via the (injective) push-forward map. As previously, we also write $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, w}^1$ for $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_w}^1$. One easily sees $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \cap \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$.

Proposition 3.19. (1) We have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = n + r + \sum_{i=1}^r (2^{n_i} - 2)$.

(2) The isomorphism (3.27) induces an isomorphism

$$(3.36) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \lambda)).$$

Moreover, for any w such that the associated B -filtration \mathcal{F}_w is compatible with \mathcal{F}_P , the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Hom}_\sigma(T(K) \cap L_{P, i}(K), E) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}_\sigma(T(K), E) \\ \sim \downarrow (3.31) & & \sim \downarrow (3.31) \\ \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_{w, i}}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_{1, \sigma}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Ext}_{\sigma, w}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{1, \sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{w,i}$ is the induced $B \cap L_P$ -filtration on $\mathrm{gr}_i \mathcal{F}_P$.

Proof. By dévissage, Lemma 3.10 and a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.16 (noting for a fixed σ , $\#\{\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma}) \in S_{\mathcal{F}_P}\} = \sum_{i=1}^r (2^{n_i} - 2) + (r-1)$), we see $\dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \leq n+r + \sum_{i=1}^r (2^{n_i} - 2)$ and that (3.27) restricts to an injective map as in (3.36). Its source space has dimension $n+r + \sum_{i=1}^r (2^{n_i} - 2)$ by Proposition 3.18 (1). We deduce (3.36) is bijective and (1) follows. The second part of (2) follows from (3.28). \square

Finally, we have similarly as in Proposition 3.13:

Proposition 3.20. *Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be as in Proposition 3.13, there is a natural exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F},\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \oplus \pi_{\mathcal{G},\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow \pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, we have a natural exact sequence*

$$(3.37) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{G}'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{G}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

3.2. Hodge parameters in $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ -representations.

3.2.1. *Construction and properties.* In this section, we associate to $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ a locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation $\pi_{\min}(D)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ over E , which determines those Hodge parameters of D reinterpreted in § 2.2 (hence determines D when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$).

Consider the following composition (see (2.15) and (3.13) for the maps)

$$(3.38) \quad \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \xrightarrow[\sim]{(\kappa_w)} \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow{t_{\phi, \mathbf{h}}} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

The following theorem is crucial for the paper.

Theorem 3.21. *The natural surjection $\bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)$ (cf. Proposition 2.12) factors through (3.38), i.e. there exists a unique map*

$$(3.39) \quad t_D : \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)$$

such that $\bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)$ is equal to t_D composed with (3.38).

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on n . It is trivial for $n = 1$. Suppose it holds for $n - 1$. As in § 2.4, let $D_1 \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^1)$ (resp. $C_1 \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^2)$) be the corresponding saturate (φ, Γ) -submodule (resp. quotient) of D (where

$\phi^1 := \phi_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \phi_{n-1}$, $\mathbf{h}^1 := (\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{n-1})$, and $\mathbf{h}^2 := (\mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_n)$, and \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{G} be the associated filtrations on D . For $w \in S_{n-1}$, the following diagram commutes (cf. (2.20)):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}(T_1(K), E) \times \mathrm{Hom}(K^\times, E) \\
\kappa_w \uparrow \sim & & \kappa_w \uparrow \sim \\
\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D_1, D_1) \times \mathrm{Hom}(K^\times, E) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow[\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}]{\sim} & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D_1, D_1) \times \mathrm{Hom}(K^\times, E).
\end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis, the map $\oplus_{w \in S_{n-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D_1, D_1) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D_1, D_1)$ factors through the following map (defined similarly as in (3.38))

$$t_{\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^1} : \oplus_{w \in S_{n-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D_1, D_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^1), \pi_1(\phi^1, \mathbf{h}^1)).$$

This together with Proposition 3.12 and (2.19) imply $\oplus_{w \in S_{n-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) (\hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D))$ factors through

$$(3.40) \quad t_{\mathcal{F}, D} : \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D).$$

Let $S'_{n-1} := \{w \in S_n \mid w(n) = 1\}$, that is a subset of S_n of cardinality $(n-1)!$. By a similar discussion with D_1 replaced by C_1 , the map $\oplus_{w \in S'_{n-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)$ factors through $t_{\mathcal{G}, D} : \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)$. By (3.18) and (2.13) (with g replaced by g'), the following diagram commutes (see (3.24) for the injections from $\mathrm{Ext}_{g'}^1$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Ext}_{g'}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow t_{\mathcal{F}, D} \\
\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) & \xrightarrow{t_{\mathcal{G}, D}} & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D).
\end{array}$$

Hence by the second exact sequence in Proposition 3.13, the composition

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\
& \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}}^1(D, D) \oplus \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{G}}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)
\end{aligned}$$

factors through a map

$$(3.41) \quad t_D : \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D).$$

Next, we show t_D satisfies the property in the theorem. By construction, the map $\bigoplus_{w \in S_{n-1} \cup S'_{n-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)$ factors through t_D . It suffices to show for the other $w \in S_n$, $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)$ also factors as

$$(3.42) \quad \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\kappa_w} \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow{\zeta_w} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{(3.41)} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D).$$

Suppose hence $w(n) = i$ with $1 < i < n$. We have

$$(3.43) \quad \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}(Z_j(K), E) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(Z(K), E),$$

where $Z_j \subset T$ is the centre of the Levi subgroup L_j (containing T) of the maximal parabolic subgroup P_j (with $j \notin \mathcal{W}_{P_j}$). For any $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, $\kappa_w^{-1}(\mathrm{Hom}(Z_j(K), E)) \subset \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_{P_j, g'}}^1(D, D)$ (cf. Corollary 2.15), where \mathcal{F}_{P_j} is the P_j -filtration associated to the B -filtration \mathcal{T}_w (such that \mathcal{T}_w is compatible with \mathcal{F}_{P_j}). Let w_j be an element in the Weyl group of L_j such that $w_j(i) = 1$ or $w_j(i) = n$ (whose existence is clear). By Corollary 2.15 (2) and (3.30), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_{P_j, g'}}^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\kappa_w} & \mathrm{Hom}_{P_j, g'}(T(K), E) & \xleftarrow{\zeta_w} & \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow w_j \sim & & \parallel \\ \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_{P_j, g'}}^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\kappa_{w_j w}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{P_j, g'}(T(K), E) & \xleftarrow{\zeta_{w_j w}} & \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})). \end{array}$$

It is clear that $w_j w \in S_{n-1} \cup S'_{n-1}$, hence the map $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{w_j w}^1(D, D) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)$ is equal to $t_D \circ (\zeta_{w_j w} \circ \kappa_{w_j w})$. In particular, its restriction to $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_{P_j, g'}}^1(D, D)$ is equal to $t_D \circ (\zeta_{w_j w} \circ \kappa_{w_j w}) = t_D \circ (\zeta_w \circ \kappa_w)$ by the above commutative diagram. As $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D)$ is spanned by $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_{P_j, g'}}^1(D, D)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(Z(K), E)$ (e.g. using (3.43)), we obtain the factorisation as in (3.42). This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.22. (1) By comparing dimensions (using Proposition 2.10, Proposition 3.8 (1)), we have $\dim_E \mathrm{Ker}(t_D) = (2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1)d_K$.

(2) The same argument holds with $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ replaced by $\pi(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (with the same t_D under the isomorphism (3.16)).

The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 3.23. *For any $w \in S_n$, $\text{Ker}(t_D) \cap \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = 0$.*

Let $\pi_{\min}(D)$ (resp. $\pi_{\text{fs}}(D)$) be the extension of $\text{Ker}(t_D) \otimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) (\cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus(2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1)d_K})$ by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (resp. $\pi(\phi, \mathbf{h})$) associated to $\text{Ker}(t_D)$ (cf. § 3.1.1, see also Remark 3.22 (2)). Note that as $\text{End}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\pi(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \cong E$, either $\pi_{\min}(D)$ or $\pi_{\text{fs}}(D)$ determines $\text{Ker}(t_D)$. We have

$$(3.44) \quad \pi_{\text{fs}}(D) \cong \pi_{\min}(D) \oplus_{\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})} \pi(\phi, \mathbf{h}).$$

In the sequel, we will mainly work with $\pi_{\min}(D)$, noting that most of the statements generalize to $\pi_{\text{fs}}(D)$ without effort. We have an exact sequence

$$(3.45) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \text{Ker}(t_D) \otimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{f_D} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min}(D)).$$

By Lemma 3.5 (2), one sees the last map f_D is surjective. For a P -filtration \mathcal{F}_P on D , we denote by $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min}(D))$ the image of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ under f_D , and write Ext_w^1 for $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_w}^1$. Denote by $\text{Ext}_g^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min}(D))$ the image of $\text{Ext}_g^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ under f_D .

Corollary 3.24. *The map t_D induces $\text{Ext}_g^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min}(D)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Ext}}_g^1(D, D)$ and $\text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min}(D)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Ext}}_w^1(D, D)$ for all $w \in S_n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.23, $\text{Ext}_*^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_*^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min}(D))$ for $* \in \{w, g\}$. The corollary then follows from the definition of t_D , (2.15), (3.17) and Proposition 3.3 (1). \square

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.21.

Corollary 3.25. *Let $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. The representation $\pi_{\min}(D)$ is the unique extension of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus(2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1)d_K}$ by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) $\text{soc}_{\text{GL}_n(K)} \pi_{\min}(D) \cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$, and $\text{soc}_{\text{GL}_n(K)} (\pi_{\min}(D) / \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \cong \text{soc}_{\text{GL}_n(K)} (\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}) / \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$.
- (2) There is a bijection

$$t_D : \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min}(D)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Ext}}^1(D, D)$$

which is compatible with trianguline deformations, i.e. for $w \in S_n$, the composition $\mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\zeta_w} \mathrm{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min}(D)) \xrightarrow{t_D} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)$ coincides with $\mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\kappa_w^{-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)$.

Let χ_D be the character $z^{|\lambda|} \cdot |z|_K^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i$ of K^\times with $|\lambda| = \sum_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n \\ \sigma \in \Sigma_K}} \lambda_{i, \sigma}$. We have $\wedge^n D \cong \mathcal{R}_{K, E}(\chi_D \varepsilon^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}})$. For an integral weight μ of \mathfrak{t}_{Σ_K} , let ξ_μ be the central character of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{n, \Sigma_K})$ acting on $L(\mu)$.

Proposition 3.26. *The representation $\pi_{\min}(D)$ has central character χ_D and infinitesimal character ξ_λ .*

Proof. We only prove the statement for the infinitesimal character, the central character being similar. Let \mathcal{Z}_K be the centre of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{n, \Sigma_K})$. Recall we have the Harish-Chandra isomorphism $\mathrm{HC} : \mathcal{Z}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\mathfrak{t}_{\Sigma_K})^{\mathscr{W}_{n, K}}$, where $\mathscr{W}_{n, K}$ is the Weyl group of $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^K \mathrm{GL}_n$, isomorphic to $S_n^{d_K}$, and where we normalize the map such that a weight μ of \mathfrak{t}_{Σ_K} , seen as a character of $U(\mathfrak{t}_{\Sigma_K})^{\mathscr{W}_{n, K}}$, corresponds to $\xi_{\mu - \theta^{[K: \mathbb{Q}_p]}}$ of \mathcal{Z}_K (recalling $\theta^{[K: \mathbb{Q}_p]} = (0, \dots, 1 - n)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K}$). In particular, the weight \mathbf{h} corresponds to ξ_λ . Let X_{ξ_λ} (resp. $X_{\mathbf{h}}$) be the tangent space of \mathcal{Z}_K (resp. $U(\mathfrak{t}_{\Sigma_K})$) at ξ_λ (resp. at \mathbf{h}), i.e. $X_{\xi_\lambda} = \{f : \mathcal{Z}_K \rightarrow E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 \mid f \equiv \xi_\lambda \pmod{\epsilon}\}$ and similarly for $X_{\mathbf{h}}$. The map HC induces a bijection $\mathrm{HC} : X_{\mathbf{h}} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{\xi_\lambda}$ (noting the injection $U(\mathfrak{t}_{\Sigma_K})^{\mathscr{W}_{n, K}} \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{t}_{\Sigma_K})$ induces bijections on tangent spaces, e.g. by the explicit description of the invariants $U(\mathfrak{t}_{\Sigma_K})^{\mathscr{W}_{n, K}}$ as a polynomial algebra).

For $\tilde{D} \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D)$, the Sen weights of \tilde{D} (over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$) have the form $(h_{i, \sigma} + a_{i, \sigma} \epsilon)_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_K \\ i=1, \dots, n}}$. We obtain hence an E -linear map $\mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D) \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{h}}$, $\tilde{D} \mapsto (a_{i, \sigma})$. The map sends $\mathrm{Ext}_g^1(D, D)$ hence $\mathrm{Ext}_0^1(D, D)$ to zero, thus induces an E -linear map $d_{\mathrm{Sen}} : \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D) \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{h}}$. For $\tilde{\pi} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ equipped with the natural $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ -action, and $a \in \mathcal{Z}_K$, the operator $(a - \xi_\lambda(a))$ (on $\tilde{\pi}$) annihilates $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ hence induces a $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ -equivariant map $\tilde{\pi} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}$. As $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \cong E$, this map is equal to $\alpha \epsilon$ for some $\alpha \in E$ (depending on a). We deduce \mathcal{Z}_K acts on $\tilde{\pi}$ via a character over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$, which corresponds to an element in X_{ξ_λ} . We obtain hence an E -linear map:

$d_{\text{inf}} : \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \rightarrow X_{\xi_\lambda}$. The proposition (for the infinitesimal character) will be a direct consequence of the commutativity of the diagram:

$$(3.46) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) & \xrightarrow{d_{\text{inf}}} & X_{\xi_\lambda} \\ t_D \downarrow & & \text{HC}^{-1} \downarrow \\ \overline{\text{Ext}}^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow{d_{\text{Sen}}} & X_{\mathbf{h}}. \end{array}$$

By the construction of t_D , it suffices to show for all $w \in S_n$, the following diagram commutes

$$(3.47) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\text{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow{d_{\text{Sen}}} & X_{\mathbf{h}} \\ \sim \downarrow & & \text{HC} \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) & \xrightarrow{d_{\text{inf}}} & X_{\xi_\lambda}. \end{array}$$

Let $\psi \in \text{Hom}(T(K), E)$, and $\tilde{D} \in \text{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$ be a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ of trianguline parameter $\tilde{\delta} := w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}(1 + \psi\epsilon)$. Let $d\tilde{\delta} : \text{U}(\mathfrak{t}_{\Sigma_K}) \rightarrow E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ be the morphism induced by $\tilde{\delta}$ by derivation. Then $d_{\text{Sen}}(\tilde{D}) = d\tilde{\delta}$. By (2.12) (3.11) and Remark 3.7, the image $\tilde{\pi}$ of \tilde{D} under the left vertical map in (3.47) satisfies $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\tilde{\delta}\eta z^{-\theta[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{\pi}$. By [39, (0.4)], we have $\tilde{\delta}\eta\delta_B z^{-\theta[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]} \hookrightarrow J_B(\tilde{\pi}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{\pi}^{\mathfrak{n}}$, where \mathfrak{n} is the Lie algebra of N . We see \mathcal{Z}_K acts on the image of the injection via $d\tilde{\delta} \circ \text{HC}$. Moreover, the composition $\tilde{\delta}\eta\delta_B z^{-\theta[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]} \hookrightarrow J_B(\tilde{\pi}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{\pi} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ is non-zero, since $\dim_E \text{Hom}_{T(K)}(w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}\eta z^{-\theta[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]}\delta_B, J_B(\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))) \cong E$. We deduce the subrepresentation $\tilde{\pi}[\mathcal{Z}_K = d\tilde{\delta} \circ \text{HC}]$ strictly contains $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ hence is equal to $\tilde{\pi}$ itself. So $d_{\text{inf}}(\tilde{\pi}) = d\tilde{\delta} \circ \text{HC}$ and (3.47) commutes. This concludes the proof. \square

We next discuss the compatibility of t_D (and $\pi_{\min}(D)$) with parabolic inductions. Let $P \supset B$ be a standard parabolic subgroup of GL_n with L_P equal to $\text{diag}(\text{GL}_{n_1}, \dots, \text{GL}_{n_r})$. Let \mathcal{F}_P be a P -filtration of D , $M_i := \text{gr}_i \mathcal{F}_P$, which is a (φ, Γ) -module of rank n_i , for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Recall we have defined $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1$ for both (φ, Γ) -modules (cf. the discussion above Proposition 2.13), and $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -representations (cf. § 3.1.3).

Proposition 3.27. *The map t_D restricts to a surjection*

$$t_{D, \mathcal{F}_P} : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D).$$

Moreover, the following diagram commutes

$$(3.48) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) & \xrightarrow{(t_{M_i})} & \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(M_i, M_i) \\ \sim \downarrow (3.27) & & \sim \downarrow (2.19) \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) & \xrightarrow{t_{D, \mathcal{F}_P}} & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D). \end{array}$$

In particular, the parabolic induction (3.27) induces a natural isomorphism

$$(3.49) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Ker}(t_{M_i}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ker}(t_{D, \mathcal{F}_P}) = \mathrm{Ker}(t_D) \cap \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.14 (2), Proposition 2.12, $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D)$ can be spanned by $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D)$ for \mathcal{T}_w compatible with \mathcal{F}_P . Together with (3.29), the first part follows. The commutativity of the diagram follows from (2.20) and (3.28). \square

Remark 3.28. Let $\pi_{\min, \mathcal{F}_P}(D) \subset \pi_{\min}(D)$ be the extension of $\mathrm{Ker}(t_{D, \mathcal{F}_P}) \otimes_E \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \cong \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus \sum_{i=1}^r (d_K(2^{n_i} - \frac{n_i(n_i+1)}{2} - 1))}$ by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ associated to $\mathrm{Ker}(t_{D, \mathcal{F}_P})$. By Proposition 3.27, $\pi_{\min, \mathcal{F}_P}(D)$ is the maximal subrepresentation of $\pi_{\min}(D)$ which comes from the push-forward of extensions of $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ by $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ via $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_P}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. We have $I_{P^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}((\widehat{\boxtimes}_{i=1}^r \pi_{\min}(M_i)) \otimes_E \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta^P) \hookrightarrow \pi_{\min, \mathcal{F}_P}(D)$. Moreover, (3.48) induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_{\min}(M_i)) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(t_{M_i})} & \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(M_i, M_i) \\ \sim \downarrow & & \sim \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min, \mathcal{F}_P}(D)) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{t_{D, \mathcal{F}_P}} & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D), \end{array}$$

where $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min, \mathcal{F}_P}(D))$ is the image of $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ via the push-forward map, and where the left vertical map is obtained in a similar way as (3.27).

Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$. By Proposition 3.18 and Corollary 2.19, (3.38) restricts to a surjection

$$(3.50) \quad \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\sigma, w}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

Corollary 3.29. Let $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$.

(1) The map (2.26), quotienting by $\text{Ext}_0^1(D, D)$, factors through (3.50) and the restriction of t_D :

$$t_{D,\sigma} : \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D, D).$$

(2) Let P be a standard parabolic subgroup and \mathcal{F}_P be a P -filtration on D . Let $t_{D,\mathcal{F}_P,\sigma}$ be the restriction of $t_{D,\sigma}$ to $\text{Ext}_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P,i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_1(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P,i}, \mathbf{h}^i)) & \xrightarrow{(t_{M_i,\sigma})} & \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(M_i, M_i) \\ \sim \downarrow (3.36) & & \sim \downarrow (2.24) \\ \text{Ext}_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) & \xrightarrow{t_{D,\mathcal{F}_P,\sigma}} & \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D). \end{array}$$

In particular, (3.36) induces $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{Ker } t_{M_i,\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker } t_{D,\mathcal{F}_P,\sigma}$.

Proof. (1) follows by Theorem 3.21 and Corollary 2.40. (2) follows from (3.48). \square

Remark 3.30. (1) It is clear that $\text{Ker}(t_{D,\sigma}) = \text{Ker}(t_D) \cap \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$. By Lemma 2.16 and (2.14), $\dim_E \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D, D) = n + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. Together with Proposition 3.18 (1), we then deduce $\dim_E \text{Ker}(t_{D,\sigma}) = 2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1$.

(2) Recall we have $\text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ (see the discussion below Remark 3.17). We view hence $\text{Ker}(t_{D,\sigma})$ as subspace of $\text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$. Set $\pi_{\min}(D)_\sigma$ to be the extension of $\text{Ker}(t_{D,\sigma}) \otimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ by $\pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. Then $\pi_{\min}(D)_\sigma$ is just the maximal $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}$ -algebraic subrepresentation of $\pi_{\min}(D)$.

Corollary 3.31. We have $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \text{Ker}(t_{D,\sigma}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(t_D)$. Consequently, $\pi_{\min}(D) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \pi_{\min}(D)_\sigma$.

Proof. The second part follows from the first one (see also Remark 3.30 (2)). Consider the induced maps

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) / \text{Ext}_g^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{\bar{t}_D} \overline{\text{Ext}}^1(D, D) / \overline{\text{Ext}}_g^1(D, D),$$

$$\text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) / \text{Ext}_g^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{\bar{t}_{D,\sigma}} \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D, D) / \overline{\text{Ext}}_g^1(D, D).$$

As $\text{Ext}_g^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \cap \text{Ker}(t_D) = 0$ (cf. Lemma 3.23), we have isomorphisms $\text{Ker}(t_D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker } \bar{t}_D$ and $\text{Ker}(t_{D,\sigma}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker } \bar{t}_{D,\sigma}$. Using Proposition

3.18 (3), $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \text{Ker } \bar{t}_{D,\sigma} \rightarrow \text{Ker } \bar{t}_D$ is injective. We deduce the natural map $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \text{Ker}(t_{D,\sigma}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(t_D)$ is injective. As the both sides have dimension $(2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1)d_K$ by Remark 3.22 (1) and Remark 3.30 (1), the map is actually bijective. \square

Let $D_\sigma = \mathfrak{T}_\sigma(D)$ (cf. (2.7)), and consider (see Corollary 2.27 (1) for the last isomorphism)

$$t_{D_\sigma} := \mathfrak{T}_\sigma \circ t_{D,\sigma} : \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D, D) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathfrak{T}_\sigma} \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma).$$

For a P -filtration \mathcal{F}_P on D_σ (which corresponds to a P -filtration on D , still denoted by \mathcal{F}_P), let $t_{D_\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P} := \mathfrak{T}_\sigma \circ t_{D, \mathcal{F}_P, \sigma}$, which is equal to the restriction of t_{D_σ} to $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$. It is clear $\text{Ker } t_{D_\sigma} = \text{Ker } t_{D,\sigma}$, and $\text{Ker } t_{D_\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P} = \text{Ker } t_{D, \mathcal{F}_P, \sigma}$. The following corollary is clear.

Corollary 3.32. (1) *The surjective map $\bigoplus_w \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma,w}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma)$ (cf. Corollary 2.40) factors through t_{D_σ} composed with the following composition (which is compatible with (3.50), by (2.32))*

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma,w}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) &\xrightarrow[\sim]{(\kappa_w)} \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Hom}_\sigma(T(K), E) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\zeta_w)} \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\text{Ker } t_{D_\sigma}$ depends only on D_σ .

(2) *The statements in Corollary 3.29 (2) hold with D , M_i replace by D_σ , $M_{i,\sigma} = \mathfrak{T}_\sigma(M_i)$. In particular, we have*

$$(3.51) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{Ker } t_{M_{i,\sigma}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker } t_{D_\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P} = \text{Ker } t_{D_\sigma} \cap \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})).$$

Similarly as in Corollary 3.25 and Remark 3.28, we have

Corollary 3.33. *We have natural isomorphisms (cf. Remark 3.30 (2))*

$$t_{D_\sigma} : \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min}(D)_\sigma) \xrightarrow[\sim]{t_{D,\sigma}} \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D, D) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathfrak{T}_\sigma} \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma).$$

Moreover, for a P -filtration \mathcal{F}_P on D as in Corollary 3.29 (2), we have a commutative diagram (see Corollary 2.27 for the right square):

$$(3.52) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Ext}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_P, i}, \mathbf{h}^i), \pi_{\min}(M_i)_\sigma) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(t_{M_i, \sigma})} & \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(M_i, M_i) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathfrak{T}_\sigma} & \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(M_{i,\sigma}, M_{i,\sigma}) \\ \sim \downarrow & & \sim \downarrow & & \sim \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min, \mathcal{F}_P}(D)_\sigma) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{t_{D, \mathcal{F}_P, \sigma}} & \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathfrak{T}_\sigma} & \overline{\text{Ext}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \end{array}$$

where $M_{i,\sigma} = \mathfrak{T}_\sigma(M_i)$, $\pi_{\min, \mathcal{F}_P}(D)_\sigma \supset \pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ is the maximal $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_K \setminus \{\sigma\}}$ -algebraic subrepresentation of $\pi_{\min, \mathcal{F}_P}(D)$ (Rk. 3.28), and $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\min, \mathcal{F}_P}(D)_\sigma)$ is the image of $\text{Ext}_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_P}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ via the natural push-forward map.

Theorem 3.34. *Let $D, D' \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$, and $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$. Then $\pi_{\min}(D)_\sigma \cong \pi_{\min}(D')_\sigma$ if and only if $D_\sigma \cong D'_\sigma$. Consequently, $\pi_{\min}(D) \cong \pi_{\min}(D')$ if and only if $D_\sigma \cong D'_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$.*

Proof. The second part follows from the first part by Corollary 3.31 and Remark 3.30 (2). As $\text{End}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \cong E$, $\pi_{\min}(D)_\sigma \cong \pi_{\min}(D')_\sigma$ if and only if $\text{Ker}(t_{D_\sigma}) = \text{Ker}(t_{D'_\sigma})$. The ‘‘only if’’ in the first part follows by Corollary 3.32 (1). We prove ‘‘if’’ in the first statement by induction on n . The case where $n \leq 2$ is trivial. Indeed, in this case, $\pi_{\min}(D)_\sigma$ are all isomorphic, and D_σ are all isomorphic as well, for $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. Suppose it holds for $n - 1$. Let D_1 (resp. D'_1) be the saturated (φ, Γ) -submodule of D (resp. of D') of rank $n - 1$, and C_1 (resp. C'_1) be the quotient of D (resp. of D'), both with the refinement $\phi^1 = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1})$. Let \mathcal{F} (resp. \mathcal{F}' , resp. \mathcal{G} , resp. \mathcal{G}') be the filtration $D_1 \subset D$ (resp. $D'_1 \subset D'$, resp. $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}) \subset C_1$, resp. $\mathcal{R}_{K,E}(\phi_n z^{\mathbf{h}_n}) \subset D'$). As $\text{Ker}(t_{D_\sigma}) = \text{Ker}(t_{D'_\sigma})$, we have $\text{Ker}(t_{D_\sigma, \mathcal{F}}) = \text{Ker}(t_{D'_\sigma, \mathcal{F}'})$ and $\text{Ker}(t_{D_\sigma, \mathcal{G}}) = \text{Ker}(t_{D'_\sigma, \mathcal{G}'})$ by Corollary 3.32 (2). By (3.51), we have $\text{Ker}(t_{D_{1,\sigma}}) = \text{Ker}(t_{D'_{1,\sigma}})$ and $\text{Ker}(t_{C_{1,\sigma}}) = \text{Ker}(t_{C'_{1,\sigma}})$, hence $D_{1,\sigma} \cong D'_{1,\sigma}$ and $C_{1,\sigma} \cong C'_{1,\sigma}$ by induction hypothesis.

Let $\pi := \pi_{\min}(D)_\sigma \cong \pi_{\min}(D')_\sigma$. Let π^- (resp. π^+) be the extension of $\text{Ker}(t_{D_\sigma, \mathcal{F}}) \otimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (resp. $\text{Ker}(t_{D_\sigma, \mathcal{G}}) \otimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$) by $\pi_{1,\sigma}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (which stays unchanged if D_σ is replaced by D'_σ). So $\pi^\pm \hookrightarrow \pi$. Let \mathcal{L} be the kernel of the following natural (push-forward) map

$$(3.53) \quad \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi^-) \oplus \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi^+) \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi).$$

We have a commutative diagram of exact sequences (see (2.42) for the bottom one)

$$(3.54) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{L} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi^-) \oplus \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi^+) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathcal{L}(D_\sigma, D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) & \hookrightarrow & V(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma & \longrightarrow & \overline{\text{Ext}}_\sigma^1(D_\sigma, D_\sigma) \end{array}$$

where the middle (bijective) map is induced by (3.52). We then deduce $\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(D_\sigma, D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$. Similarly, replacing D_σ by D'_σ , we obtain $\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(D'_\sigma, D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$. Note the middle map in (3.54) does not change when D_σ is replaced by D'_σ by the discussion in the first paragraph. Hence $\mathcal{L}(D_\sigma, D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma}) \cong \mathcal{L}(D'_\sigma, D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})$ as subspace of $V(D_{1,\sigma}, C_{1,\sigma})_\sigma$. But this implies $D_\sigma \cong D'_\sigma$ by Corollary 2.38 (1). \square

3.2.2. *Universal extensions.* We give a reformulation of Theorem 3.21 using deformation rings of (φ, Γ) -modules, which will be useful in our proof of the local-global compatibility.

Let $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. Note $\mathrm{End}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(D) \cong E$. Let R_D be the universal deformation ring of deformations of D over local Artinian E -algebras. Let $R_{D,w}$ be the universal deformation ring of \mathcal{I}_w -deformations of D (i.e. the trianguline deformations of D with respect to the refinement $w(\phi)$), and $R_{D,g}$ be the universal deformation ring of de Rham deformations or equivalently crystabelline deformations. All of these rings are formally smooth complete local Noetherian E -algebras (using the fact ϕ is generic). See [3, § 2.3.5, § 2.5.3] [54, § 2] for detailed discussions. For a continuous character δ of $T(K)$, denote by R_δ the universal deformation ring of deformations of δ over local Artinian E -algebras, which is also formally smooth complete local Noetherian. If δ is locally algebraic, denote by $R_{\delta,g}$ the universal deformation ring of locally algebraic deformations of δ . For a complete local Noetherian E -algebra R , we use \mathfrak{m}_R to denote its maximal ideal and we will use \mathfrak{m} for simplicity when it does not cause confusion.

We have natural surjections $R_D \twoheadrightarrow R_{D,w} \twoheadrightarrow R_{D,g}$, $R_\delta \twoheadrightarrow R_{\delta,g}$. Moreover, we have natural isomorphisms of E -vector spaces for the tangent spaces $(\mathfrak{m}_{R_{D,*}}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{D,*}}^2)^\vee \cong \mathrm{Ext}_*^1(D, D)$ for $* \in \{\emptyset, g, w\}$, $(\mathfrak{m}_{R_\delta}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_\delta}^2)^\vee \cong \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E)$ and $(\mathfrak{m}_{R_{\delta,g}}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{\delta,g}}^2)^\vee \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sm}}(T(K), E)$. For $w \in S_n$, by Proposition 2.10 (2)(3), the map (2.12) κ_w induces a commutative Cartesian diagram (of local Artinian E -algebras):

$$(3.55) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}}/\mathfrak{m}^2 & \twoheadrightarrow & R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h},g}}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_{D,w}/\mathfrak{m}^2 & \twoheadrightarrow & R_{D,g}/\mathfrak{m}^2. \end{array}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 3.3 (2), let \mathcal{H} (resp. $\mathcal{H}_i \cong \mathbb{G}_m$) be the Bernstein centre over E associated to the smooth representation $\pi_{\mathrm{sm}}(\phi)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ (resp. ϕ_i of K^\times) (cf. [24, § 3.13]). For $w \in S_n$, there is a natural morphism $\mathcal{J}_w : \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{H}_{w^{-1}(i)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{H}$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.3 (2)), which, by [24, Lem. 3.22], induces an isomorphism between completions at closed points. The completion of $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{H}_{w^{-1}(i)}$ at the point $w(\phi)$ is naturally isomorphic to $R_{w(\phi),g}$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\phi$ be the completion of \mathcal{H} at $\pi_{\mathrm{sm}}(\phi)$. So \mathcal{J}_w induces $\mathcal{J}_w : \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\phi \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{w(\phi),g} \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h},g}}$ where the second map is given by twisting $z^{-\mathbf{h}}$. By Lemma 2.11 and Proposition 3.3 (2), the composition

$$A_0 := \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\phi/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathcal{J}_w} R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h},g}}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \hookrightarrow R_{D,g}/\mathfrak{m}^2$$

is independent of the choice of w . We let $A_D := R_D/\mathfrak{m}^2 \times_{R_{D,g}/\mathfrak{m}^2} A_0$ and $A_{D,w} := R_{D,w}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \times_{R_{D,g}/\mathfrak{m}^2} A_0$ ($\cong R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathfrak{h}}}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ by (3.55)). The tangent space of A_D (resp. $A_{D,w}$) is naturally isomorphic to $\overline{\text{Ext}}^1(D, D)$ (resp. $\overline{\text{Ext}}^1_w(D, D) \cong \text{Hom}(T(K), E)$). We let \mathcal{I}_w be the kernel of $A_D \twoheadrightarrow A_{D,w}$. By Proposition 2.12, the natural morphism $A_D \rightarrow \prod_w A_{D,w}$ is injective.

Let $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\text{univ}}$ (resp. $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_w^{\text{univ}}$) be the tautological extension of $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \otimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (resp. of $\text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \otimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$) by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (cf. § 3.1.1). For $w \in S_n$, denote by $\delta_w := w(\phi)z^{\mathfrak{h}}\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta$, and $\tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}}$ the tautological extension of $\text{Ext}_{T(K)}^1(\delta_w, \delta_w) \otimes_E \delta_w$ ($\cong \text{Hom}(T(K), E) \otimes_E \delta_w$) by δ_w .

Lemma 3.35. *The induced representation $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}}$ is the universal extension of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}))$ by $\text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$.*

Proof. By Remark 3.7, $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}}$ is an extension of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus(n(d_K+1))}$ by a certain subrepresentation V of $\text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$. However, again by Remark 3.7, as $\tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}}$ is universal, any extension in the image of (3.10) comes from an extension of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ by V by push-forward via $V \hookrightarrow \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$. As (3.10) is bijective (by Proposition 3.6 (1)), using the surjectivity of the last map in (3.14), we see V has to be the entire $\text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$. Using again Proposition 3.6 (1), we see $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}}$ is in fact the universal extension. \square

We have hence an isomorphism of $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -representations

$$(3.56) \quad I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \oplus_{\text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})} \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_w^{\text{univ}}.$$

There is a natural action of $A_{D,w} \cong R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathfrak{h}}}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ on $\tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}}$ where an element $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathfrak{h}}}}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathfrak{h}}}}^2 \cong \text{Hom}(T(K), E)^\vee$ acts via $x : \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T(K), E) \otimes_E \delta_w \xrightarrow{x} \delta_w \hookrightarrow \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}}$. Hence $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}}$ is equipped with an induced $R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathfrak{h}}}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ -action. Similarly $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_w^{\text{univ}}$ is equipped with an action of $A_{D,w}$ given by

$$(3.57) \quad x : \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_w^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \otimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \xrightarrow{x} \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_w^{\text{univ}},$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{A_{D,w}}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_{D,w}}^2 \cong \text{Hom}(T(K), E)^\vee \xrightarrow{\zeta_w} \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))^\vee$. The injection $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_w^{\text{univ}}$ (induced by (3.56)) is $A_{D,w}$ -equivariant.

For a commutative E -algebra A acting on an E -vector space V , and an ideal I of A , we denote by $V[I]$ the subspace of V annihilated by elements in I . The following theorem is a reformulation of Theorem 3.21.

Theorem 3.36. *There is a unique A_D -action on $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\mathrm{univ}}$ such that for all $w \in S_n$, we have an $A_{D,w} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ -equivariant injection $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_w^{\mathrm{univ}} \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\mathrm{univ}}[\mathcal{I}_w]$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.21, we define an A_D -action by letting $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{A_D}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_D}^2 \cong \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(D, D)^\vee \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))^\vee$ act via

$$(3.58) \quad x : \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\mathrm{univ}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \otimes_E \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \xrightarrow{x} \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_w^{\mathrm{univ}}.$$

By definition, the restriction of t_D to $\mathrm{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ coincides with the composition $\mathrm{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \xrightarrow{\zeta_w^{-1}} \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow{\kappa_w^{-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}_w^1(D, D)$. We deduce the action in (3.58) is compatible with (3.57) when $x \in A_{D,w}$ hence satisfies the properties in the theorem. The uniqueness follows from the fact $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is generated by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_w^{\mathrm{univ}}$ for $w \in S_n$. \square

By the construction of $\pi_{\min}(D)$, we have:

Corollary 3.37. *We have $\pi_{\min}(D) \cong \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\mathrm{univ}}[\mathfrak{m}_{A_D}]$.*

4. LOCAL-GLOBAL COMPATIBILITY

4.1. The patched setting. Let M_∞ be the patched module of [24, § 2]. Then $\Pi_\infty := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{\mathrm{cont}}(M_\infty, E)$, equipped with the usual maximum norm, is a unitary Banach representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ (where K is the field F of *loc. cit.*), which is equipped with an action of the patched Galois deformation ring $R_\infty \cong R_{\bar{\rho}}^\square \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_E} R_\infty^\wp$ (where \wp is “ \mathfrak{p} ” and $\bar{\rho}$ is the local Galois representation \bar{r} of *loc. cit.*). We refer to [24, § 2.8] for details. Let \widehat{T} be the rigid space over E parametrizing continuous characters of $T(K)$. Let

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Spf} R_{\bar{\rho}}^\square)^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \widehat{T} \times (\mathrm{Spf} R_\infty^\wp)^{\mathrm{rig}}$$

be the associated patched eigenvariety (see [34, § 4.1.2], that is an easy variation of the patched eigenvariety introduced in [19]), \mathcal{M} be the natural coherent sheaf on \mathcal{E} such that there is a $T(K) \times R_\infty$ -equivariant isomorphism $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})^\vee \cong J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}})$ (see [19, § 3.1] for “ R_∞ – an”). Recall a point $x = (\rho_{x,\wp}, \delta_x, \mathfrak{m}_x^\wp) \in (\mathrm{Spf} R_{\bar{\rho}}^\square)^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \widehat{T} \times (\mathrm{Spf} R_\infty^\wp)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ lies in \mathcal{E} if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}_{T(K)}(\delta_x, J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}})[\mathfrak{m}_x]) \neq 0$ where $\mathfrak{m}_x = (\rho_{x,\wp}, \mathfrak{m}_x^\wp)$ is the associated maximal ideal of $R_\infty[1/p]$.

Let $X_{\mathrm{tri}}^\square(\bar{\rho}) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Spf} R_{\bar{\rho}}^\square)^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \widehat{T}$ be the trianguline variety [19, § 2.2], and ι_p be the twisting map (see § 3.1.1 for δ_B and θ)

$$\iota_p : (\mathrm{Spf} R_{\bar{\rho}}^\square)^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \widehat{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathrm{Spf} R_{\bar{\rho}}^\square)^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \widehat{T}, (\rho_p, \chi) \mapsto (\rho_p, \chi \delta_B(\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta)).$$

Recall (4.1) factors through an embedding (cf. [19, Thm. 1.1]).

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \iota_p(X_{\text{tri}}^{\square}(\bar{\rho})) \times (\text{Spf } R_{\infty}^{\wp})^{\text{rig}},$$

which identifies \mathcal{E} with a union of irreducible components of the latter. Recall $\dim X_{\text{tri}}^{\square}(\bar{\rho}) = n^2 + d_K \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ (cf. [19, Thm. 2.6], where “ n^2 ” comes from the framing).

Let $\rho : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(E)$ be a continuous representation such that ρ admits a Gal_K -invariant \mathcal{O}_E -lattice whose modulo p reduction is equal to $\bar{\rho}$ and that $D := D_{\text{rig}}(\rho) \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (ϕ generic, \mathbf{h} strictly dominant given as in § 2.2). Let $\mathfrak{m}_{\rho} \subset R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}[1/p]$ be the maximal ideal associated to ρ .

Lemma 4.1. *For a continuous character $\delta : T(K) \rightarrow E^{\times}$, $(\rho, \delta) \in X_{\text{tri}}^{\square}(\bar{\rho})$ if and only if $\delta = w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}$ for some $w \in S_n$.*

Proof. The “if” part follows the very construction (cf. [19, § 2.2]). Indeed all these points lie in the space $U_{\text{tri}}^{\square}(\bar{\rho})^{\text{reg}}$ of *loc. cit.* The “only if” part follows from the fact that D is non-critical hence does not admit companion points of non-dominant weight (e.g. see [20, Thm. 4.2.3], [17, Cor. 6.4.12]). \square

Suppose there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}^{\wp} of $R_{\infty}^{\wp}[1/p]$ such that $\Pi_{\infty}[\mathfrak{m}_x]^{\text{lg}} \neq 0$ for $\mathfrak{m}_x = (\mathfrak{m}_{\rho}, \mathfrak{m}^{\wp})$, the corresponding maximal ideal of $R_{\infty}[1/p]$. By [24, Thm. 4.35], we have $\Pi_{\infty}[\mathfrak{m}_x]^{\text{lg}} \cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. By taking Jacquet-Emerton modules, this implies $x_w := (x_{w,\wp}, \mathfrak{m}^{\wp}) = (\rho, \delta_w \delta_B = w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}} \delta_B(\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta), \mathfrak{m}^{\wp}) \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $w \in S_n$. By Lemma 4.1, these give all the points on \mathcal{E} associated to \mathfrak{m}_x . By [19, Thm. 2.6 (iii)], $X_{\text{tri}}^{\square}(\bar{\rho})$ is smooth at the points $\iota_p^{-1}(x_{w,\wp})$ and (4.2) is a local isomorphism at x_w . As $(\text{Spf } R_{\infty}^{\wp})^{\text{rig}}$ is also smooth at \mathfrak{m}^{\wp} (e.g. see the proof of [33, Cor. 4.4]), \mathcal{E} is smooth at all x_w . By [18, Lem. 3.8] and [24, Thm. 4.35], we see \mathcal{M} is locally free of rank one at all x_w .

Let $R_D^{\square} := R_D \otimes_{R_{\rho}} R_{\rho}^{\square} \cong R_{\rho}^{\square}$ (where R_{ρ}^{\square} is the framed universal deformation ring of ρ of deformations over local Artinian E -algebras). Let $R_{D,w}^{\square} := R_D^{\square} \otimes_{R_D} R_{D,w}$ for $w \in S_n$ and $R_{D,g}^{\square} := R_D^{\square} \otimes_{R_D} R_{D,g}$. We have commutative Cartesian diagrams (see § 3.2.2, in particular the discussion below (3.55) for $A_D, A_{D,w}, A_0$,

where \mathfrak{m} denotes the corresponding maximal ideals):

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A_D & \hookrightarrow & R_D/\mathfrak{m}^2 & \hookrightarrow & R_D^\square/\mathfrak{m}^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_{D,w} & \hookrightarrow & R_{D,w}/\mathfrak{m}^2 & \hookrightarrow & R_{D,w}^\square/\mathfrak{m}^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_0 & \hookrightarrow & R_{D,g}/\mathfrak{m}^2 & \hookrightarrow & R_{D,g}^\square/\mathfrak{m}^2. \end{array}$$

Let $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{m}_{R_D^\square}^2$ be an ideal of R_D^\square such that $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_D^\square}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{A_D}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_D}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{m}_{R_D^\square}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_D^\square}^2$ (noting $\mathfrak{m}_{A_D}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_D}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{R_D^\square}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_D^\square}^2$). The composition $A_D \hookrightarrow R_D^\square/\mathfrak{m}_{R_D^\square}^2 \twoheadrightarrow R_D^\square/\mathfrak{a}$ is hence an isomorphism. We use \mathfrak{a} to denote its image in $R_{D,w}^\square$ and $R_{D,g}^\square$. By (4.3), $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_D^\square}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{A_{D,w}}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_{D,w}}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{m}_{R_{D,w}^\square}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{D,w}^\square}^2$ and $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_D^\square}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{A_0}/\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{m}_{R_{D,g}^\square}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{D,g}^\square}^2$. Moreover, the compositions $A_{D,w} \hookrightarrow R_{D,w}^\square/\mathfrak{m}^2 \twoheadrightarrow R_{D,w}^\square/\mathfrak{a}$ for $w \in S_n$, and $A_0 \hookrightarrow R_{D,g}^\square/\mathfrak{m}^2 \twoheadrightarrow R_{D,g}^\square/\mathfrak{a}$ are all isomorphisms.

Recall the completion of $R_\rho^\square[1/p]$ at ρ is naturally isomorphic to $R_\rho^\square \cong R_D^\square$ (cf. [48]). Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset R_\rho^\square[1/p]$ denote the preimage of $\mathfrak{a} \subset R_D^\square$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_x := (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}^\wp) \subset R_\infty[1/p]$. So $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x]$ is equipped with a natural $R_D^\square/\mathfrak{a} \cong A_D$ -action.

Lemma 4.2. (1) We have $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_x] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x][\mathfrak{m}_{A_D}]$.
(2) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_x]) \cong E$.

Proof. By definition, $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}_{A_D} = \mathfrak{m}_{R_D^\square}$ hence $\mathfrak{a}_x + \mathfrak{m}_{A_D} = \mathfrak{m}_x$. (1) follows. By [24, Lem. 4.17], the R_D^\square -action on $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x]^{\mathrm{lg}}$ factors through $R_{D,g}^\square/\mathfrak{a}$. Let σ_{sm} be a smooth irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$ over E associated to the Bernstein component of $\pi_{\mathrm{sm}}(\phi)$. We have $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(\mathrm{c}\text{-ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \sigma_{\mathrm{sm}})$ (recalling \mathcal{H} is the Bernstein centre associated to $\pi_{\mathrm{sm}}(\phi)$). Consider

$$\begin{aligned} M &:= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \left((\mathrm{c}\text{-ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \sigma_{\mathrm{sm}}) \otimes_E L(\lambda), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x]^{\mathrm{lg}} \right) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \left(\mathrm{c}\text{-ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \sigma_{\mathrm{sm}}, (\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x]^{\mathrm{lg}} \otimes_E L(\lambda)^\vee)_{\mathrm{sm}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is naturally an \mathcal{H} -module (with \mathcal{H} acting on $\mathrm{c}\text{-ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \sigma_{\mathrm{sm}}$). Hence $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x]^{\mathrm{lg}}) \cong M[\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}]$. By [24, Thm. 4.19], this \mathcal{H} -action on M coincides with the one induced by $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\phi \twoheadrightarrow A_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{D,g}^\square/\mathfrak{a}$ acting on $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x]^{\mathrm{lg}}$. This implies $M[\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}] \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \left((\mathrm{c}\text{-ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \sigma_{\mathrm{sm}}) \otimes_E$

$L(\lambda), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x]^{\text{lalg}}[\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}]$). However, as ideals of $R_{D,g}^\square/\mathfrak{m}^2$, we have $\mathfrak{m}_{A_0} + \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}$ hence $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x]^{\text{lalg}}[\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}] \cong \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_x]^{\text{lalg}} \cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (by [24, Thm. 4.3.5]). So $M[\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}] \cong E$, proving (2). \square

For $w \in S_n$, let $U = U_\varphi \times U^\varphi \subset \iota_p(X_{\text{tri}}^\square(\bar{\rho})) \times (\text{Spf } R_\infty^\varphi)^{\text{rig}}$ be a smooth affinoid neighbourhood of x_w such that $x_{w'} \notin U$ for $w' \neq w$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{x_w, \varphi}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}(U_\varphi)$ at x_w, φ . As x_w, φ is the only point in U_φ associated to ρ (by assumption and Lemma 4.1), $\mathfrak{m}_{x_w, \varphi} \subset \mathcal{O}(U_\varphi)$ is the closed ideal generated by $\mathfrak{m}_\rho \subset R_\rho^\square[1/p]$. Let $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{m}_{x_w, \varphi}^2$ be the closed ideal generated by $\mathfrak{a} \subset R_\rho^\square[1/p]$. Consider $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{x}_w} := \mathcal{M}(U)/(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}^\varphi)$. By definition, we have a $T(K) \times R_\infty$ -equivariant map

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{x}_w}^\vee \hookrightarrow J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}})[\mathfrak{a}_x] \cong J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x]).$$

Recall as D is non-critical, the completion of $X_{\text{tri}}^\square(\bar{\rho})$ at $\iota_p^{-1}(x_w, \varphi)$ is naturally isomorphic to $R_{D,w}^\square$. As \mathcal{M} is locally free of rank one at x_w , we see $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{x}_w} \cong R_{D,w}^\square/\mathfrak{a}$. In particular, $\dim_E \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{x}_w} = 1 + (n + nd_K)$. The $T(K)$ -action on $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{x}_w}$ is encoded in the $A_{D,w}$ -action. Indeed, $T(K)$ acts on the $A_{D,w}$ -module $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{x}_w}$ via the composition:

$$(4.5) \quad T(K) \longrightarrow R_{\delta_w \delta_B}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong A_{D,w}$$

where the first map is induced by the universal deformation, and the second is induced by twisting $\delta_B(\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta)$ (which corresponds to the twist in ι_p). We equip $A_{D,w}$ with the $T(K)$ -action as in (4.5). Then the $T(K)$ -representation $A_{D,w}^\vee$ is just isomorphic to the universal extension $\tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \delta_B$ (see the discussion below (3.56)). In summary, we have a $T(K) \times A_{D,w}$ -equivariant isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{x}_w}^\vee \cong \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \delta_B$.

Lemma 4.3. *The map (4.4) is balanced in the sense of [39, Def. 0.8], hence (by [39, Thm. 0.13]) induces a $\text{GL}_n(K) \times R_\infty$ -equivariant injection*

$$\iota_w : I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_x],$$

where the R_∞ -action on $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} = I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)}((\tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \delta_B) \delta_B^{-1})$ is induced by $R_\infty \rightarrow (R_{D,w}^\square/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_E (R_\infty^\varphi[1/p]/\mathfrak{m}^\varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{D,w}$ acting on $\tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \delta_B$.

Proof. The lemma follows by the same argument as in [34, Lem. 4.11], using Lemma 4.1. \square

Lemma 4.4. *We have $(\text{Im } \iota_w)[\mathfrak{m}_x] \cong \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.35, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \mathrm{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow I_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \widetilde{\delta}_w^{\mathrm{univ}} \xrightarrow{\iota_w} \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{a}_x].$$

By Lemma 4.2, it sends $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ to $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]$. By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.2 (1), $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(\mathrm{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]) = 0$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbf{m}_x$, the induced ($\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ -equivariant) map $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{a}_x] \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{a}_x]$ composed with (4.6) factors through $\mathrm{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]$ (noting $\mathbf{a}_x \supset \mathbf{m}_x^2$), hence has to be zero. So (4.6) has image in $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]$.

By [18, Lem. 4.16] (which directly generalizes to the crystabelline case), we have (where $\{-\}$ denotes the generalized eigenspace):

$$(4.7) \quad J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x])[T(K) = \delta_w \delta_B] \xrightarrow{\sim} J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x])\{T(K) = \delta_w \delta_B\}$$

which is hence one dimensional. Indeed, consider the tangent map of $U_\wp \rightarrow (\mathrm{Spf} R_\wp^\square)^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \widehat{T}$ at the point $x_{w, \wp}$:

$$(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) : T_{x_{w, \wp}} U_\wp \longrightarrow T_\rho(\mathrm{Spf} R_\wp^\square)^{\mathrm{rig}} \times T_{\delta_w \delta_B} \widehat{T} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(D, D) \times \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E)$$

(where $T_x X$ denotes the tangent space of a rigid analytic space X at a closed point x). For $v \in T_{x_{w, \wp}} U_\wp$, let \widetilde{D}_v be the deformation of D over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ associated to $\kappa_1(v)$. As D is generic non-critical, the global triangulation theory (e.g. using [5, Thm. 5.3] and an induction argument) implies \widetilde{D}_v is trianguline of parameter $\delta_w(1 + \kappa_2(v)\epsilon)$. In particular, if $\kappa_1(v) = 0$, then $\kappa_2(v)$ must be zero as well. As \mathcal{M} is locally free at x_w , we deduce $J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x])[\mathbf{m}_{\delta_w \delta_B}] \xrightarrow{\sim} J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x])[\mathbf{m}_{\delta_w \delta_B}^2]$, where $\mathbf{m}_{\delta_w \delta_B}$ denotes the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}(\widehat{T})$ at the point $\delta_w \delta_B$. But this implies $J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x])[\mathbf{m}_{\delta_w \delta_B}] \xrightarrow{\sim} J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x])[\mathbf{m}_{\delta_w \delta_B}^k]$ for all $k \geq 1$. (4.7) follows.

Now if the injection $\mathrm{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Im} \iota_w)[\mathbf{m}_x]$ is not surjective, by Proposition 3.6 (1) and Remark 3.7, there exists $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(T(K), E)$ such that $I_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)}(w(\phi)\eta z^\lambda(1 + \psi\epsilon)) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Im} \iota_w)[\mathbf{m}_x]$. Hence

$$\delta_w \delta_B(1 + \psi\epsilon) \hookrightarrow J_B(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{m}_x])\{T(K) = \delta_w \delta_B\},$$

a contradiction. The lemma follows. \square

Let $\widetilde{\pi}$ be the closed subrepresentation of $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathbf{a}_x]$ generated by $\mathrm{Im} \iota_w$ for all $w \in S_n$. It is clear that $\widetilde{\pi}$ is stabilized by R_∞ . In particular, $\widetilde{\pi}$ has an induced A_D -action via $A_D \xrightarrow{\sim} R_D^\square/\mathbf{a} \xrightarrow{\sim} R_\infty[1/p]/\mathbf{a}_x$.

Theorem 4.5. *We have a $\mathrm{GL}_n(K) \times A_D$ -equivariant isomorphism $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\mathrm{univ}} \cong \widetilde{\pi}$ (see Theorem 3.36 for the A_D -action on $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\mathrm{univ}}$).*

Proof. We first show $\tilde{\pi} \cong \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\text{univ}}$ as $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -representation. It is clear that the irreducible constituents of $\tilde{\pi}$ are given by $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$, and all $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$ (with multiplicities no less than one, cf. (3.1)). By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.2 (1), $\text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma}), \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{a}_x]) = 0$. Together with the fact $\tilde{\pi}^{\text{alg}} \cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ by Lemma 4.2 (2), we see $\text{soc}_{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\pi} \cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$. It is also clear from the definition that all $\mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})$ lie in the socle of $\tilde{\pi}/\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$, hence all have multiplicity one by Lemma 3.5 (1). These together with $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \subset \tilde{\pi}$ for all w , imply $\tilde{\pi} \cong \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\text{univ}}$.

We equip $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\text{univ}}$ with an A_D -action induced by the A_D -action on $\tilde{\pi}$ (induced from R_{∞}). By Lemma 4.3, the composition $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\pi} \cong \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\text{univ}}$ is then A_D -equivariant hence factors through an $A_{D,w}$ -equivariant injection $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \tilde{\delta}_w^{\text{univ}} \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\text{univ}}[\mathcal{I}_w]$ (recalling \mathcal{I}_w is the kernel of $A_D \rightarrow A_{D,w}$). By Theorem 3.36, we see this (global) A_D -action coincides with the one given there. This concludes the proof. \square

Together with Corollary 3.37, Lemma 4.2 (1), we get

Corollary 4.6. *We have a $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -equivariant injection*

$$(4.8) \quad \pi_{\min}(D) \cong \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\text{univ}}[\mathbf{m}_{A_D}] \cong \tilde{\pi}[\mathbf{m}_{A_D}] \hookrightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{a}_x][\mathbf{m}_D] \cong \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{m}_x].$$

Remark 4.7. *By [21, Thm. 5.12], $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]$ uniquely extends to $\pi(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]$. Using (3.44), we deduce from (4.8) an injection $\pi_{\text{fs}}(D) \hookrightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]$. Remark that $\pi_{\text{fs}}(D)$ should still be far from the entire $\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]$.*

Corollary 4.8. *The representation $\pi_{\min}(D)$ is the maximal subrepresentation of $\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]$ given by extensions of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$.*

Proof. Let V be an extension of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ contained in $\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{m}_x] \subset \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{a}_x]$. If V is not a subrepresentation of $\tilde{\pi}$, then $V \oplus_{\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})} \tilde{\pi} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{a}_x]$. As $\tilde{\pi}$ is isomorphic to the universal extension of $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ by $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$, this implies $\dim_E \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{a}_x]) \geq 2$ contradicting Lemma 4.2 (2). So $V \subset \tilde{\pi} \cap \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{m}_x] = \tilde{\pi}[\mathbf{m}_x] = \tilde{\pi}[\mathbf{m}_{A_D}] \cong \pi_{\min}(D)$. \square

By [20, Thm. 5.3.3] (for the crystalline case) and [17, Thm. 1.3] (for the crystabelline non-crystalline case), the information that D is non-critical can be determined by $\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]$. By Corollary 4.8, Theorem 3.34, we then obtain

Corollary 4.9. *Keep the situation, then $\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}[\mathbf{m}_x]$ determines $\{D_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K}$ for $D = D_{\text{rig}}(\rho)$. In particular, when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, it determines ρ .*

4.2. Some other cases. We discuss the local-global compatibility in the space of p -adic automorphic representations for certain definite unitary groups (with fewer global hypotheses than §4.1).

4.2.1. Some formal results. We first discuss some corollaries of the results in §3.2. Let $D \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ and $\text{Ext}_U^1(D, D)$ be a certain subspace of $\text{Ext}^1(D, D)$. For $w \in S_n$, set $\text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) := \text{Ext}_U^1(D, D) \cap \text{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$. We assume the following hypotheses.

Hypothesis 4.10. (1) $\text{Ext}_U^1(D, D) \cap \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) = 0$.
 (2) For $w \in S_n$, $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) = nd_K$.

Corollary 4.11. *The natural map $\bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(D, D)$ is surjective, and $\dim_E \text{Ext}_U^1(D, D) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}d_K$.*

Proof. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_U^1(D, D) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Ext}_w^1(D, D) / \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Ext}^1(D, D) / \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are injective by Hypothesis 4.10 hence the left one is bijective by comparing dimensions (cf. Proposition 2.10 (1)), the surjectivity of the bottom map follows from Proposition 2.12. We deduce the top and right maps are also surjective. The second part follows then by Proposition 2.10 (1). \square

Denote by $\text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ the image of the composition (see (2.15) and (3.17)): $\text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) \hookrightarrow \overline{\text{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\zeta_w \circ \kappa_w} \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$, where the injectivity of the first map follows from Hypothesis 4.10 (1) (recalling $\text{Ext}_0^1(D, D) \subset \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D)$). Denote by $\text{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ the subspace of $\text{Ext}_{GL_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ generated by $\text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ for all $w \in S_n$.

Corollary 4.12. (1) *The map $t_{\phi, \mathbf{h}} : \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(D, D)$ (uniquely) factors through a surjection*

$$t_{D,U} : \text{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(D, D).$$

(2) *We have $\text{Ker } t_{D,U} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker } t_D$ (cf. (3.39)).*

Proof. We have a commutative diagram

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \oplus_w \text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) & \hookrightarrow & \oplus_w \overline{\text{Ext}}_w^1(D, D) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(\zeta_w \circ \kappa_w)} & \oplus_w \text{Ext}_w^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}_U^1(D, D) & \hookrightarrow & \overline{\text{Ext}}^1(D, D) & \xleftarrow[(3.39)]{t_D} & \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})). \end{array}$$

By (4.9), $t_{D,U} := t_D|_{\text{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))}$ satisfies the property in (1). We have

$$(4.10) \quad \text{Ker } t_{D,U} = \text{Ker } t_D \cap \text{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \subset \text{Ker } t_D.$$

Denote by $\text{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}))$ the image of the composition $\text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) \xrightarrow{\kappa_w} \text{Hom}(T(K), E) \xrightarrow[\sim]{(3.10)} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}))$, which has dimension nd_K by Hypothesis 4.10 (2). By (3.14), we have an exact sequence

$$(4.11) \quad 0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n-1 \\ \sigma \in \Sigma_K}} \text{Ext}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(w(\phi), s_{i,\sigma})),$$

where W is a subspace of $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$. By Hypothesis 4.10 (1) ((2.15) and Proposition 3.3 (1)), $W \cap \text{Ext}_{\text{alg}}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})) = 0$. So $\dim_E W \leq (n + d_K) - n = d_K$. By comparing dimensions, the last map in (4.11) must be surjective and $\dim_E W = d_K$. Similarly by (3.12), $\text{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$ lies in an exact sequence

$$(4.12) \quad 0 \longrightarrow W' \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \#I=i} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathcal{C}(I, s_{i,\sigma})),$$

where $W' \supset W$ is a subspace of $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_n(K)}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}))$. By the surjectivity of the last map in (4.11) and varying w (see also the proof of Proposition 3.8), the last map of (4.12) is surjective as well. Hence $\dim_E \text{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \geq d_K + (2^n - 2)d_K$. As $\dim_E \text{Ext}_U^1(D, D) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}d_K$ by Corollary 4.11, we see $\dim_E \text{Ker } t_{D,U} \geq (2^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1)d_K = \dim_E \text{Ker } t_D$. By (4.10), (2) follows. \square

We set $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_U^{\text{univ}}$ (resp. $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_{U,w}^{\text{univ}}$) to be the tautological extension of $\text{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \otimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (resp. $\text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})) \otimes_E \pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$) by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (cf. § 3.1.1). Let $A_{D,U}$ (resp. $A_{D,U,w}$) be the quotient of R_D/\mathfrak{m}^2 (resp. $R_{D,w}/\mathfrak{m}^2$) associated to $\text{Ext}_U^1(D, D)$ (resp. $\text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D)$). Let $A_{U,w}$ be the quotient of $R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ associated to the image $\text{Ext}_U^1(w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}, w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}})$ of $\kappa_w : \text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{T(K)}^1(w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}, w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}})$ ($\cong \text{Hom}(T(K), E)$). The map κ_w then induces a natural isomorphism of Artinian E -algebras:

$$(4.13) \quad A_{U,w} \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{D,U,w}.$$

Recall $\delta_w = w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}(\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta)$. We equip $A_{U,w}$ with the $T(K)$ -action via

$$T(K) \longrightarrow R_{\delta_w}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \twoheadrightarrow A_{U,w}$$

where the middle isomorphism is induced by twisting by $\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta$. The $T(K)$ -representation $A_{U,w}^\vee$ is isomorphic to the tautological extension $\widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\mathrm{univ}}$ of $\mathrm{Ext}_U^1(\delta_w, \delta_w) \otimes_E \delta_w$ by δ_w , where $\mathrm{Ext}_U^1(\delta_w, \delta_w)$ consists of characters $\widetilde{\delta}_w$ over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ such that $\widetilde{\delta}_w(\varepsilon \circ \theta) \in \mathrm{Ext}_U^1(w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}, w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}})$. Reciprocally, the $T(K)$ -representation $\widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is equipped with a natural $A_{U,w}$ -action (hence an $A_{D,U,w}$ -action via (4.13)) as in the discussion below (3.56) (identifying the tangent space of $A_{U,w}$ with a subspace of that of R_{δ_w}). Note the natural map $E[T(K)] \rightarrow R_{\delta_w}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is surjective. Thus the action of $T(K)$ and $A_{U,w}$ actually determine each other.

Consider $I_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\mathrm{univ}}$. By similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.35 and (the surjectivity of the last map in) (4.11), $I_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is isomorphic to the universal extension of $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_E \mathrm{Ext}_U^1(\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h}), \mathrm{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h}))$ by $\mathrm{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})$. Moreover, similarly as in the discussion below (3.56), we have a $\mathrm{GL}_n(K) \times A_{D,U,w}$ -equivariant injection $I_{B^-(K)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(K)} \widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\mathrm{univ}} \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_{U,w}^{\mathrm{univ}}$, where the $A_{D,U,w}$ -action on the left hand side is induced by its action on $\widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\mathrm{univ}}$ as discussed in the precedent paragraph and the $A_{D,U,w}$ -action on the right hand side is given in a similar way as in (3.57) (using also (4.13)). The following corollary follows by similar arguments as in Theorem 3.36 and Corollary 3.37.

Corollary 4.13. (1) *There is a unique $A_{D,U}$ -action on $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_U^{\mathrm{univ}}$ such that for all $w \in S_n$, there is a $\mathrm{GL}_n(K) \times A_{D,U,w}$ -equivariant injection $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_{U,w}^{\mathrm{univ}} \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_U^{\mathrm{univ}}[\mathcal{I}_w]$.*

(2) *We have $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_U^{\mathrm{univ}}[\mathfrak{m}_{A_{D,U}}] \cong \pi_{\min}(D)$.*

4.2.2. *Local-global compatibility.* We prove a local-global compatibility result in a non-patched setting. We briefly introduce the setup and some notation.

Let F/F^+ be a CM extension and G/F^+ be a unitary group attached to the quadratic extension F/F^+ (e.g. see [3, § 6.2.1]) such that $G \times_{F^+} F \cong \mathrm{GL}_n$ ($n \geq 2$) and $G(F^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$ is compact. For a finite place v of F^+ which is split in F and \tilde{v} a place of F dividing v , we have isomorphisms $\iota_{\tilde{v}} : G(F_v^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(F_{\tilde{v}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_n(F_{\tilde{v}})$. We let S_p denote the set of places of F^+ dividing p and we assume that each place in S_p is split in F . For each $v \in S_p$, we fix a place \tilde{v} of F dividing v .

We fix a place \wp of F^+ above p , and set $K := F_{\wp}^+ = F_{\tilde{\wp}}$. We have thus an isomorphism $G(F_{\wp}^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. For each $v \in S_p$, $v \neq \wp$, let ξ_v be a dominant weight of $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{F_v^+} \mathrm{GL}_n$, and $\tau_v : I_{F_v^+} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ be an inertial type. To τ_v , one

can associate a smooth irreducible representation $\sigma(\tau_v)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})$ over E (see for example [24, Thm 3.7]). Let $W_{\xi,\tau}$ be a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})$ -invariant \mathcal{O}_E -lattice of the locally algebraic representation $\sigma(\tau_v) \otimes_E L(\xi_v)$ (see also [24, § 2.3]).

Let $U^\varphi = U^p U_p^\varphi = \prod_{v \nmid p} U_v \times \prod_{v \in S_p \setminus \{\varphi\}} U_v$ be a sufficiently small (cf. [28]) compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, \varphi})$ with $\iota_{\tilde{v}}(U_v) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})$ for $v \in S_p \setminus \{\varphi\}$. We also assume that U_v is hyperspecial if v is inert in F . Let S be the union of S_p and of the places $v \notin S_p$ such that U_v is not hyperspecial.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and a compact open subgroup U_φ of $G(\mathcal{O}_{F_\varphi^+})$, consider the \mathcal{O}_E/ϖ_E^k -module $S_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi U_\varphi, \mathcal{O}_E/\varpi_E^k) = \{f : G(F^+) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) \rightarrow W_{\xi,\tau}/\varpi_E^k \mid f(gu) = u^{-1}f(g), \forall g \in G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty), u \in U^\varphi U_\varphi\}$ where $U^\varphi U_\varphi$ acts on $W_{\xi,\tau}/\varpi_E^k$ via $U^\varphi U_\varphi \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_p \setminus \{\varphi\}} U_v$. Put

$$\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, \mathcal{O}_E) := \varprojlim_k S_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, \mathcal{O}_E/\varpi_E^k) := \varprojlim_k \varinjlim_{U_\varphi} S_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi U_\varphi, \mathcal{O}_E/\varpi_E^k),$$

and $\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E) := \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} E$. Then $\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)$ is an admissible unitary Banach representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. Recall that $\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)$ is equipped with a natural action of $\mathbb{T}(U^\varphi)$ commuting with $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, where $\mathbb{T}(U^\varphi)$ is the polynomial \mathcal{O}_E -algebra generated by Hecke operators: $T_{\tilde{v}}^{(j)} = \left[U_v \iota_{\tilde{v}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n-j} & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_{\tilde{v}} 1_j \end{pmatrix} U_v \right]$, for $v \notin S$ which splits to $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^c$ in F and $j = 1, \dots, n$, where $\varpi_{\tilde{v}}$ is a uniformizer of $F_{\tilde{v}}$.

Using Emerton's method [40, (2.3)], one can construct an eigenvariety $\mathcal{E}(U^\varphi)$ from $J_B(\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}})$. There is a natural morphism of rigid spaces $\kappa : \mathcal{E}(U^\varphi) \rightarrow \widehat{T}$. The strong dual $J_B(\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}})^\vee$ gives rise to a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{M}(U^\varphi)$ over $\mathcal{E}(U^\varphi)$. An E -point of $\mathcal{E}(U^\varphi)$ can be parametrized by (δ, ω) where δ is a continuous character of $T(K)$, and ω is a morphism of E -algebras $\mathbb{T}(U^\varphi) \twoheadrightarrow E$ which corresponds to a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_ω of $\mathbb{T}(U^\varphi)$. Moreover, $(\delta, \omega) \in \mathcal{E}(U^\varphi)$ if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}_{T(K)}(\delta, J_B(\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\omega])) \neq 0$. Recall a point $(\delta, \omega) \in \mathcal{E}(U^\varphi)$ is called *classical* if $\mathrm{Hom}_{T(K)}(\delta, J_B(\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathrm{alg}}[\mathfrak{m}_\omega])) \neq 0$. In fact, by [15, (6.3)], such points are associated to classical automorphic representations. Note also if (δ, ω) is classical, then δ is locally algebraic hence has the form $\delta_{\mathrm{sm}} \delta_{\mathrm{alg}}$, where δ_{alg} is an algebraic character of $T(K)$. We call a classical point (δ, ω) generic if $\delta_{\mathrm{sm}} \delta_B^{-1} | \cdot |_K \circ \theta =: (\phi'_i)$ is generic, i.e. $\phi'_i(\phi'_j)^{-1} \neq 1, | \cdot |_K$ for $i \neq j$.

The following proposition is well-known.

Proposition 4.14. (1) $\mathcal{E}(U^\varphi)$ is equidimensional of dimension nd_K .
(2) The coherent sheaf $\mathcal{M}(U^\varphi)$ is Cohen-Macaulay over $\mathcal{E}(U^\varphi)$.

(3) $\mathcal{E}(U^\varphi)$ is reduced.

Proof. By [15, Lem. 6.1], for a compact open subgroup H of $GL_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$, we have $\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)|_H \cong \mathcal{C}(H, E)^{\oplus s}$ for some $s \geq 1$ (where $\mathcal{C}(H, E)$ denotes the space of continuous functions on H). (1) (2) then follows verbatim from [19, Lem. 3.10, Prop. 3.11, Cor. 3.12][18, Lem. 3.8], applying [19, § 5.2] to $\Pi := \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$. (3) follows by the same argument as in [26, Prop. 3.9] (see also [19, Cor. 3.20]). \square

Let F^S be the maximal algebraic extension of F unramified outside the places dividing those in S , and $\text{Gal}_{F,S} := \text{Gal}(F^S/F)$. Let $\rho : \text{Gal}_{F,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(E)$ be a continuous representation satisfying $\rho^c \cong \rho^\vee \otimes_E \varepsilon^{1-n}$ where $\rho^c(g) := \rho(cgc)$ for $g \in \text{Gal}_{F,S}$ with c being the complex conjugation. To ρ , one naturally associates a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_ρ of $\mathbb{T}(U^\varphi)$ generated by $((-1)^j (\#k_{\tilde{v}})^{j(j-1)/2} T_{\tilde{v}}^{(j)} - a_{\tilde{v}}^{(j)})$, where $k_{\tilde{v}}$ is the residue field of $F_{\tilde{v}}$, and the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(\text{Frob}_{\tilde{v}})$ (for a geometric Frobenius $\text{Frob}_{\tilde{v}}$ at \tilde{v}) is given by $X^n + a_{\tilde{v}}^{(1)} X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{\tilde{v}}^{(n-1)} X + a_{\tilde{v}}^{(n)}$. Let ω_ρ denote the morphism $\mathbb{T}(U^\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(U^\varphi)/\mathfrak{m}_\rho \cong E$. Assume $\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\text{alge}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho] \neq 0$ and $D := D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_{\tilde{\varphi}}) \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ (with ϕ generic, and \mathbf{h} strictly dominant, cf. § 2.1), where $\rho_w := \rho|_{\text{Gal}_{F_w}}$ for a place w of F . There exists hence $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that (e.g. by [2, Thm. 1.1] and [15, (6.3)])

$$(4.14) \quad \pi_{\text{alge}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]^{\text{alge}}.$$

Taking Jacquet-Emerton modules, we see $z_w := (\delta_w \delta_B, \omega_\rho) \in \mathcal{E}(U^\varphi)$ for $w \in S_n$ (with $\delta_w = w(\phi)z^{\mathbf{h}}(\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \theta)$). Moreover, similarly as in Lemma 4.1, using the global triangulation theory (cf. [47][52]), $(\delta, \omega_\rho) \in \mathcal{E}(U^\varphi)$ if and only if $\delta = \delta_w \delta_B$ for some $w \in S_n$ (cf. [12, Prop. 9.2]).

Let $\text{Ext}_U^1(\rho, \rho)$ be the subspace of $\text{Ext}_{\text{Gal}_{F,S}}^1(\rho, \rho)$ consisting of $\tilde{\rho}$ such that $\tilde{\rho}^c \cong \tilde{\rho}^\vee \otimes_E \varepsilon^{1-n}$. For $v \in S_p$, we have a natural map $\text{Ext}_U^1(\rho, \rho) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{Gal}_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}^1(\rho_{\tilde{v}}, \rho_{\tilde{v}})$. Set

$$\text{Ext}_{g, S_p \setminus \{\varphi\}}^1(\rho, \rho) := \text{Ker} \left[\text{Ext}_U^1(\rho, \rho) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_p \setminus \{\varphi\}} \text{Ext}_{\text{Gal}_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}^1(\rho_{\tilde{v}}, \rho_{\tilde{v}}) / \text{Ext}_g^1(\rho_{\tilde{v}}, \rho_{\tilde{v}}) \right].$$

Let $\text{Ext}_U^1(D, D)$ be the image of the subspace $\text{Ext}_{g, S_p \setminus \{\varphi\}}^1(\rho, \rho)$ via $\text{Ext}_U^1(\rho, \rho) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{Gal}_{F_{\tilde{\varphi}}}}^1(\rho_{\tilde{\varphi}}, \rho_{\tilde{\varphi}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}^1(D, D)$.

We make the following vanishing hypothesis on the adjoint Selmer group:

Hypothesis 4.15. *Suppose the composition $\text{Ext}_{g, S_p \setminus \{\varphi\}}^1(\rho, \rho) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D, D) / \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D)$ is injective. In particular, $\text{Ext}_U^1(D, D) \cap \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) = 0$.*

Remark 4.16. *Hypothesis 4.15 is known to hold in many cases, see [1, Thm. A] [19, Cor. 4.12] [55, Thm. A].*

Let $R_{\rho,U}$ be the universal deformation ring of deformations ρ_A of the $\text{Gal}_{F,S}$ -representation ρ over local Artinian E -algebras A satisfying $\rho_A^c \cong \rho_A^\vee \otimes_E \varepsilon^{1-n}$. Note $R_{\rho,U}$ exists as $\text{End}_{\text{Gal}_{F,S}}(\rho) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(D) \cong E$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_\rho \supset \mathfrak{m}_{R_{\rho,U}}^2$ (resp. $\mathfrak{a}_D \supset \mathfrak{m}_{R_D}^2$) be the ideal associated to $\text{Ext}_{g,S_p \setminus \{\emptyset\}}^1(\rho, \rho)$ (resp. $\text{Ext}_U^1(D, D)$). By Hypothesis 4.15, $\text{Ext}_{g,S_p \setminus \{\emptyset\}}^1(\rho, \rho) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_U^1(D, D)$. The natural morphism $R_D \rightarrow R_{\rho,U}$ induces hence an isomorphism (of local Artinian E -algebras) $A_{D,U} = R_D/\mathfrak{a}_D \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho$. Let $\tilde{\rho}_{R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho}$ be the universal deformation of ρ over $R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho$. We have a natural morphism $\mathbb{T}(U^\wp) \rightarrow R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho$ sending $T_{\tilde{v}}^{(j)}$ to $(-1)^j (\#\tilde{k}_{\tilde{v}})^{-j(j-1)/2} \tilde{a}_{\tilde{v}}^{(j)}$ where $\tilde{a}_{\tilde{v}}^{(j)} \in R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, satisfy that the characteristic polynomial of $\tilde{\rho}_{R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho}(\text{Frob}_{\tilde{v}})$ is given by $X^n + \tilde{a}_{\tilde{v}}^{(1)} X^{n-1} + \dots + \tilde{a}_{\tilde{v}}^{(n-1)} X + \tilde{a}_{\tilde{v}}^{(n)}$. Let \mathfrak{a}_T be its kernel. The induced morphism $\mathbb{T}(U^\wp)/\mathfrak{a}_T \rightarrow R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, it suffices to show the morphism sends \mathfrak{m}_ρ onto $\mathfrak{m}_{R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho}$. Consider the universal representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\text{Gal}_{F,S}$ over $R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{m}_\rho$. By the definition of the morphism, we deduce the associated pseudo-character $\text{tr}(\tilde{\rho})$ takes values in E . This implies $\text{tr}(\tilde{\rho})$ is a trivial deformation of $\text{tr}(\rho)$. As ρ is absolutely irreducible, deforming ρ is equivalent to deforming $\text{tr}(\rho)$ (cf. [56, Thm. 1]). We deduce $\tilde{\rho}$ is a trivial deformation of ρ hence $\mathfrak{m}_\rho(R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho) = \mathfrak{m}_{R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho}$.

Proposition 4.17. *Assume Hypothesis 4.15 and ρ is absolutely irreducible. Then $\mathcal{E}(U^\wp)$ is smooth at z_w for all $w \in S_n$. Moreover, $\text{Ext}_U^1(D, D)$ satisfies Hypothesis 4.10 (1) (2).*

Proof. There is a natural family of $\text{Gal}_{F,S}$ -representations on $\mathcal{E}(U^\wp)$. We quickly recall some of its properties that we need. Let $X \subset \mathcal{E}(U^\wp)$ be a sufficiently small affinoid neighbourhood of x_w such that $x_{w'} \notin X$ for $w' \neq w$, and that the generic classical points are Zariski dense in X . Recall to each classical point $z \in X$, we can associate an n -dimensional continuous representation ρ_z of $\text{Gal}_{F,S}$, hence an n -dimension pseudo-character of $\text{Gal}_{F,S}$. By [25, Prop. 7.1.1], there is a pseudo-character $\mathcal{T}_X : \text{Gal}_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ interpolating those associated to the classical points. By [4, Lem. 5.5] and the assumption ρ is absolutely irreducible, shrinking X if needed, \mathcal{T}_X gives rise to a continuous representation $\rho_X : \text{Gal}_{F,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}(X))$ satisfying that for all points $z \in X$, $\rho_z = z^* \rho_X$ is absolutely irreducible. Let $\delta_X = (\delta_{X,1}, \dots, \delta_{X,n}) : T(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ be the natural character associated to κ . Let $\mathcal{R}_{K,X}$ be the relative Robba ring over $\mathcal{O}(X)$ for K (cf. [47, Def. 2.2.2]). By shrinking X if needed, ρ_X has the following properties:

- (i) $\rho_X^c \cong \rho_X \otimes_E \varepsilon^{1-n}$.

- (ii) For $v \in S_p \setminus \{\wp\}$, $\rho_{X,\tilde{v}}$ is de Rham of Hodge-Tate weights $\xi_v - \theta^{F_v^+}$.
- (iii) The (φ, Γ) -module $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_{X,\tilde{\wp}})$ over $\mathcal{R}_{K,X}$ is isomorphic to a successive extension of the rank one (φ, Γ) -modules $\mathcal{R}_{K,X}(\delta_{X,i} | \cdot |_K^{2i-(n+1)} \varepsilon^{1-i})$ (cf. [47, Def. 6.2.1]) for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

(i) follows easily from the fact that for all the classical points, $\rho_z^c \cong \rho_z \otimes_E \varepsilon^{1-n}$. For a place $v \in S_p \setminus \{\wp\}$, $\rho_{z,\tilde{v}}$ is de Rham (of inertial type τ_v) of Hodge-Tate weights $\xi_v - \theta^{F_v^+}$ for all classical points z . (ii) follows then by [8, Thm. B]. Finally, as $D := D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_{x_w})$ is non-critical and (φ) -generic, by [5, Thm. 5.3] (and an easy induction argument), (iii) follows (by shrinking X if needed).

Let T_{z_w} be the tangent space of $\mathcal{E}(U^\wp)$ at the point z_w . By (i), we have a map $T_{z_w} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(\rho, \rho)$, sending $t : \text{Spec } E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 \rightarrow X$ to $t^* \rho_X$. Denote by $\kappa_{z_w} : T_{z_w} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{T(K)}^1(\delta_w \delta_B, \delta_w \delta_B)$ the tangent map of $\mathcal{E}(U^\wp) \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ at z_w .

Claim. The induced map $f_{z_w} : T_{z_w} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(\rho, \rho)$ is injective and has image in $\text{Ext}_{g, S_p \setminus \{\wp\}}^1(\rho, \rho)$.

Let ν be in the kernel. So $\nu^* \rho_X \cong \rho \oplus \rho$. This implies the composition $\mathbb{T}(U^\wp) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X) \xrightarrow{\nu} E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ factors through \mathfrak{m}_ρ . However, by (iii), $\kappa_{z_w}(\nu)$ (as a character of $T(K)$ over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$) is a trianguline parameter of the (φ, Γ) -module $D_{\text{rig}}(\nu^* \rho_X)$ over $\mathcal{R}_{K, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$. Hence $\kappa_{z_w}(\nu) = 0$. But by the construction of $\mathcal{E}(U^\wp)$, $\mathbb{T}(U^\wp) \otimes_E E[T(K)]$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}(X)$, hence the map $T_{z_w} \xrightarrow{(f_{z_w}, \kappa_{z_w})} \text{Ext}_U^1(\rho, \rho) \times \text{Ext}_{T(K)}^1(\delta_w \delta_B, \delta_w \delta_B)$ is injective. We deduce ν is zero. The second part of the claim follows from (ii).

By (iii), the composition $f_D : T_{z_w} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(\rho, \rho) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D, D)$ has image in $\text{Ext}_w^1(D, D)$ hence (by the claim) in $\text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D)$. Together with Hypothesis 4.15, we deduce $\dim_E T_{z_w} \leq \dim \text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) \leq \dim_E \text{Ext}_w^1(D, D) / \dim \text{Ext}_g^1(D, D) = nd_K$. As $\dim \mathcal{E}(U^\wp) = nd_K$, we see z_w is a smooth point and $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{U,w}^1(D, D) = nd_K$. This finishes the proof. \square

By Proposition 4.14 (2), Proposition 4.17 and (4.14), \mathcal{M} is locally free of rank r at each z_w for $w \in S_n$. Let X be a sufficiently small smooth affinoid neighbourhood of z_w , and $\mathfrak{m}_{z_w} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ be the maximal ideal associated to z_w . We use the notation of § 4.2: $A_{D,U}$, $A_{D,U,w}$, $A_{U,w}$ etc. By the proof of Proposition 4.17, the composition $A_{D,U,w} \hookrightarrow A_{D,U} = R_D/\mathfrak{a}_D \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)/\mathfrak{m}_{z_w}^2$ is an isomorphism (where the last map is obtained by sending an element in the tangent space of X at z_w to the associated $\text{Gal}_{F,S}$ -representation). The map $A_{U,w} \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{D,U,w} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(X)/\mathfrak{m}_{z_w}^2$ coincides with the natural map induced by κ , and the map $\mathbb{T}(U^\wp)/\mathfrak{a}_T \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{\rho,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)/\mathfrak{m}_{z_w}^2$ coincides with the one induced by

$\mathbb{T}(U^\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$. We deduce a $T(K) \times A_{D,w,U}$ -equivariant injection

$$(4.15) \quad \widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\text{univ},\oplus r} \cong (\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{m}_{z_w}^2)^\vee \hookrightarrow J_B(\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}})[\mathfrak{a}_T][\mathcal{L}_w]\{T(K) = \delta_w \delta_B\},$$

where the $A_{D,w,U}$ -action on the left hand side is given as in the discussion below (4.13) and it acts on the right hand side via $A_{D,w,U} \cong A_{D,U}/\mathcal{L}_w \leftarrow A_{D,U} \cong R_{D,U}/\mathfrak{a}_\rho \cong \mathbb{T}(U^\varphi)/\mathfrak{a}_T$. Note as in the discussion below (4.13), the action of $A_{D,w,U}$ and $T(K)$ determine each other. Similarly in Lemma 4.3, the map (4.15) is balanced and induces (by [39, Thm. 0.13]) a $\text{GL}_n(K) \times R_{D,w,U}$ -equivariant injection

$$(4.16) \quad \iota_w : (I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\text{univ}})^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_T].$$

Let $\widetilde{\pi}$ be the closed subrepresentation of $\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_T]$ generated by $\text{Im } \iota_w$ for all w . Note $\widetilde{\pi}$ inherits from $\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_T]$ a (global) $A_{D,U} (\cong \mathbb{T}(U^\varphi)/\mathfrak{a}_T)$ -action.

Theorem 4.18. *Suppose Hypothesis 4.15 and ρ is absolutely irreducible. We have a $\text{GL}_n(K) \times A_{D,U}$ -equivariant isomorphism $\widetilde{\pi} \cong \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_U^{\text{univ},\oplus r}$ (cf. Corollary 4.13). Consequently, we have $\pi_{\min}(D)^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$.*

Proof. We first show $\widetilde{\pi} \cong \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_U^{\text{univ},\oplus r}$ as $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -representation. By (4.16) and similar arguments as in the proof of Corollary 4.4 (or using the same argument as in the proof of [21, Thm. 5.12]), the injection $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$ extends uniquely to an injection $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$. Note that $\text{Im } \iota_w \cap \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus r} \cong \text{PS}_1(w(\phi), \mathbf{h})^{\oplus r}$ (by the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 4.4). As in the proof of Theorem 4.5, $\widetilde{\pi}$ is isomorphic to an extension of certain copies of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus r}$. Using (4.14) (4.16) (and the structure of $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_U^{\text{univ}}$), it is not difficult to see $\widetilde{\pi}$ has to be isomorphic to $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_U^{\text{univ},\oplus r}$.

For the compatibility of the $A_{D,U}$ -action, it suffices to show any injection $\iota : \pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_U^{\text{univ}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_T]$ (extending $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$) is $A_{D,U}$ -equivariant. As $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})_U^{\text{univ}}$ is generated by $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\text{univ}}$, it suffices to show the restriction of ι to $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\text{univ}}$ is $A_{D,U}$ -equivariant. The restriction of ι to $I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\text{univ}}$ corresponds to a unique $T(K)$ -equivariant injection

$$(4.17) \quad \widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\text{univ}} \delta_B \hookrightarrow J_B(\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{a}_\rho]).$$

Its image is clearly contained in the image of (4.15) (by the definition of \mathcal{M}). However, any $T(K)$ -equivariant injection $\widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\text{univ}} \delta_B \hookrightarrow (\widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\text{univ}} \delta_B)^{\oplus r}$ has to be $A_{D,U,w}$ -equivariant (by the discussion below (4.13)), so is (4.17). Thus $\iota|_{I_{B^-(K)}^{\text{GL}_n(K)} \widetilde{\delta}_{U,w}^{\text{univ}}}$ is

$A_{D,U,w}$ -equivariant for all w , so ι is $A_{D,U}$ -equivariant. The second part follows from Corollary 4.13 (2). \square

Remark 4.19. *By the same argument as in the proof of [21, Thm. 5.12], the injection $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$ uniquely extends to $\pi(\phi, \mathbf{h})^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$. Similarly as in Remark 4.7, we see the injection $\pi_{\text{min}}(D)^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$ (in Theorem 4.18) extends uniquely to $\pi_{\text{fs}}(D)^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$.*

By similar arguments as in Corollary 4.8 (replacing Lemma 4.2 (2) by (4.14)), we have:

Corollary 4.20. *The representation $\pi_{\text{min}}(D)^{\oplus r}$ is the maximal subrepresentation of $\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$, which is generated by extensions of $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$ by $\pi_1(\phi, \mathbf{h})$.*

The information that D is non-critical can be read out from $\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$ by [12, Thm. 9.3]. Together with Corollary 4.20 and Theorem 3.34, we get:

Corollary 4.21. *For $D' \in \Phi\Gamma_{\text{nc}}(\phi, \mathbf{h})$, $\pi_{\text{min}}(D') \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$ if and only if $D'_\sigma \cong D_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$. In particular, when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, the $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation $\widehat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^\varphi, E)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_\rho]$ determines $\rho_{\widehat{\varphi}}$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Patrick Allen. Deformations of polarized automorphic Galois representations and adjoint Selmer groups. *Duke Math. Journal*, 165(13):2407–2460, 2016.
- [2] Barnet-Lamb, Thomas; Gee, Toby; Geraghty, David; Taylor, Richard. Local-global compatibility for $l = p$, II. *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, Série 4, Tome 47, no. 1, 165–179, 2014.
- [3] Joël Bellaïche and Gaëtan Chenevier. Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups. *Astérisque*, 324:1–314, 2009.
- [4] John Bergdall, On the variation of (φ, Γ) -modules over p -adic families of automorphic forms, *thesis, Brandies University*, 2013.
- [5] John Bergdall, Parabolic variation over p -adic families of (φ, Γ) -modules. *Compositio Mathematica*, 153(1):1320–174, 2017.
- [6] Laurent Berger. Équations différentielles p -adiques et (φ, N) -modules filtrés. *Astérisque*, 319:13–38, 2008.
- [7] Laurent Berger. Construction de (φ, Γ) -modules: représentations p -adiques et B -paires. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 2(1):91–120, 2008.
- [8] Laurent Berger and Pierre Colmez. Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p -adique. *Astérisque*, no. 319, 303–337, 2008.
- [9] Christophe Breuil. Invariant \mathcal{L} et série spéciale p -adique. *Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure*, 37(4):559–610, 2004.
- [10] Christophe Breuil. Remarks on some locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of $GL_2(F)$ in the crystalline case. *Non-abelian fundamental groups and Iwasawa theory*, 393:212–238, 2010.
- [11] Christophe Breuil. Série spéciale p -adique et cohomologie étale complétée. *Astérisque*, 331:65–115, 2010.
- [12] Christophe Breuil. Vers le socle localement analytique pour GL_n , II. *Mathematische Annalen*, 361:741–785, 2015.
- [13] Christophe Breuil. Vers le socle localement analytique pour GL_n , I. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 66:633–685, 2016.
- [14] Christophe Breuil. Ext¹ localement analytique et compatibilité local-global. *American J. of Math*, 141:611–703, 2019.

- [15] Christophe Breuil and Yiwen Ding. Higher \mathcal{L} -invariants for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and local-global compatibility. *Cambridge Journal of Mathematics*, 8(4):775–951, 2020.
 - [16] Christophe Breuil and Yiwen Ding. Sur un problème de compatibilité local-global localement analytique. *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 290(1442), 2023.
 - [17] Christophe Breuil and Yiwen Ding. Bernstein eigenvarieties. *Peking Mathematical Journal*, pages 1–172, 2023.
 - [18] Christophe Breuil, Eugen Hellmann, and Benjamin Schraen. Smoothness and classicality on eigenvarieties. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 209(1):197–274, 2017.
 - [19] Christophe Breuil, Eugen Hellmann, and Benjamin Schraen. Une interprétation modulaire de la variété trianguline. *Mathematische Annalen*, 367(3-4):1587–1645, 2017.
 - [20] Christophe Breuil, Eugen Hellmann, and Benjamin Schraen. A local model for the trianguline variety and applications. *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 130:299–412, 2019.
 - [21] Christophe Breuil and Florian Herzig. Towards the finite slope part for GL_n . *International Mathematics Research Notices*, pages 10495–10552, 2020.
 - [22] Christophe Breuil and Zicheng Qian. Splitting and expliciting the de Rham complex of the Drinfeld space. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.06651*, 2024.
 - [23] Christophe Breuil and Peter Schneider. First steps towards p -adic Langlands functoriality. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2007(610):149–180, 2007.
 - [24] Ana Caraiani, Matthew Emerton, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, Vytautas Paškūnas, and Sug Woo Shin. Patching and the p -adic local Langlands correspondence. *Cambridge journal of mathematics*, 4(2):197–287, 2016.
 - [25] Gaëtan Chenevier. Familles p -adiques de formes automorphes pour GL_n . *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, vol. 2004, no. 570, 143–217, 2004.
 - [26] Gaëtan Chenevier, Une correspondance de Jacquet-Langlands p -adique. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 126, no. 1, 161–194, 2005.
 - [27] Gaëtan Chenevier. On the infinite fern of Galois representations of unitary type. *Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure*, 44(6):963–1019, 2011.
- d y w
- [28] Laurent Clozel, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor. Automorphy for some ℓ -adic lifts of automorphic mod ℓ Galois representations. *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 108(1):1–181, 2008.
 - [29] Pierre Colmez. Représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et (φ, Γ) -modules. *Astérisque*, 330:281–509, 2010.
 - [30] Pierre Colmez. La série principale unitaire de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$: vecteurs localement analytiques. *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, 414, 286–358, 2014.
 - [31] J-F Dat. Caractères à valeurs dans le centre de Bernstein. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 508:61–83, 1999.
 - [32] Yiwen Ding. \mathcal{L} -invariants, partially de Rham families, and local-global compatibility. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 67(4):1457–1519, 2017.
 - [33] Yiwen Ding. Companion points and locally analytic socle for $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 231:47–122, 2019.
 - [34] Yiwen Ding. Simple \mathcal{L} -invariants for GL_n . *Transactions of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 372(11):7993–8042, 2019.
 - [35] Yiwen Ding. p -adic Hodge parameters in the crystabelline representations of GL_3 . *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16657*, 2024.
 - [36] Yiwen Ding. Towards the wall-crossing of the locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ for a p -adic field K (with an appendix by Yiwen Ding, Yongquan Hu, Haoran Wang). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.06315*, 2024.
 - [37] Matthew Emerton. On the interpolation of systems of eigenvalues attached to automorphic Hecke eigenforms. *Inventiones mathematicae* 164, 1–84, 2006.
 - [38] Matthew Emerton. Jacquet modules of locally analytic representations of p -adic reductive groups I. Construction and first properties. *Annales scientifiques de l’École normale supérieure*, 39(5):775–839, 2006.
 - [39] Matthew Emerton. Jacquet modules of locally analytic representations of p -adic reductive groups II. The relation to parabolic induction. 2007. to appear in *J. Institut Math. Jussieu*.
 - [40] Matthew Emerton. Locally analytic vectors in representations of locally p -adic analytic groups. *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 248(1175), 2017.
 - [41] Matthew Emerton and Toby Gee. A geometric perspective on the Breuil-Mézard conjecture. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 13(1):183–223, 2014.
 - [42] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Le corps des périodes p -adiques. *Astérisque*, (223):59–111, 1994. With an appendix by Pierre Colmez.
 - [43] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Arithmétique des représentations galoisiennes p -adiques. *Astérisque*, (295):115 p., 2004.

- [44] Fernando Gouvêa and Barry Mazur. On the density of modular representations. *Computational perspectives on number theory (Chicago, IL, 1995)*, pages 127–142, 1998.
- [45] Eugen Hellmann, Valentin Hernandez, and Benjamin Schraen. Patching and multiplicities of p -adic eigenforms. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2406.01129*, 2024.
- [46] James E Humphreys. *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category \mathcal{O}* , volume 94. American Mathematical Soc., 2008.
- [47] Kiran Kedlaya, Jonathan Pottharst, and Liang Xiao. Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ) -modules. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 27(4):1043–1115, 2014.
- [48] Mark Kisin. Moduli of finite flat group schemes, and modularity. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 1085–1180, 2009.
- [49] Mark Kisin. Deformations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ representations. *Astérisque*, 330:511–528, 2010.
- [50] Ruochuan Liu. Cohomology and duality for (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, vol. 2007, rnm 150, 2007.
- [51] Ruochuan Liu. Locally analytic vectors of some crystabelian representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. *Compositio Mathematica*, 148(1):28–64, 2012.
- [52] Ruochuan Liu. Triangulation of refined families. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 90(4):831–904, 2015.
- [53] Nakamura K., *Classification of two-dimensional split trianguline representations of p -adic field*, Compos. Math. 145, 2009, no. 4, 865-914.
- [54] Kentaro Nakamura. Deformations of trianguline B -pairs and Zariski density of two dimensional crystalline representations. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 20:461–568, 2013.
- [55] James Newton and Jack Thorne. Adjoint Selmer groups of automorphic Galois representations of unitary type. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 25(5):1919–1967, 2023.
- [56] Louise Nyssen. Pseudo-représentations. *Math. Ann.*, 306, 257–283, 1996.
- [57] Sascha Orlik. On some properties of the functors \mathcal{F}_P^G from Lie algebra to locally analytic representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 1802.07514*, 2018.
- [58] Sascha Orlik and Matthias Strauch. On Jordan-Hölder series of some locally analytic representations. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 28(1):99–157, 2015.
- [59] Peter Schneider and Jeremy Teitelbaum. Algebras of p -adic distributions and admissible representations. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 153(1):145–196, 2003.
- [60] Peter Schneider and Jeremy Teitelbaum. Duality for admissible locally analytic representations. *Representation Theory of the American Mathematical Society*, 9(10):297–326, 2005.
- [61] Benjamin Schraen. Représentations p -adiques de $GL_2(L)$ et catégories dérivées. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 176(1):307–361, 2010.
- [62] Benjamin Schraen. Représentations localement analytiques de $GL_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 44(1):43–145, 2011.