

Self-dual intervals in the Bruhat order

Christian Gaetz and Yibo Gao

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Smooth permutations

A permutation $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is **smooth** if the corresponding Schubert variety X_w is smooth. The following characterization of smooth permutations is well-known.

Theorem ([1, 2])

The following are equivalent for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$:

- 1 the interval $[e, w]$ in the Bruhat order is rank-symmetric
- 2 w avoids 3412 and 4231;
- 3 w is smooth.

Background and Notations on the Bruhat order

The **(strong) Bruhat order** on the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n is the transitive closure of

$$w \prec wt_{ij}, \quad \ell(w) = \ell(wt_{ij}) - 1$$

where t_{ij} is the transposition $(i j)$ and ℓ denotes the number of inversions. There is a minimum $e = 12 \cdots n$ and a maximum $w_0 = n \cdots 21$ in the Bruhat order.

For $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, and $k = 0, 1, \dots, \ell(w)$, let

$$P_k^w := \{u \leq w \mid \ell(u) = k\}$$

be the k^{th} rank of w . Then $P_0^w = \{e\}$ and $P_{\ell(w)}^w = \{w\}$.

Let Γ_w and Γ_w be the bipartite graphs on $P_1^w \sqcup P_2^w$ and $P_{\ell(w)-1}^w \sqcup P_{\ell(w)-2}^w$ respectively with edges given by cover relations of the Bruhat order.

Let $\text{udeg}_w(u)$ be the number of $v \in [e, w]$ covering u , and $\text{ddeg}_w(u)$ be the number of $v \in [e, w]$ covered by u .

Principal order ideals in the Bruhat orders are known to be "top-heavy", described by the following theorem.

Theorem [3]

For $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $0 \leq k \leq \ell(w)/2$,

$$|P_k^w| \leq |P_{\ell(w)-k}^w|.$$

Self-dual intervals

A poset is **self-dual** if it admits an order-reversing bijection. Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem

The following are equivalent for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$:

- 1 the bipartite graphs Γ_w and Γ^w are isomorphic;
- 2 w avoids the smooth patterns 3412 and 4231 as well as 34521, 45321, 54123, and 54312;
- 3 w is **polished** (defined momentarily);
- 4 the interval $[e, w]$ in Bruhat order is self-dual.

The equivalence of (1) and (4) is notable because self-duality of $[e, w]$ may be demonstrated by comparing only two pairs of ranks and coranks. For smoothness, Billey and Postnikov [4] conjecture that one must check that $|P_i^w| = |P_{\ell(w)-i}^w|$ for around the first n pairs of ranks and coranks.

Theorem

Let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be smooth, then

$$\max_{u \in P_1^w} \text{udeg}_w(u) \leq \max_{u \in P_{\ell(w)-1}^w} \text{ddeg}_w(u),$$

with equality if and only if $[e, w]$ is self-dual.




Figure 1: The bipartite graphs Γ^{34521} (top) and Γ_{34521} (bottom). Note that $\max_{u \in P_1^w} \text{udeg}_w(u) = 5$ and $\max_{u \in P_{\ell(w)-1}^w} \text{ddeg}_w(u) = 6$ so Γ^w and Γ_w are not isomorphic.

Polished elements

Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system where W is the Coxeter group and S is the set of simple generators.

Definition

We say that $w \in W$ is **polished** if there exist pairwise disjoint subsets $S_1, \dots, S_k \subseteq S$ such that each S_i is a connected subset of the Dynkin diagram and coverings $S_i = J_i \cup J'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ with $J_i \cap J'_i$ totally disconnected so that

$$w = \prod_{i=1}^k w_0(J_i)w_0(J_i \cap J'_i)w_0(J'_i)$$

where the product is taken from left to right as $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Note that if the S_j 's are reordered, we obtain a possibly different polished element.

The following element with $k = 2$, $J_1 = \{s_7, s_8\}$, $J'_1 = \emptyset$, $J_2 = \{s_2, s_3, s_4\}$, $J'_2 = \{s_4, s_5, s_6\}$, and multiplication in the order of

$$\begin{aligned} w &= w_0(J_1)w_0(J_2)s_4w_0(J'_2) \\ &= 123456987 \cdot 154326789 \cdot 123546789 \cdot 123765489 \\ &= 154963287 \end{aligned}$$

is a polished element.




Figure 2: A polished element in type A_8

The duality map

Notice that $[e, w] \simeq [e, w'] \times [e, w'']$ if $w = w'w''$ for w' and w'' living in disjoint parabolic subgroups. So to produce the duality map, it suffices to assume that

$$w = w_0(J)w_0(J \cap J')w_0(J')$$

such that $J \cap J'$ is totally disconnected (any two simple generators commute).

This map is given by

$$u \mapsto u^\vee := w_0(J)u^J w_0(J \cap J') \cdot u_{J'} w_0(J')$$

where $u = u^J u_{J'}$ is the parabolic decomposition.

Discussion and open problems

We showed that the implication (3) \Rightarrow (4) (w being polished implies that $[e, w]$ is self-dual) holds for any finite Coxeter group, while (1) \Rightarrow (4) does not hold in general.

The following open questions are natural to ask:

- If $[e, w]$ is self-dual, is w polished?
- Are the conditions of $[e, w]$ being self-dual and w being polished characterized by pattern avoidance in the sense of Billey-Postnikov [4]?
- Is there a geometric interpretation for self-duality (even in type A)?

References

- [1] V. Lakshmibai and B. Sandhya. Criterion for smoothness of Schubert varieties in $\text{Sl}(n)/B$. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 100(1):45–52, 1990.
- [2] James B. Carrell. The Bruhat graph of a Coxeter group, a conjecture of Deodhar, and rational smoothness of Schubert varieties. In *Algebraic groups and their generalizations: classical methods (University Park, PA, 1991)*, volume 56 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 53–61. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [3] Anders Björner and Torsten Ekedahl. On the shape of Bruhat intervals. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 170(2):799–817, 2009.
- [4] Sara Billey and Alexander Postnikov. Smoothness of Schubert varieties via patterns in root subsystems. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 34(3):447–466, 2005.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Sara Billey for suggesting that self-dual intervals may be characterized by pattern avoidance. We also wish to thank Alexander Woo for providing helpful references and Alexander Postnikov and Thomas Lam for their suggestions.

Contact Information

- Email: gaetz@mit.edu, gaoyibo@mit.edu

