

Unitary and Hilbert modular varieties and geometric Hilbert modular forms

§1 "Fake" Hilbert modular variety

Let F be a totally real field. $D := \text{discriminant of } F$

Key issue: There's no genuine moduli problem for Shimura variety for $\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2$

But there's a moduli problem for $G = (\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2)^{\det \in \mathbb{G}_m}$.

Explicitly, for a \mathbb{Q} -algebra R , $G(R) = \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_2(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F) \mid \det g \in R^{\times} \right\}$

In Exercise, we will discuss how to "manually" define the moduli problem for $\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2$.

Let $N \geq 4$ be an integer \leftarrow so that we get a scheme.

Consider the functor

$y_1(N)$: $\text{Sch}_{/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{ND}]}$ \longrightarrow Sets

means $\Gamma_1(N)$ -level structure
more general level structure is
a bit tricky.

$S \longmapsto y_1(N)(S) = \begin{cases} \text{isomorphism classes } (A, \lambda, \eta) \\ \cdot A \text{ is an abelian scheme } / S \text{ of } \dim = [F:\mathbb{Q}], \\ \text{equipped with an action of } \mathcal{O}_F \\ \cdot \lambda: A \xrightarrow{\sim} A^\vee \text{ an } \mathcal{O}_F\text{-linear polarization} \\ \cdot \eta: \underline{\mu_{N,S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_F} \hookrightarrow A[N] \text{ is an } \mathcal{O}_F\text{-embedding} \\ \quad \uparrow \text{non-canonically isomorphic to } \underline{\mu_{N,S}}^{\oplus [F:\mathbb{Q}]} \end{cases}$

For A an abelian variety over S , taking relative cohomology for $\pi: A \xrightarrow{e} S$

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_* \Omega^1_{A/S} \rightarrow H^1_{\text{dR}}(A/S) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow 0$$

b/c $\Omega^1_{A/S}$ admits a basis of invariant differentials

$$\begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\text{HS}} & \Omega^1_S \\ e^* \Omega^1_{A/S} \\ \Downarrow \\ \omega_{A/S} \end{matrix}$$

$$H^1_{\text{dR}}(A^\vee/S)$$

Very important exact sequence for Sh. var.

"Dualize this": $0 \rightarrow \omega_{A^\vee/S} \rightarrow H^1_{\text{dR}}(A/S) \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{A/S} \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{matrix} \hookdownarrow & \hookdownarrow & \hookdownarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathcal{O}_S & \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathcal{O}_S & \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \end{matrix}$$

Known: $H^1_{\text{dR}}(A/S)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ -module of rank 2.

The polarization λ induces a natural pairing

$$H^{\text{dR}}_1(A/S) \times H^{\text{dR}}_1(A/S) \xrightarrow[=]{1 \times \lambda} H^{\text{dR}}_1(A/S) \times H^{\text{dR}}_1(A^\vee/S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$$

we assumed to be an isom. perfect pairing

Fact : $\omega_{A^\vee/S}$ is itself's exact annihilator , or a Lagrangian submodule of $H^{\text{dR}}_1(A/S)$.

$\Rightarrow \omega_{A^\vee/S}$ is locally free $\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ -module of rank 1.

Theorem. $\mathcal{Y}(N)$ is represented by a smooth variety of $\dim [F:\mathbb{Q}]$ over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{ND}]$

§2 Unitary Shimura variety

Let E be an imaginary quadratic ext'n.

Let V be a Hermitian space of dim n over E ,

that is, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow E$ non-degenerate Hermitian form

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}, \quad \langle ax, by \rangle = a\bar{b} \langle x, y \rangle \quad \text{for } x, y \in V, a, b \in E.$$

Fix $\delta \in E^{C=-1}$. This determines an embedding $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Then the Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces an alternating form

$$\{ \cdot, \cdot \} : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{This is } \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\{ x, y \} := \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta \cdot \langle x, y \rangle)$$

$$\text{check } \{ x, y \} = - \{ y, x \}$$

$$\text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta \cdot \langle x, y \rangle) = \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta \cdot \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}) = \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(-\delta \cdot \langle y, x \rangle) = - \{ y, x \}$$

Fact : $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-degenerate Herm. forms} \\ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\text{bij.}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-degenerate alternating forms } \{ \cdot, \cdot \} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ \text{satisfying } \{ ax, y \} = \{ x, \bar{a}y \} \end{array} \right\}$

Note : This bijection depends on the choice of δ .

Consider group $\text{GU}(V)$: for S an \mathbb{Q} -algebra

$$\text{GU}(V)(S) := \left\{ (g, c) \in \underset{S}{\text{GL}}(V \otimes S) \times S^\times \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall x, y \in V \\ \langle gx, gy \rangle = c \langle x, y \rangle \end{array} \right\}$$

Similitude unitary group

$$\{ g x, g y \} = c \{ x, y \}$$

$$\text{Have } 1 \rightarrow U(V) \rightarrow GU(V) \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

We are interested in understanding the Shimura variety for $GU(V)$.

Fix an open compact subgroup $K \subseteq GU(V)(\mathbb{A}_f)$

Previously, we've talked about the group, then data at all finite places, now at archimedean place

At ∞ , $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ has signature (a, b) $n = a+b$

i.e. \exists a basis of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ s.t. the Herm. form is $\begin{pmatrix} I_a & \\ & -I_b \end{pmatrix}$

$h: S \rightarrow GL(V_{\mathbb{R}}) = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ Here $E \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ uses the embedding determined by δ

$$z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z I_a & \\ & \bar{z} I_b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then } \{x, h(i)y\} = \text{Tr}_{E_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{R}}(\delta \cdot \langle x, h(i)y \rangle)$$

$$(\text{for } x, y \in E_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus a}, \text{ this is } \text{Tr}_{E_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{R}}(c \cdot i \cdot (-i) \cdot \langle x, y \rangle))$$

so positive definite. Similarly for $E_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus b}$.)

$c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ conjugate linear in second factor

Moduli functor: $M_K: Sch/E \xrightarrow{\text{loc. nor}} \text{Sets}$

This is E instead; viewed canonically as a subfield of \mathbb{C} using the one given by δ .

$$S \longmapsto M_K(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (A, i, \lambda, \eta) : \text{up to quasi-isogenes} \\ \cdot A \text{ abelian variety of dim } n \text{ over } S \text{ satisfying a signature condition} \\ \cdot i: E \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \text{ an embedding} \\ \cdot \lambda: A \rightarrow A^{\vee} \text{ an } \mathcal{O}_E\text{-linear polarization (only requiring to be quasi-isogenes)} \\ \quad \text{s.t. the Rosati involution induces complex conj on } \mathcal{O}_E \end{array} \right.$$

Important remark:

Weil pairing can't see the Hermitian form; so we need to turn the Herm. form \langle , \rangle into a \mathbb{Q} -valued symplectic form $\{ , \}$ to compare w/ Weil pairing.

This corresponds to a choice of embedding $GU(V) \hookrightarrow GSp_{2g}$.

$$\eta: V \otimes \mathbb{A}_f \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{V}(A)$$

$$\text{s.t. } V_{\mathbb{A}_f} \times V_{\mathbb{A}_f} \xrightarrow{\{ \cdot, \cdot \}} \mathbb{A}_f$$

$s|_{\eta} \quad s|_{\eta} \curvearrowright s| \text{ given by mult by some}$

$$\hat{V}(A) \times \hat{V}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{Weil pairing}} \mathbb{A}_f(1) \text{ loc. const func on } S$$

Rosati involution Given an endomorphism $\theta: A \rightarrow A$, the polarization induces

$A \xrightarrow{\quad \theta_\lambda \quad} A$ θ_λ is the Rosati involution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda \not\mid \simeq & \lambda \not\mid \simeq & (\text{quasi-isogeny}) \\ A^\vee \xrightarrow{\theta^\vee} A^\vee \end{array}$$

b/c we chose S
to be an E -scheme

Signature condition. $0 \rightarrow \omega_{A^\vee/S} \rightarrow H_1^{dR}(A/S) \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{A/S} \rightarrow 0$ $\hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \simeq \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S$

\uparrow
 $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$

locally free $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ -module of rank n

$x \otimes a \mapsto (ax, \bar{a}x)$

According to the decomposition

on the right, get decomposition

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{A^\vee/S,j} \rightarrow H_1^{dR}(A/S)_j \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{A/S,j} \rightarrow 0$$

$j=1, \mathcal{O}_E\text{-linear}$
 $j=2, \mathcal{O}_E\text{-crys-linear}$

Require: $\text{rank } (\text{Lie}_{A/S,1}) = a$, $\text{rank } (\text{Lie}_{A/S,2}) = b$.

(corresponding to the condition for h earlier.)

Remark: The polarization λ induces a perfect pairing

$$\lambda: H_1^{dR}(A/S) \times H_1^{dR}(A/S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$$

But the Rosati involution condition implies that under the decomposition into $j=1,2$.

$$\text{we get } \lambda: H_1^{dR}(A/S)_1 \times H_1^{dR}(A/S)_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$$

\cup \cup

$$\text{rank } n-a=b \rightarrow \omega_{A^\vee/S,1} \qquad \omega_{A^\vee/S,2} \leftarrow \text{rank } n-b=a$$

$\omega_{A^\vee/S,1}$ & $\omega_{A^\vee/S,2}$ are exact annihilator of each other.

Theorem When $K \subseteq GU(V)(A_f)$ is sufficiently small, M_K is represented by a smooth variety of dimension $a \cdot b$ over E .

Remark: Similar to the argument for modular curve & Siegel moduli variety,

$$\text{can "almost" prove } M_K(\mathbb{C}) = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \left(X \times (G(A_f)/K) \right)$$

if $a \neq b$.

$$\text{where } X = GU_R(a,b)(\mathbb{R}) / G(U_R(a) \times U_R(b))(\mathbb{R}) \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} U_R(a,b) / U_R(a) \times U_R(b)$$

Not quite correct. When $n=a+b$ is even, this is okay.

When $n=a+b$ is odd, $M_K(\mathbb{C}) = \text{finite identical copies of this.}$

Will explain this subtlety in later lectures.

§3 Geometric modular forms

Let $N \geq 4$. Consider modular curve $Y_1(N)$ of level $\Gamma_1(N)$.

It has a natural compactification $X_1(N)$. Will discuss this in details in Talk 4.

Will pretend that $Y_1(N)$ is already proper over \mathbb{Q} .

Will use the moduli problem $Y_1(N)(S) = \{(E, \iota) ; E \text{ elliptic curve}/S, \iota : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}_S \hookrightarrow E[N]\}$

$$\mathcal{E} \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_{E/Y_1(N)} \rightarrow H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{E}/Y_1(N)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}_{E^\vee/Y_1(N)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

\downarrow Somehow, the theory of automorphic forms works better with cohomological convention

$Y_1(N)$ To study the geometry, homology is preferred as it is covariant functorial.

There is a natural extension of ω to the compactification $X_1(N)$.

Fact : $M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = H^0(X_1(N), \omega^{\otimes k})$ weight k modular forms

UI

can't use $Y_1(N)$ b/c $Y_1(N)$ is not proper

$S_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = H^0(X_1(N), \omega^{\otimes k}(-C))$ where $C = \text{divisor given by cusps}$.

* Elliptic curves are naturally principally polarized, $E \cong E^\vee$.

\Rightarrow natural perfect pairing $H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{E}/Y_1(N)) \times H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{E}/Y_1(N)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_1(N)}$

\Rightarrow canonical isomorphism. $\wedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{E}/Y_1(N)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{Y_1(N)}$

So the exact sequence becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{E/Y_1(N)} \rightarrow H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{E}/Y_1(N)) \rightarrow \omega_{E/Y_1(N)}^{-1} \rightarrow 0$$

§4 Geometric Hilbert modular forms

A major issue we are fighting is : moduli problem $\leftrightarrow G' = (\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{GL}_2)^{\det \in \mathbb{G}_m}$
 automorphic application $\leftrightarrow G = \mathrm{GL}_2$.

In this talk : We introduce the "correct" definition of geometric HMF on the moduli problem for G' .

In the exercise : We explain how to modify the moduli problem to get to the Shimura variety for G .

& then our definition will "automatically" generalize.

F totally real field over \mathbb{Q} . $N \geq 4$.

$\Sigma := \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R})$. set of real embeddings

A paritious weight is a tuple $\kappa := ((k_\tau)_{\tau \in \Sigma}, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $k_\tau \equiv w \pmod{2}$ for every τ .
 ↗ means satisfying certain property about parity of numbers.

Remark: This is similar to that for $\Gamma_0(N)$ -level, we need even weights.

Here for HMFs, the constraint on weights is more serious.

Let L be a subfield of \mathbb{C} containing a Galois closure of F

$$\begin{array}{c} A \text{ universal abelian variety} \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_{A/Y} \rightarrow H^1_{dR}(A/Y) \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{A^\vee/Y} \rightarrow 0 \\ | \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ Y_1(N) \xrightarrow[L]{\simeq} \text{base change} \quad \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \bigoplus_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, L)} \mathcal{O}_Y \\ \text{decompose} \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightarrow \underbrace{\omega_\tau}_{\text{rank 1}} \rightarrow \underbrace{H^1_{dR, \tau}}_{\text{rank 2 over } \mathcal{O}_Y} \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{Lie}_\tau}_{\text{rank 1}} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Define $\varepsilon_\tau := \wedge^2 H^1_{dR, \tau}$ rank 1 over \mathcal{O}_Y

Similar to above, the polarization $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^\vee$ induces a perfect pairing

$$\lambda: H^1_{dR}(A/S) \times H^1_{dR}(A/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \lambda_\tau: H^1_{dR, \tau} \times H^1_{dR, \tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$$

\Rightarrow canonical isomorphism $\wedge^2 H^1_{dR, \tau} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_S$ (depending on λ)

$$\text{Define } \omega^\kappa = \bigotimes_{\tau \in \Sigma} \left(\omega_\tau^{k_\tau} \otimes \varepsilon_\tau^{\frac{w-k_\tau}{2}} \right)$$

Remark: Indeed, on $Y_1(N)$ as defined, ε_τ is canonically trivialized.

But our ultimate goal is to study Hilbert modular forms on $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2$

Need to do some "modification" to this picture, including introducing an action of $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times, >0}$ which acts non-trivially on ε_τ by mult. by $\tau(u)^2$. & on ω_τ by mult. by $\tau(u)^{\frac{w-k_\tau}{2}}$

But totally, the action is mult by $\prod_{\tau \in \Sigma} (\tau(u)^{k_\tau} \cdot \tau(u^2)^{\frac{w-k_\tau}{2}}) = \text{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(u)^w = 1$.

The associated space of Hilbert modular forms is

$$H^0(Y_1(N), \omega^k)$$

Remark: We here again ignored the issue that $Y_1(N)$ is not proper.

But when $[F : \mathbb{Q}] > 1$, and all k_τ are equal, we don't need to worry about the cusps, because all HMFs are automatically convergent by Hartogs' theorem.