

## Modules and classification of finitely generated modules over a PID

- Fields  $\hookrightarrow$  vector spaces

Rings  $\hookrightarrow$  **modules (模)**

Definition Let  $R$  be a ring. A (left)  $R$ -module ( $R$ -左模) is an abelian group  $M$  equipped with an "R-action" on  $M$ :  $R \times M \rightarrow M$ , satisfying

$$(a, m) \mapsto a \cdot m$$

$$(0) 1_R \cdot m = m$$

$$(1) (r+s) \cdot m = r \cdot m + s \cdot m$$

$$(2) r \cdot (m+n) = r \cdot m + r \cdot n$$

$$(3) r \cdot (s \cdot m) = (rs) \cdot m.$$

A right  $R$ -module is an abelian group  $N$  with a right  $R$ -action

$N \times R \rightarrow N$ , satisfying analogues of (0)(1)(2) above,

$$(n, a) \mapsto n \cdot a$$

$$\text{and } (3)_R \quad (n \cdot s) \cdot r = n \cdot (sr).$$

Remark: If  $R$  is commutative, then there is no difference between left/right  $R$ -modules

- A (left)  $R$ -submodule ( $R$ -左子模) is an abelian subgroup  $N \subseteq M$  s.t.  $\forall r \in R, r \cdot N \subseteq N$ .

Examples:

- ① If  $R = F$  is a field, then  $F$ -modules =  $F$ -vector spaces.

- ② If  $I \subseteq R$  is a left ideal, then  $I$  is a left  $R$ -submodule of  $R$  (b/c  $\forall r \in R, r \cdot I \subseteq I$ )

Conversely, a left  $R$ -submodule of  $R$  is a left ideal.

- ③ A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is just an abelian group  $G$

b/c  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $n \cdot g = \underbrace{g + \dots + g}_n \in G$  &  $(-n) \cdot g = -n \cdot g$ .

A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule is an abelian subgroup of  $G$ .

④ If  $M_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) are left  $R$ -modules, define their

direct product (直积) to be  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i = \left\{ (m_i)_{i \in I}; m_i \in M_i \right\}$

direct sum (直和) to be  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i = \left\{ (m_i)_{i \in I}; m_i \in M_i, \begin{array}{l} \text{all but finitely many} \\ m_i \text{ is zero} \end{array} \right\}$

or  $M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow$

⑤  $R^{\oplus m} = \underbrace{R \oplus \dots \oplus R}_m$  free  $R$ -module of rank  $m$ .

⑥ If  $S \xrightarrow{\varphi} R$  is a ring homomorphism, then we may naturally view an  $R$ -module  $M$  as an  $S$ -module,

by  $s \cdot m := \varphi(s) \cdot m$

Definition Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  and  $N$  be left  $R$ -modules

① An  $R$ -module homomorphism ( $R$ -模同态) is an abelian group homomorphism  $\phi: M \rightarrow N$

satisfying  $\forall r \in R, m \in M \Rightarrow r \cdot \phi(m) = \phi(r \cdot m)$ .

Write  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  for the set of such homomorphisms; it is naturally an abelian group.

• When  $R$  is commutative,  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is also an  $R$ -module

$$(r \cdot \phi)(m) := r \cdot \phi(m) = \phi(r \cdot m). \quad (\text{Think: why need } R \text{ to be commutative.})$$

Example:  $\{ \mathbb{Z}\text{-module homomorphisms} \} \leftrightarrow \{ \text{abelian group homomorphisms} \}$ .

② Say  $\phi$  is an  $R$ -module isomorphism ( $R$ -模同构) if it is a homomorphism + bijection.

③ If  $\phi: M \rightarrow N$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism, then

- $\ker \phi = \phi^{-1}(0)$  is the kernel of  $\phi$
  - $\text{Im } \phi = \phi(M)$  is the image of  $\phi$
- } both are  $R$ -modules

Rmk:  $\phi$  injective  $\Leftrightarrow \ker \phi = \{0\}$  or just write  $\ker \phi = 0$ .

④ If  $N \subseteq M$  is an  $R$ -submodule, define the quotient  $R$ -module ( $R$ -商模)

$$M/N := \{m+N ; m \in M\}, \quad r \cdot (m+N) := rm + N.$$

### Isomorphism Theorems for $R$ -modules (omit)

Theorem (Jordan-Hölder) Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Assume that we are given two chains of  $R$ -submodules  $0 = A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A_m = M$  and  $0 = B_0 \subseteq B_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq B_n = N$

Then setting  $A'_{ij} := A_{i-1} + (A_i \cap B_j)$  and  $B'_{ij} = B_{j-1} + (A_i \cap B_j)$ ,

we refine the two chains by  $A'_{ij}$  and  $B'_{ij}$  and that  $A'_{ij}/A'_{i-1} \cong B'_{ij}/B'_{i-1}$ .  $\square$

Definition  $M$  a left  $R$ -module,  $A \subseteq M$  subset.

$$RA := \{r_1a_1 + \dots + r_na_n \mid n \geq 0, r_1, \dots, r_n \in R, a_1, \dots, a_n \in A\}$$

is the submodule of  $M$  generated by  $A$

$$\text{When } A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}, \quad RA = \text{Image} \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi: R^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow M \\ (r_1, \dots, r_n) \longmapsto r_1a_1 + \dots + r_na_n \end{array} \right)$$

We say a submodule  $N \subseteq M$  (possibly  $N=M$ ) is finitely generated (有限生成的) if  
 $\exists$  finite set  $A \subseteq N$  s.t.  $N = RA$ .

say \_\_\_\_\_ is cyclic if  $\exists m \in N$  s.t.  $N = Rm = \{rm \mid r \in R\}$

say  $M$  is free of rank  $n$  (自由的, 秩为  $n$ ) if there exists  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in M$

s.t.  $\forall m \in M$  can be written uniquely as  $m = r_1a_1 + \dots + r_na_n$  with  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$

This is equivalent to  $R^{\oplus n} \cong M$

Remark: If  $M$  is (finitely generated) by  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in M$ , then

we get  $\phi: R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M$

$$(r_1, \dots, r_n) \mapsto r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_n a_n$$

We are interested in "relations among  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ " e.g.  $b_1 a_1 + \dots + b_n a_n = 0$  for some  $b_i \in R$   
or equivalently  $\ker \phi$ .

To specify an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\psi: M \rightarrow L$ , it is enough to specify  $\psi(a_i)$  for each  $a_i$   
satisfying  $b_1 \psi(a_1) + \dots + b_n \psi(a_n) = 0$ .

(Nice situation:  $\ker \phi$  is also a finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $\rightsquigarrow$  say  $M$  is finitely presented)  
i.e. there are essentially finitely many such relations. (有限表达式)

Key example: Let  $F$  be a field,  $V$  an  $F$ -vector space  $\hookrightarrow T$   $F$ -linear operator.

Then we define an  $F[x]$ -module structure on  $V$  by

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n) \cdot v := a_0 v + a_1 T(v) + a_2 T^2(v) + \dots + a_n T^n(v)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{F-vector space } V \text{ with} \\ \text{an } F\text{-linear operator } T \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ F[x]\text{-module } V \right\}$$

$$\text{Similarly } \left\{ T\text{-stable subspaces } W \subseteq V \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ F[x]\text{-submodule } W \subseteq V \right\}$$

Remark:  $V$  finite  $F$ -dimensional  $\Rightarrow V$  is a fin. gen  $F[x]$ -module, But not conversely.

Classification of finitely generated  $R$ -modules where  $R$  is a PID

Lemma.  $R$  integral domain,  $M \cong R^{\oplus n}$ . Then any  $n+1$  elements in  $M$  are linearly dependent,

i.e.  $\forall x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in M, \exists a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$  not all zero, s.t.  $a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_{n+1} x_{n+1} = 0$

Proof: Set  $F = \text{Frac}(R)$ . It is clear that  $\exists a'_1, \dots, a'_{n+1} \in F$  not all zero s.t.

$$a'_1 x_1 + \dots + a'_{n+1} x_{n+1} = 0$$

Multiply this by  $d = \text{product of denominators of all } a_i$ . ✓ □

From now on,  $R$  is a PID

Theorem 1. Let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n$ , and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Then

- (1)  $N$  is free of rank  $n$  ( $n \leq m$ )
- (2) There exists a basis  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  of  $M$  so that  $a_1 y_1, \dots, a_n y_n$  is a basis of  $N$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R \setminus \{0\}$  satisfy  $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_n$ .

Proof: If  $N = \{0\}$ , the theorem is trivial.

Now assume  $N \neq 0$  (First we determine  $a_1$ )

(Idea: Say  $M \cong R^{\oplus m}$ . Then each  $x \in N$  can be written as  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ )

→ want to find the "minimal possible"  $\gcd(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .)

Fix an isomorphism  $M \cong R^{\oplus m} \rightsquigarrow \pi_i : M \rightarrow R$  taking the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate.

For each homomorphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow R$ ,  $\varphi(N)$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $R$ , i.e. an ideal of  $R$ .

Consider  $\{\varphi(N) \mid \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)\}$ ; it contains a maximal possible ideal  $(a_1) \subseteq R$

Say for  $\varphi_1 \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ ,  $\varphi_1(y) = a_1$  for some  $y \in N$

(( $a_1 \neq 0$ ) b/c  $\exists x \in N$  with nonzero coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .)

Claim: For any  $\varphi' \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ ,  $a_1 \mid \varphi'(y)$

Pf: otherwise, say  $d = \gcd(a_1, \varphi'(y)) = r_1 a_1 + r_2 \varphi'(y) = (r_1 \varphi_1 + r_2 \varphi')(y)$

contradicting with the maximality of  $(a_1)$

In particular, for  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m$ ,  $\pi_i(y) = a_1 b_i$  i.e.  $y = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_1 b_m)$  ↪ all coordinates are divisible by  $a_1$

$$a_1 \cdot \varphi(b_1, \dots, b_m) = \varphi(a_1 b_1, \dots, a_1 b_m) = \varphi(y) = a_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\varphi(b_1, \dots, b_m)}_{y_1} = 1$$

Claim ①  $M = R \cdot y_1 \oplus \ker \varphi$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad N = R \cdot a_i y_i \oplus (N \cap \ker \varphi)$$

Proof: ①  $\begin{array}{c} M \xrightarrow{\varphi} R \\ \downarrow y_1 \end{array}$  Such a surjective map admits a section, i.e.  $\forall r \in R, \varphi(r y_1) = r$ .  
In this situation, we get  $M = R \cdot y_1 \oplus \ker \varphi$

$$\forall m \in M, \quad m = \underbrace{\varphi(m) \cdot y_1}_{\text{multiple of } y_1} + \underbrace{(m - \varphi(m) y_1)}_{\substack{\downarrow \text{belongs to } \ker \varphi}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \varphi(m - \varphi(m) y_1) \\ = \varphi(m) - \varphi(m) \varphi(y_1) \\ = 0. \end{array}$$

$$\text{Yet } R \cdot y_1 \cap \ker \varphi = \{r y_1, \text{s.t. } \varphi(r y_1) = 0\} = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} \quad \forall x \in N, \quad x &= \underbrace{\varphi(x) \cdot y_1}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{in } R \cdot a_i y_i}} + \underbrace{(x - \varphi(x) y_1)}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{as } \varphi(x) y_1 \in R \cdot a_i y_i \subseteq N \Rightarrow \text{belongs to } N \cap \ker \varphi}} \\ &\Rightarrow N = R \cdot a_i y_i \oplus (N \cap \ker \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are reduced to  $N \cap \ker \varphi \subseteq \ker \varphi$ .

First prove (1) :  $N = R \cdot a_i y_i \oplus (\underbrace{N \cap \ker \varphi}_{N_1}) \subseteq M$

Applying the same argument to  $N_1 \subseteq M, \dots$  not  $\ker \varphi$  (b/c not knowing  $\ker \varphi$  is free yet)

Continue this way, by lemma, must stop before the  $(m+1)^{\text{th}}$  step.

Now, prove (2) : Reduce to  $N \cap \ker \varphi \subseteq \ker \varphi$  free by (1)

By induction, we get  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N = R \cdot a_1 y_1 \oplus R \cdot a_2 y_2 \oplus \dots \oplus R \cdot a_n y_n$  with  $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_n$ .

$$M = R \cdot y_1 \oplus R \cdot y_2 \oplus \dots \oplus R \cdot y_m.$$

Remains to check  $a_1 | a_2$ . If not,  $d = \gcd(a_1, a_2) = a_1 r_1 + a_2 r_2$

Consider  $\varphi' : M \longrightarrow R$

$$\begin{array}{l} y_1 \mapsto r_1 \\ y_2 \mapsto r_2 \\ y_i \mapsto 0 \text{ other } i \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi'(a_1 y_1 + a_2 y_2) = d$  contradicting the maximality of  $\varphi(N)$ .

Theorem (Fundamental theorem of modules over a PID)

Let  $R$  be a PID and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module.

Then  $M \simeq R^{\oplus r} \oplus R/(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_n)$ , with  $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_n$   $a_i \in R$

Such  $r, a_1, \dots, a_n$  are unique (up to associates)

In particular,  $M$  is torsion-free  $\Leftrightarrow M$  is free

$\hookrightarrow$  means  $0 \neq m \in M$  and  $r \neq 0 \Rightarrow r \cdot m \neq 0$ .

Corollary : \*Classification of finitely generated abelian groups

\* Jordan normal form / rational normal form.

Proof of the theorem As  $M$  is finitely generated,  $\exists$  a surjective homomorphism

$$\varphi: R^{\oplus m} \longrightarrow M$$

Then  $\ker \varphi \subseteq R^{\oplus m}$  is a submodule.

Apply theorem above  $\Rightarrow \exists$  a "new basis"  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  of  $R^{\oplus m}$  s.t.  $\ker \varphi = \langle a_1 y_1, \dots, a_n y_m \rangle$

$$\text{Then } M = \frac{Ry_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Ry_m}{Ra_1y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Ra_ny_n} \simeq R/(a_1) \cdot y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_n) \cdot y_n \oplus R^{\oplus(m-n)}$$

Now, uniqueness:

• A different form of the classification theorem.

Fact: For  $a \in R$  (nonzero, nonunit), if it factors as  $a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$  in  $R$  ( $\text{PID} \Rightarrow \text{UFD}$ )

then  $R/(a) = R/(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \times \dots \times R/(p_r^{\alpha_r})$  by Chinese remainder theorem.

So any finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  can be written as

$$M \simeq R^{\oplus r} \oplus R/(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(p_1^{\alpha_{151}}) \oplus R/(p_2^{\alpha_2}) \oplus \dots$$

(Reassembly this back gives  $a_1 = p_1^{\max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{151}\}} \cdot p_2^{\max\{\dots\}} \cdots$   
 $a_2 = p_1^{2^{\text{nd largest in }} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{151}\}} \cdot p_2^{\dots} \cdots$ )

Will prove:  $r, p_1, p_2, \dots, \{\alpha_{11} \geq \alpha_{12} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_{1s_1}\}, \{\alpha_{21} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_{2s_2}\}, \dots$  are unique.

Lemma.  $p, q \in R$  prime elements  $(p) \neq (q)$ ,  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(1) \text{ If } M \cong R, \text{ then } M/p^r M \cong R/(p^r)$$

$$(2) \text{ If } M \cong R/(p^s), \text{ then } M/p^r M \cong R/(p^{\min\{r,s\}})$$

$$(1,2)' \text{ If } M \cong R/(p^s) \text{ (allowing } s=\infty), \quad p^r M / p^{r+s} M = \begin{cases} \text{trivial if } s \geq r \\ R/(p) \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(3) \text{ If } M \cong R/(q^s), \text{ then } M/p^r M = (0)$$

$$(\text{note: } M/p^r M \cong R/(p^r, q^s)R = 0,$$

$$\text{as } (p)+(q) \Rightarrow 1 \cdot 1 = ap + bq \Rightarrow 1 - (ap+bq)^{r+s-1} = p^r * + q^s *$$

Applying this lemma to  $M$ , writing  $F_i := R/(p_i)$  a field,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \dim_{F_i}(M/p_i M) = r + \#\{\alpha_{ij} \mid j=1, \dots, s_i, \alpha_{ij} \geq 1\} \\ \dim_{F_i}(p_i M/p_i^2 M) = r + \#\{\alpha_{ij} \mid j=1, \dots, s_i, \alpha_{ij} \geq 2\} \\ \dots \dots \dots \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{From this, we determine} \\ \text{the numbers } r, \alpha_{ij}. \end{array}$$