

Errata for “Cohomology of Arithmetic Family of (φ, Γ) -modues

• **Remark 2.2.16** (pointed out to us by Rebecca Bellovin) The statement that “[Conjecture 2.2.15] is also known for the essential image of the functor \mathbf{D}_{rig} ” is not quite accurate. That would become true if we weakened Conjecture 2.2.15 by only requiring the conclusion after replacing K with an unspecified finite extension (because this is needed to ensure that a free representation turns into a free (φ, Γ) -module; see the technical definition of L just before Proposition 4.2.8 of the paper “Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p -adique” of L. Berger and P. Colmez).

• **Theorem 6.3.9** paragraph 2 of the proof, line 2-3, remove “(resp. $[-1, 2]$)”, i.e. $\mathbf{C}_{\varphi, \gamma_K}^\bullet(M^\vee(\delta)/t_\sigma)$ is quasi-isomorphic to some complex of locally free coherent sheaves concentrated in degree $[0, 2]$ (as opposed to just $[-1, 2]$); this is exactly the statement of Corollary 6.3.3.

• **Remark 6.3.14** This is just to clarify a subtlety at the last line of page 1109. Write $\kappa_z[[\varpi_z]]$ for the ring of completion of X at z . For simplicity we assume $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Suppose that the localization of Q at z is $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z[[\varpi_z]]}(\delta_2)/(\varpi_z^{i_1}, \varpi_z^{i_2}t^{j_1}, \dots, \varpi_z^{i_m}t^{j_{m-1}}, t^{j_m})$, with $i_1 > \dots > i_m$ and $j_1 < \dots < j_m$. Then $Q_z = \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\delta_{2,z})/(t^{j_m})$ and

$$Q[\varpi_z] := \{m \in Q; \varpi_z m = 0\} \cong \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\delta_{2,j})}{(t^{j_1})} \oplus \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\delta_{2,j}x^{j_1})}{(t^{j_2-j_1})} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\delta_{2,j}x^{j_{m-1}})}{(t^{j_m-j_{m-1}})}.$$

The module $\text{Tor}_1^X(Q, \kappa_z)$ is (by definition of Tor) $Q[\varpi_z]$. But it is, as argued in the paper, at the same time equal to a quotient of $\text{Ker} \mu_z$. So it is monogenic. This forces the number m above to be 1, and the localization of Q at z simply takes the form of $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z[[\varpi_z]]}(\delta_2)/(\varpi_z^i, t^k)$. This argument generalizes to the case with general K and shows that the localization of Q at z is a direct sum of $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z[[\varpi_z]]}(\pi_K)(\delta_2)/(\varpi_z^{i_\sigma}, t^{k_\sigma})$ over all σ 's and $i_\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ whenever $k_{z,\sigma} \neq 0$. In particular, all $k_{z,\sigma,n}$ appearing on page 1110 are independent of n .