

# THE $D$ -EQUIVALENCE CONJECTURE FOR HYPER-KÄHLER VARIETIES VIA HYPERHOLOMORPHIC BUNDLES

DAVESH MAULIK, JUNLIANG SHEN, QIZHENG YIN, AND RUXUAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We show that birational hyper-Kähler varieties of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type are derived equivalent, establishing the  $D$ -equivalence conjecture in these cases. The Fourier–Mukai kernels of our derived equivalences are constructed from projectively hyperholomorphic bundles, following ideas of Markman. Our method also proves a stronger version of the  $D$ -equivalence conjecture for hyper-Kähler varieties of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type with Brauer classes.

## CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Moduli of Hodge isometries	2
2. Proof of Theorem 0.3	9
References	14

## 0. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, we work over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . We recall that the  $D$ -equivalence conjecture [5, 20] predicts that birational Calabi–Yau varieties have equivalent bounded derived categories of coherent sheaves.

**Conjecture 0.1** ( $D$ -equivalence conjecture). *If  $X, X'$  are nonsingular projective birational Calabi–Yau varieties, then there is an equivalence of bounded derived categories*

$$D^b(X) \simeq D^b(X').$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove Conjecture 0.1 for hyper-Kähler varieties of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type; these are nonsingular projective varieties deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points on a  $K3$  surface. More generally, our method reduces the  $D$ -equivalence conjecture for hyper-Kähler varieties to the construction of certain projectively hyperholomorphic bundles.

**Theorem 0.2.** *Conjecture 0.1 holds for any hyper-Kähler varieties of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type.*

The  $D$ -equivalence conjecture has been proven by Bridgeland [6] for Calabi–Yau threefolds. For projective hyper-Kähler fourfolds, the  $D$ -equivalence conjecture holds by combining the classification results [8, 29] and the case of Mukai flops by Kawamata [20] and Namikawa [25]. However, very few cases of this conjecture are known in dimension  $> 4$ ; see [26, 1] for some partial results. Using equivalences obtained from window conditions, Halpern-Leistner [13] proved the  $D$ -equivalence conjecture for any hyper-Kähler variety which can be realized as a Bridgeland moduli space of stable objects on a (possibly twisted)  $K3$  surface. Theorem 0.2 generalizes Halpern-Leistner’s result, but our construction of the derived equivalences is very different. We obtain explicit Fourier–Mukai kernels which rely on the theory of moduli spaces of hyper-Kähler manifolds and hyperholomorphic bundles [28, 23]; this is closer in spirit to the proposal of Huybrechts [17, Section 5.1]. It would be interesting to find connections between the two approaches.

Our method in fact proves the following stronger, twisted version of the  $D$ -equivalence conjecture involving arbitrary Brauer classes. Let  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  be a birational transform between hyper-Kähler varieties of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type. It naturally identifies the Brauer groups of  $X, X'$ : any Brauer class  $\alpha \in \text{Br}(X)$  induces a Brauer class  $\alpha' \in \text{Br}(X')$ .

**Theorem 0.3.** *Let  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  be as above, and let  $\alpha$  be any Brauer class on  $X$ . Then there is an equivalence of bounded derived categories of twisted sheaves*

$$D^b(X, \alpha) \simeq D^b(X', \alpha').$$

Theorem 0.3 specializes to Theorem 0.2 by taking  $\alpha = 0$ .

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to Daniel Huybrechts, Zhiyuan Li, Eyal Markman, Alex Perry, and Ziyu Zhang for helpful discussions. D.M. was supported by a Simons Investigator Grant. J.S. was supported by the NSF grant DMS-2301474 and a Sloan Research Fellowship.

## 1. MODULI OF HODGE ISOMETRIES

Assume  $n \geq 2$ . We denote by  $\Lambda$  the  $K3^{[n]}$ -lattice, which is isometric to  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , equipped with the Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki (BBF) form, for any hyper-Kähler manifold  $X$  of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type.<sup>1</sup> In particular, we have a decomposition

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_{K3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta, \quad \delta^2 = 2 - 2n$$

with  $\Lambda_{K3}$  the unimodular  $K3$  lattice, so that any vector  $\omega \in \Lambda$  can be expressed uniquely as

$$\omega = \omega_{K3} + \lambda\delta, \quad \omega_{K3} \in \Lambda_{K3}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A marking  $(X, \eta_X)$  for a manifold  $X$  of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type is an isometry  $\eta_X : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>When we say that  $X$  is a hyper-Kähler manifold or a manifold of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type, it means that  $X$  is not necessarily projective.

**1.1. Inseparable pairs.** We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$  the moduli space of marked manifolds  $(X, \eta_X)$  of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type; it is naturally a non-Hausdorff complex manifold whose non-separation illustrates the complexity of the birational/bimeromorphic geometry of hyper-Kähler varieties/manifolds [14].

We say that a pair  $(X, \eta_X), (X', \eta_{X'})$  is *inseparable* if they represent inseparable points on the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ ; as a consequence of the global Torelli theorem, this is equivalent to the condition that  $(X, \eta_X), (X', \eta_{X'})$  share the same period and lie in the same connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ .

Typical examples of inseparable pairs are given by bimeromorphic transforms. More precisely, a bimeromorphic map  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  induces a natural identification  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(X', \mathbb{Z})$  respecting the Hodge structures. A marking  $\eta_X$  for  $X$  then induces a marking  $\eta_{X'}$  for  $X'$ , and the pair  $(X, \eta_X), (X', \eta_{X'})$  is therefore inseparable. Note that inseparable points are not necessarily induced by bimeromorphic transforms *directly*. As an example, we consider bimeromorphic  $X, X'$  as above and assume that

$$\rho : H^2(X', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

is a parallel transport respecting the Hodge structures. Then the pair

$$(X, \eta_X), (X', \eta_{X'}), \quad \eta_{X'} := \eta_X \circ \rho$$

is inseparable. By [14] (see also [21, Section 3.1]), every inseparable pair arises this way.

**1.2. Hodge isometries.** We recall the moduli space of Hodge isometries; this was used by Buskin [9] and Markman [23] to construct algebraic cycles realizing rational Hodge isometries.

For  $\phi \in O(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}})$ , we define  $\mathfrak{M}_\phi$  to be the moduli space of isomorphism classes of quadruples  $(X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y)$  where  $(X, \eta_X), (Y, \eta_Y) \in \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$  are the corresponding markings and

$$\eta_Y^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta_X : H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$$

is a Hodge isometry sending some Kähler class of  $X$  to a Kähler class of  $Y$ . We have the natural forgetful maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1 : \mathfrak{M}_\phi &\rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda, & (X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y) &\mapsto (X, \eta_X), \\ \Pi_2 : \mathfrak{M}_\phi &\rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda, & (X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y) &\mapsto (Y, \eta_Y). \end{aligned}$$

Any connected component  $\mathfrak{M}_\phi^0$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_\phi$  maps to a connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$  via  $\Pi_i$  which we denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$ .

**Lemma 1.1** ([23, Lemma 5.7]). *The maps  $\Pi_i : \mathfrak{M}_\phi^0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) between connected components are surjective.*

**Lemma 1.2.** *Assume that the point  $(X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y)$  lies in a connected component  $\mathfrak{M}_\phi^0$ . Assume further that  $(X, \eta_X), (X', \eta_{X'})$  form an inseparable pair such that*

$$(1) \quad (X', \eta_{X'}, Y, \eta_Y) \in \mathfrak{M}_\phi.$$

Then  $(X', \eta_{X'}, Y, \eta_Y)$  lies in the same component  $\mathfrak{M}_\phi^0$ .

Note that (1) is equivalent to the condition that  $\eta_Y^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta_{X'}$  sends some Kähler class of  $X'$  to a Kähler class of  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Both  $(X, \eta_X), (X', \eta_{X'})$  lie in the same connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$  which we call  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$ . We first find paths in  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  connecting both points to  $(X_0, \eta_{X_0}) \in \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  with  $\text{Pic}(X_0) = 0$ . By Lemma 1.1, we can lift these paths to  $\mathfrak{M}_\phi$ , which connect  $(X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y)$  to  $(X_0, \eta_{X_0}, Y_0, \eta_{Y_0})$ , and  $(X', \eta_{X'}, Y, \eta_Y)$  to  $(X_0, \eta_{X_0}, Y'_0, \eta_{Y'_0})$  respectively. On one hand, by considering the projection  $\Pi_2$ , we know that the two points  $(Y_0, \eta_{Y_0}), (Y'_0, \eta_{Y'_0})$  lie in the same connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ ; on the other hand, the Hodge isometry condition ensures that both of them share the same period [23, Lemma 5.4] and they have trivial Picard group. By the global Torelli theorem, we must have  $(Y_0, \eta_{Y_0}) = (Y'_0, \eta_{Y'_0})$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Suppose we are given a point  $(X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y)$  in  $\mathfrak{M}_\phi$ , and Kähler classes  $\omega_X, \omega_Y$  on  $X, Y$  which are identified via  $\eta_Y^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta_X$ . Using this data, one can define a *diagonal twistor line*  $\ell \subset \mathfrak{M}_\phi$  which lifts the twistor lines associated to  $(X, \omega_X)$  and  $(Y, \omega_Y)$  on  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ . A *generic diagonal twistor path* on  $\mathfrak{M}_\phi$  is given by a chain of diagonal twistor lines such that, at each node of the chain, the associated hyper-Kähler manifolds have trivial Picard group. Generic diagonal twistor paths are used in Theorem 1.3 below to deform certain Fourier–Mukai kernels.

**1.3. Brauer groups.** Assume that  $X$  is a manifold of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type. Since  $X$  has no odd cohomology, the discussion in [11, Section 4.1] yields the following explicit description of the (cohomological) Brauer group:

$$(2) \quad \text{Br}(X) = \left( H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) / \text{Pic}(X) \right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, given a bimeromorphic map  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  between manifolds of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type, there is a natural identification

$$\text{Br}(X) = \text{Br}(X')$$

since both  $H^2(-, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\text{Pic}(-)$  are identified for  $X$  and  $X'$ . The description (2) also allows us to present a Brauer class in the form

$$(3) \quad \left[ \frac{\beta}{d} \right] \in \text{Br}(X), \quad \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0};$$

this is referred to as the “ $B$ -field”.

We note that the cohomology  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  forms a trivial local system over any connected component of the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$ ; therefore (3) for a single  $X$  presents a Brauer class for any point in the component  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  containing  $(X, \eta_X)$ .

**1.4. Projectively hyperholomorphic bundles.** Using the Bridgeland–King–Reid (BKR) correspondence [7], Markman constructed in [23] a class of projectively hyperholomorphic bundles which we recall here. We consider a projective  $K3$  surface  $S$  with  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}H$ . Assume that  $r, s$  are two coprime integers with  $r \geq 2$ . Assume further that the Mukai vector

$$v_0 := (r, mH, s) \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$$

is isotropic, *i.e.*  $v_0^2 = 0$ .<sup>2</sup> Let  $M$  be the moduli of stable vector bundles on  $S$  with Mukai vector  $v_0$ . Then  $M$  is again a  $K3$  surface, and the coprime condition of  $r, s$  ensures the existence of a universal rank  $r$  bundle  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $M \times S$ . Conjugating the BKR correspondence, we obtain a vector bundle  $\mathcal{U}^{[n]}$  on  $M^{[n]} \times S^{[n]}$  of rank

$$\text{rk}(\mathcal{U}^{[n]}) = n!r^n;$$

see [23, Lemma 7.1]. This vector bundle induces a derived equivalence

$$(4) \quad \Phi_{\mathcal{U}^{[n]}} : D^b(M^{[n]}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D^b(S^{[n]}).$$

Markman further showed in [23, Section 5.6] that the characteristic class of  $\mathcal{U}^{[n]}$  induces a Hodge isometry

$$\phi_{\mathcal{U}^{[n]}} : H^2(M^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Under the natural identification

$$(5) \quad H^2(M^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(M, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\delta, \quad H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\delta,$$

this Hodge isometry is of the form

$$\phi_{\mathcal{U}^{[n]}} = (\phi_{\mathcal{U}}, \text{id}), \quad \phi_{\mathcal{U}} : H^2(M, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where  $\phi_{\mathcal{U}}$  is the Hodge isometry of  $K3$  surfaces induced by  $\mathcal{U}$ ; see [23, Corollary 7.3].

The key results, which are summarized in the following theorem, show that the Fourier–Mukai kernel  $\mathcal{U}^{[n]}$ , as a projectively hyperholomorphic bundle, deforms along generic diagonal twistor paths. Moreover, at each point of the path, it induces a (twisted) derived equivalence:

**Theorem 1.3** ([23, 19]). *There exist markings  $\eta_{M^{[n]}}$ ,  $\eta_{S^{[n]}}$  for the Hilbert schemes  $M^{[n]}$ ,  $S^{[n]}$  respectively, which induce  $\phi \in O(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}})$  via  $\phi_{\mathcal{U}^{[n]}}$ , such that the connected component containing the quadruple*

$$(M^{[n]}, \eta_{M^{[n]}}, S^{[n]}, \eta_{S^{[n]}}) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\phi}^0$$

*satisfies the following:*

- (a) *For every point  $(X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y)$  lying in the component  $\mathfrak{M}_{\phi}^0$ , there exists a twisted vector bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \alpha_{\mathcal{E}})$  on  $X \times Y$ , which is deformed from  $\mathcal{U}^{[n]}$  along a generic diagonal twistor path.*

---

<sup>2</sup>In [23], Markman only considered the case  $m = 1$ ; here considering large  $\pm m$  is crucial for our purpose. Using [16, Proposition 2.2] (see also [30, Theorem 2.2]), Markman’s argument works identically in this generality.

(b) Using the form (3), the Brauer class in (a) is presented by

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{E}} = \left[ -\frac{c_1(\mathcal{U}^{[n]})}{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{U}^{[n]})} \right].$$

Here we view  $H^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  as a trivial local system over the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_{\phi}^0$  via the markings.

(c) Further assume that  $X, Y$  are varieties. Then the twisted bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \alpha_{\mathcal{E}})$  induces an equivalence of twisted derived categories

$$\Phi_{(\mathcal{E}, \alpha_{\mathcal{E}})} : D^b(X, \alpha_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} D^b(Y, \alpha_Y), \quad \alpha_X = \left[ \frac{a_X}{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \right], \quad \alpha_Y = \left[ -\frac{a_Y}{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \right],$$

where  $a_X \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), a_Y \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  are given by

$$c_1(\mathcal{U}^{[n]}) = a_X + a_Y \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}).$$

*Proof.* (a) was proven in [23, Theorem 8.4]; Markman showed that  $\mathcal{U}^{[n]}$  on  $M^{[n]} \times S^{[n]}$  is projectively slope-stable hyperholomorphic in the sense of [28, 22] which allows him to deform it along diagonal twistor paths to all points in the component  $\mathfrak{M}_{\phi}^0$ .

(b) can be obtained by applying Căldăraru's result [11, Theorem 4.1] along the diagonal twistor paths; see the discussion in [19, Section 2.3].

(c) was proven in [19, Theorem 2.3]. More precisely, the condition that a twisted bundle induces a twisted derived equivalence can be characterized by cohomological properties [10, Theorem 3.2.1]. These properties are preserved along a twistor path due to the fact that the cohomology of slope-polystable hyperholomorphic bundles is invariant under hyper-Kähler rotations [27, Corollary 8.1]. Therefore we ultimately reduce the desired cohomological properties to those for  $M^{[n]} \times S^{[n]}$  which are given by the original equivalence (4).  $\square$

**1.5. Birational geometry and MBM classes.** The birational geometry of hyper-Kähler varieties is governed by certain integral *primitive* cohomology classes, called the monodromy birationally minimal (MBM) classes. We refer to [3] for an introduction to these classes. In the following, we summarize some results which are needed in our proof.

Let  $X$  be a variety of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type. We consider its birational Kähler cone  $\mathcal{BK}_X$  and the positive cone  $\mathcal{C}_X$ :

$$\mathcal{BK}_X \subset \mathcal{C}_X \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

The positive cone is convex and admits a wall-and-chamber structure. The closure of the birational Kähler cone within the positive cone is a convex sub-cone [15], which inherits a wall-and-chamber structure. Furthermore, by a result of Amerik–Verbitsky [2], and independently Mongardi [24], all the walls are governed by the MBM classes.

**Theorem 1.4** ([24, 2]). *Any wall of  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is described by a hyperplane of the form*

$$\mathcal{W}^{\perp} := \{\omega \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}), (\omega, \mathcal{W}) = 0\} \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$$

with  $\mathcal{W}$  an algebraic MBM class in  $\text{Pic}(X)$ . Here the pairing is with respect to the BBF form. Moreover, any chamber in  $\mathcal{C}_X$  can be realized as the Kähler cone of a birational hyper-Kähler  $X'$  through a parallel transport  $\rho : H^2(X', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  respecting the Hodge structures.

Note that any chamber in  $\mathcal{BK}_X \subset \mathcal{C}_X$  is given by the pullback of the Kähler cone via a birational transform  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  of hyper-Kähler varieties. By the discussions of Section 1.1, any chamber of  $\mathcal{C}_X$  corresponds to a marked variety  $(X', \eta_{X'})$  of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type such that the pair  $(X, \eta_X), (X', \eta_{X'})$  is inseparable.

We also need the following boundedness result, which notably implies that wall-and-chamber structure of  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is locally polyhedral; see [18, Remark 8.2.3] for a proof of the implication. The boundedness result was essentially obtained by [4], as explained in [2, Section 6.2].

**Theorem 1.5** ([4, 2]). *There is a constant  $C_0 > 0$ , such that for any variety  $X$  of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type and any MBM class  $\mathcal{W} \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  we have*

$$0 < -\mathcal{W}^2 < C_0.$$

Here the norm is with respect to the BBF form.

For any rational Hodge isometry  $\phi : H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  between varieties of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type, which sends an MBM class  $\mathcal{W}_X$  on  $X$  to a class proportional to an MBM class  $\mathcal{W}_Y$  on  $Y$ , there exist coprime integers  $a, b$  such that

$$\phi(\mathcal{W}_X) = \frac{a}{b} \mathcal{W}_Y.$$

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.5.

**Corollary 1.6.** *For any  $X, Y, \phi, \mathcal{W}_X, \mathcal{W}_Y$  as above, we have*

$$a^2 < C_0, \quad b^2 < C_0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\phi$  is an isometry, we have

$$\frac{a^2}{b^2} = \frac{\mathcal{W}_X^2}{\mathcal{W}_Y^2}$$

By Theorem 1.5, both  $-\mathcal{W}_X^2$  and  $-\mathcal{W}_Y^2$  are positive integers  $< C_0$ . The corollary follows from the assumption that  $a, b$  are coprime.  $\square$

**1.6. Proof strategy.** We discuss the strategy of the proof of Theorem 0.3; Theorem 0.2 is then deduced as a special case.

Let  $X$  be a variety of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type. It suffices to prove Theorem 0.3 for a hyper-Kähler birational model  $X'$  with a birational map  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  which corresponds to a chamber in  $\mathcal{BK}_X$  adjacent to the Kähler cone of  $X$ . By Theorem 1.5, the wall between these two chambers is given by an algebraic MBM class  $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ .

Now we choose a  $K3$  surface  $S$  and a Mukai vector  $v_0 = (r, mH, s)$  as in the beginning of Section 1.4, which yields the Hodge isometry  $\phi_{\mathcal{U}^{[n]}}$ . Associated to these, we have the

moduli space of Hodge isometries  $\mathfrak{M}_\phi$ , and the component  $\mathfrak{M}_\phi^0$  that contains the quadruple  $(M^{[n]}, \eta_{M^{[n]}}, S^{[n]}, \eta_{S^{[n]}})$ .

For the given birational  $X, X'$ , by Lemma 1.1, we can complete them to a pair of quadruples

$$(Y, \eta_Y, X, \eta_X), \quad (Y', \eta_{Y'}, X', \eta_{X'}) \in \mathfrak{M}_\phi^0$$

such that the marking  $\eta_{X'}$  is induced by  $\eta_X$  via the birational map  $X \dashrightarrow X'$ .<sup>3</sup> In particular, the pair  $(X, \eta_X), (X', \eta_{X'})$  is inseparable. We note that the pair  $(Y, \eta_Y), (Y', \eta_{Y'})$  is also inseparable. This is because they share the same period and lie in the same connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ . Moreover, by definition,  $\phi^{-1}$  sends a Kähler class of  $X$  (resp.  $X'$ ) to a Kähler class of  $Y$  (resp.  $Y'$ ).<sup>4</sup> Therefore, if

$$(6) \quad \phi^{-1} \text{ does not send } \mathcal{W} \text{ to a class on } Y \text{ that is proportional to an MBM class,}$$

there must be a point on the wall separating the Kähler cones of  $X, X'$  which is sent to the interior of a chamber of the positive cone  $\mathcal{C}_Y$ . In particular, there exists a hyper-Kähler birational model  $Y''$  of  $Y$  with a marking  $(Y'', \eta_{Y''})$  such that the pair  $(Y, \eta_Y), (Y'', \eta_{Y''})$  is inseparable and

$$(Y'', \eta_{Y''}, X, \eta_X), \quad (Y'', \eta_{Y''}, X', \eta_{X'}) \in \mathfrak{M}_\phi.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 1.2, both points lie in the connected component we started with:

$$(Y'', \eta_{Y''}, X, \eta_X), \quad (Y'', \eta_{Y''}, X', \eta_{X'}) \in \mathfrak{M}_\phi^0.$$

By Theorem 1.3(b, c), we obtain Brauer classes  $\alpha_X, \alpha_{Y''}$  on  $X, Y''$  respectively, such that

$$D^b(Y'', \alpha_{Y''}) \simeq D^b(X, \alpha_X), \quad D^b(Y'', \alpha_{Y''}) \simeq D^b(X', \alpha_{X'}).$$

Here the Brauer classes  $\alpha_X, \alpha_{Y''}$  only depend on the markings  $(X, \eta_X), (Y'', \eta_{Y''})$  respectively, and the Brauer class  $\alpha_{X'}$  is induced by  $\alpha_X$ . Combining both equivalences yields

$$D^b(X, \alpha_X) \simeq D^b(X', \alpha_{X'})$$

whose Fourier–Mukai kernel is the composition of two (twisted) hyperholomorphic bundles.

In the next section, we show that for any pair  $X, X'$  as above with a Brauer class  $\alpha \in \text{Br}(X)$  and an algebraic MBM class  $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ , a careful choice of the  $K3$  surface  $S$  and the Mukai vector  $v_0 = (r, mH, s)$  as in Section 1.4 can simultaneously ensure that the condition (6) holds and the induced Brauer class is as desired:

$$(7) \quad \alpha_X = \alpha.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 0.3.

<sup>3</sup>Here we would like  $X, X'$  to be deformed from  $S^{[n]}$  later in Section 2.

<sup>4</sup>Here we suppress the markings and still use  $\phi$  to denote the Hodge isometry  $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  for notational convenience.



*Remark 1.7.* For a general birational transform  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  of varieties of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type, which do not correspond to adjacent chambers in the birational Kähler cone  $\mathcal{BK}_X$ , our proof realizes the derived equivalence

$$D^b(X, \alpha) \simeq D^b(X', \alpha')$$

via two sequences of varieties  $X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_t$ , with each  $X_i$  birational to  $X, X'$ , such that

$$(8) \quad D^b(X, \alpha) \simeq D^b(Y_1, \alpha_{Y_1}) \simeq D^b(X_1, \alpha_{X_1}) \simeq D^b(Y_2, \alpha_{Y_2}) \simeq \dots \\ \simeq D^b(Y_{t-1}, \alpha_{Y_{t-1}}) \simeq D^b(X_{t-1}, \alpha_{X_{t-1}}) \simeq D^b(Y_t, \alpha_{Y_t}) \simeq D^b(X', \alpha').$$

Each of the derived equivalences in (8) is induced by a (twisted) hyperholomorphic bundle.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.3

From now on, we fix a variety  $X$  of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type, a Brauer class  $\alpha \in \text{Br}(X)$ , and an algebraic MBM class  $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Pic}(X)$  as in Section 1.6. In particular, the variety  $X$  has Picard rank  $\geq 2$ .<sup>5</sup> Using (2) and (3), we present the Brauer class  $\alpha$  by a class in the rational transcendental lattice  $T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ :

$$\alpha = \left[ -\frac{\mathcal{B}}{d} \right], \quad \mathcal{B} \in T(X), \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Up to adjusting  $-\frac{\mathcal{B}}{d}$  by an integral class in  $T(X) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , we may further assume that the class  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{B}^2 = 2e > 0.$$

**2.1. Divisor classes.** Recall that the divisibility  $\text{div}(\omega)$  of a class  $\omega \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is the positive generator of the subgroup

$$\{(\omega, \mu), \mu \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists a class  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Pic}(X)$  such that*

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{W}) \neq 0, \quad \text{div}(\mathcal{A}) = 1.$$

*Proof.* We pick a marking identifying  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  with a  $K3^{[n]}$ -lattice  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{K3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$ . For any  $g \in O(\Lambda)$ , since  $g(\delta)^\perp$  is a unimodular  $K3$ -lattice, any primitive vector  $\omega \in g(\delta)^\perp \subset \Lambda$  satisfies  $\text{div}(\omega) = 1$ . We would like to choose  $g$  so that there exists  $\mathcal{A} \in g(\delta)^\perp \cap \text{Pic}(X)$  satisfying  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{W}) \neq 0$ . In other words, we want

$$g(\delta)^\perp \cap \text{Pic}(X) \neq \mathcal{W}^\perp \cap \text{Pic}(X).$$

If we base change to  $\mathbb{C}$ , the set of  $g \in O(\Lambda)_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that

$$g(\delta)^\perp \cap \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \neq \mathcal{W}^\perp \cap \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Theorem 0.3 is automatically true if  $X$  has Picard rank 1, since any birational transform  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  is necessarily an isomorphism.

is open in the Zariski topology. Furthermore, it is nonempty since  $X$  has Picard rank  $\geq 2$ . Since  $O(\Lambda)$  is Zariski-dense in  $O(\Lambda)_{\mathbb{C}}$ , we can find  $g \in O(\Lambda)$  satisfying this condition as well.  $\square$

Up to replacing  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $-\mathcal{A}$ , we may assume

$$C_1 := (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{W}) > 0$$

which we fix from now on.

**Proposition 2.2.** *For any  $N > 0$ , there exists a class  $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Pic}(X)$  of divisibility 1, satisfying*

$$\mathcal{D}^2 > N, \quad (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{W}) = C_1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $X$  has Picard rank  $\geq 2$ , we have  $\mathcal{W}^{\perp} \cap \text{Pic}(X) \neq 0$ . Pick an element

$$\omega \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp} \cap \text{Pic}(X), \quad \omega^2 > 0.$$

Then for large enough  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{A} + t\omega, \mathcal{W}) = C_1, \quad (\mathcal{A} + t\omega)^2 > N.$$

It suffices to show that there exist infinitely many choices of  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  satisfying

$$\text{div}(\mathcal{A} + t\omega) = 1.$$

We pick an integral class  $\mu \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = 1, \quad (\omega, \mu) \neq 0;$$

then we pick another integral class  $\nu \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$(\mathcal{A}, \nu) = 0, \quad (\omega, \nu) \neq 0.$$

We claim that for sufficiently large  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  with  $1 + t(\omega, \mu)$  a prime number, the class  $\mathcal{A} + t\omega$  must have divisibility 1. This follows immediately from the observation that

$$\text{div}(\mathcal{A} + t\omega) \mid 1 + t(\omega, \mu), \quad \text{div}(\mathcal{A} + t\omega) \mid (\omega, \nu). \quad \square$$

**2.2. Mukai vectors.** We construct the  $K3$  surface  $S$  and the Mukai vector  $v_0$  of Section 1.6.

By Proposition 2.2, we can find  $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Pic}(X)$  with

$$(9) \quad \text{div}(\mathcal{D}) = 1, \quad (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{W}) = C_1 > 0, \quad \mathcal{D}^2 = 2g > 2C_0C_1,$$

where  $C_0$  is the constant in Theorem 1.5. Repeating the same argument as in Proposition 2.2, we also find  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that

$$\text{div}(\mathcal{D} + 4gt\mathcal{B}) = 1.$$

Let  $(S, H)$  be a primitively polarized  $K3$  surface of Picard rank 1 of degree

$$H^2 = 2g \left( 1 + 4gt^2d^4(n-1) + 16gt^2d^2e \right) > 0.$$

We observe that both classes

$$H - 2gt^2d^2\delta \in H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}), \quad \mathcal{D} + 4gtd\mathcal{B} \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

are of divisibility 1 and have the same norm, where we have used that  $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) = 0$  since  $\mathcal{B}$  is transcendental. Therefore, by [12, Example 3.8] and [21, Theorem 9.8], there is a parallel transport

$$\rho : H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

satisfying

$$(10) \quad \rho(H - 2gt^2d^2\delta) = \epsilon(\mathcal{D} + 4gtd\mathcal{B}),$$

where  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  is a sign determined by the orientation.

We now consider the Mukai vector

$$v_0 := (16gt^2d^4, \epsilon \cdot 4td^2H, 1 + 4gt^2d^4(n-1) + 16gt^2d^2e),$$

which clearly satisfies

$$\gcd(16gt^2d^4, 1 + 4gt^2d^4(n-1) + 16gt^2d^2e) = 1, \quad 16gt^2d^4 \geq 2, \quad v_0^2 = 0.$$

The moduli space  $M$  of stable vector bundles on  $S$  with Mukai vector  $v_0$  is a  $K3$  surface of Picard rank 1 with a universal bundle  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $M \times S$  which we fix from now on. Also fixed are the markings  $\eta_{M^{[n]}}, \eta_{S^{[n]}}$  as in Theorem 1.3, as well as the induced marking

$$\eta_X := \eta_{S^{[n]}} \circ \rho^{-1} : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda.$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $S, M, \mathcal{U}$  be as above.*

- (a) *The primitive polarization  $\widehat{H}$  of  $M$  satisfies  $\widehat{H}^2 = H^2$ .*
- (b) *Let  $s \in S$  be a point. Assume that the vector bundle  $\mathcal{U}|_s$  has Mukai vector*

$$\widehat{v}_0 = (16gt^2d^4, k\widehat{H}, \widehat{s}) \in H^*(M, \mathbb{Z}).$$

*Then we have*

$$\gcd(16gt^2d^4, k) = 4td^2.$$

*Proof.* (a) follows from [30, Appendix A]. For (b), we note that [30, Theorem 2.2] implies that the Mukai vector  $\widehat{v}_0$  is primitive with  $\widehat{v}_0^2 = 0$ . Using (a), we deduce that

$$\widehat{s} = \left( \frac{k}{4td^2} \right)^2 (1 + 4gt^2d^4(n-1) + 16gt^2d^2e) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, we have that  $k$  is divisible by  $4td^2$ , which shows

$$4td^2 \mid \gcd(16gt^2d^4, k).$$

On the other hand, if  $\frac{k}{4td^2}$  is not coprime to  $16gt^2d^4$ , the Mukai vector  $\widehat{v}_0$  is divisible by their common factor. This contradicts the fact that  $\widehat{v}_0$  is primitive.  $\square$

**2.3. End of proof.** We complete the proof using the  $K3$  surface  $S$ , the Mukai vector  $v_0$ , and the universal bundle  $\mathcal{U}$  constructed in the last section. This gives the vector bundle  $\mathcal{U}^{[n]}$  on  $M^{[n]} \times S^{[n]}$ . We write

$$c_1(\mathcal{U}^{[n]}) = a_{M^{[n]}} + a_{S^{[n]}} \in H^2(M^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$$

with

$$a_{M^{[n]}} \in H^2(M^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}), \quad a_{S^{[n]}} \in H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Recall the natural identification

$$(11) \quad H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta.$$

By [23, Equation (7.11)], we can present the class  $a_{S^{[n]}}$  using (11):

$$a_{S^{[n]}} = \text{rk}(\mathcal{U}^{[n]}) \cdot \left( \frac{\epsilon \cdot 4td^2H}{16gt^2d^4} - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \in H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Via the parallel transport  $\rho$  and (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left( \frac{a_{S^{[n]}}}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{U}^{[n]})} \right) &= \rho \left( \frac{\epsilon \cdot H}{4gtd^2} - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \\ &= \rho \left( \epsilon \left( \frac{H}{4gtd^2} - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) + (\epsilon - 1) \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon \cdot \rho(H - 2gtd^2\delta)}{4gtd^2} + \frac{(\epsilon - 1)}{2} \rho(\delta) \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{D} + 4gtd\mathcal{B}}{4gtd^2} + \frac{(\epsilon - 1)}{2} \rho(\delta) \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{B}}{d} + [\text{class in } \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}] + [\text{class in } H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.3(c), we have

$$\alpha_X = \left[ -\rho \left( \frac{a_{S^{[n]}}}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{U}^{[n]})} \right) \right] = \left[ -\frac{\mathcal{B}}{d} \right] = \alpha.$$

To complete the proof, it remains to address (6). This is given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{\phi}^0$  be the connected component of the moduli space of Hodge isometries constructed from  $S, M, \mathcal{U}$  as above. For any quadruple*

$$(Y, \eta_Y, X, \eta_X) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\phi}^0$$

*with  $X, \mathcal{W}$  fixed as above, the class  $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  is not proportional to any MBM class on  $Y$ . Here we suppress the markings and still use  $\phi$  to denote the Hodge isometry  $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  for notational convenience.*

*Proof.* The main idea of the argument is that, for our choice of the Mukai vector  $v_0$ , by a calculation of Buskin [9], the rational Hodge isometry  $\phi^{-1}$  is conjugate to a reflection by a vector of large norm. By Corollary 1.6, we then show that it cannot send  $\mathcal{W}$  to a class proportional to an MBM class.

The details are as follows. Since the MBM classes are deformation invariant, we only need to treat the Hodge isometry

$$\phi_{\mathcal{U}^{[n]}} : H^2(M^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q})$$

which can be further simplified under the identification (5):

$$(\phi_{\mathcal{U}}, \text{id}) : H^2(M, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\delta \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\delta.$$

Assume that

$$(12) \quad \phi_{\mathcal{U}^{[n]}}^{-1}(\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{W})) = \frac{b}{a}\mathcal{W}'$$

with  $\mathcal{W}'$  an MBM class on  $M^{[n]}$  and  $a, b$  coprime. We write

$$\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W}_{K3} + \lambda\delta, \quad \mathcal{W}_{K3} \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The equation (12) implies that  $\phi_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(a\mathcal{W}_{K3})$  is an integral class. By the formula right before [9, Conclusion 3.8], the integrality forces the pairing

$$(H, a\mathcal{W}_{K3}) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

to be divisible by

$$\frac{16gt^2d^4}{\gcd(16gt^2d^4, 4td^2k)} = g,$$

where we have used Proposition 2.3(b) in the last equation.

On the other hand, we have

$$(H, a\mathcal{W}_{K3}) = (H, \rho^{-1}(a\mathcal{W})) = (\rho(H), a\mathcal{W}) = \epsilon(\mathcal{D}, a\mathcal{W}) + [\text{integer divisible by } g],$$

where the last equality uses (10). In particular, we find

$$g \mid (\mathcal{D}, a\mathcal{W}) = aC_1.$$

Combined with Corollary 1.6, this implies

$$g \leq a^2C_1 < C_0C_1$$

which contradicts our choice of  $\mathcal{D}$  in (9). This shows that (12) cannot hold, which proves the proposition.  $\square$

In conclusion, both (6) and (7) are settled by our choice of the  $K3$  surface  $S$  and the Mukai vector  $v_0$ ; the proof of Theorem 0.3 is now complete.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Addington, W. Donovan, and C. Meachan, *Moduli spaces of torsion sheaves on K3 surfaces and derived equivalences*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 93 (2016), no. 3, 846–865.
- [2] E. Amerik and M. Verbitsky, *Rational curves on hyperkähler manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2015), no. 23, 13009–13045.
- [3] E. Amerik and M. Verbitsky, *Rational curves and MBM classes on hyperähler manifolds: a survey*, Rationality of varieties, 75–96, Progr. Math., 342, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [4] A. Bayer, B. Hassett, and Y. Tschinkel, *Mori cones of holomorphic symplectic varieties of K3 type*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 48 (2015), no. 4, 941–950.
- [5] A. Bondal and D. Orlov, *Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties*, arXiv:alg-geom/9506012.
- [6] T. Bridgeland, *Flops and derived categories*, Invent. math. 147 (2002), no. 3, 613–632.
- [7] T. Bridgeland, A. King, and M. Reid, *The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), no. 3, 535–554.
- [8] D. Burns, Y. Hu, and T. Luo, *HyperKähler manifolds and birational transformations in dimension 4*, Vector bundles and representation theory (Columbia, MO, 2002), 141–149, Contemp. Math., 322, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [9] N. Buskin, *Every rational Hodge isometry between two K3 surfaces is algebraic*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 755 (2019), 127–150.
- [10] A. Căldăraru, *Derived categories of twisted sheaves on Calabi–Yau manifolds*, Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University, 2000.
- [11] A. Căldăraru, *Non-fine moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2002), no. 20, 1027–1056.
- [12] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, and G. K. Sankaran, *Moduli spaces of irreducible symplectic manifolds*, Compos. Math. 146 (2010), no. 2, 404–434.
- [13] D. Halpern-Leistner, *Derived  $\Theta$ -stratifications and the D-equivalence conjecture*, arXiv:2010.01127.
- [14] D. Huybrechts, *Compact hyper-Kähler manifolds: basic results*, Invent. Math. 135 (1999), no. 1, 63–113.
- [15] D. Huybrechts, *The Kähler cone of a compact hyperkähler manifold*, Math. Ann. 326 (2003), no. 3, 499–513.
- [16] D. Huybrechts, *Derived and abelian equivalence of K3 surfaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. 17 (2008), no. 2, 375–400.
- [17] D. Huybrechts, *Hyperkähler manifolds and sheaves*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Volume II, 450–460, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010.
- [18] D. Huybrechts, *Lectures on K3 surfaces*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., 158, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016, xi+485 pp.
- [19] G. Kapustka and M. Kapustka, *Constructions of derived equivalent hyper-Kähler fourfolds*, arXiv: 2312.14543v4.
- [20] Y. Kawamata, *D-equivalence and K-equivalence*, J. Differential Geom. 61 (2002), no. 1, 147–171.
- [21] E. Markman, *A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties*, Complex and differential geometry, 257–322, Springer Proc. Math., 8, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [22] E. Markman, *The Beauville–Bogomolov class as a characteristic class*, J. Algebraic Geom. 29 (2020), no. 2, 199–245.
- [23] E. Markman, *Rational Hodge isometries of hyper-Kähler varieties of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type are algebraic*, Compos. Math. 160 (2024), no. 6, 1261–1303.
- [24] G. Mongardi, *A note on the Kähler and Mori cones of hyperkähler manifolds*, Asian J. Math. 19 (2015), no. 4, 583–591.
- [25] Y. Namikawa, *Mukai flops and derived categories*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 560 (2003), 65–76.

- [26] D. Ploog, *Equivariant equivalences for finite group actions*, Adv. Math. 216 (2007), no. 1, 62–74.
- [27] M. Verbitsky, *Hyperholomorphic bundles over a hyper-Kähler manifold*, J. Algebraic Geom. 5 (1996), no. 4, 633–669.
- [28] M. Verbitsky, *Hyperholomorphic sheaves and new examples of hyperkaehler manifolds*, Hyper-Kähler manifolds, by D. Kaledin, and M. Verbitsky, Mathematical Physics (Somerville), 12, International Press, Somerville, MA, 1999.
- [29] J. Wierzba and J. Wiśniewski, *Small contractions of symplectic 4-folds*, Duke Math. J. 120 (2003), no. 1, 65–95.
- [30] K. Yoshioka, *Stability and the Fourier–Mukai transform. II*, Compos. Math. 145 (2009), no. 1, 112–142.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
*Email address:* `maulik@mit.edu`

YALE UNIVERSITY  
*Email address:* `junliang.shen@yale.edu`

PEKING UNIVERSITY  
*Email address:* `qizheng@math.pku.edu.cn`

FUDAN UNIVERSITY  
*Email address:* `rxzhang18@fudan.edu.cn`