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## Standard form LP

(P) $\min c^{\top} x$
(D) $\max b^{\top} y$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { s.t. } & A x=b & \text { s.t. }
\end{array} A^{\top} y+s=c
$$

- KKT condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
A x & =b, \quad x \geq 0 \\
A^{\top} y+s & =c, \quad s \geq 0 \\
x_{i} s_{i} & =0 \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

- Strong duality: If a LP has an optimal solution, so does its dual, and their objective fun. are equal.

| dual primal | finite | unbounded | infeasible |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| finite | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| unbounded | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |
| infeasible | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Geometry of the feasible set

- Assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ has full row rank. Let $A_{i}$ be the $i$ th column of $A$ :

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
A_{1} & A_{2} & \ldots & A_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- A vector $x$ is a basic feasible solution (BFS) if $x$ is feasible and there exists a subset $\mathcal{B} \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that
- $\mathcal{B}$ contains exactly $m$ indices
- $i \notin \mathcal{B} \Longrightarrow x_{i}=0$
- The $m \times m$ submatrix $B=\left[A_{i}\right]_{i \in \mathcal{B}}$ is nonsingular $\mathcal{B}$ is called a basis and $B$ is called the basis matrix

Properties:

- If $(P)$ has a nonempty feasible region, then there is at least one basic feasible point;
- If $(P)$ has solutions, then at least one such solution is a basic optimal point.
- If $(P)$ is feasible and bounded, then it has an optimal solution.

If $(P)$ has a nonempty feasible region, then there is at least one BFS;

- Choose a feasible $x$ with the minimal number $(p)$ of nonzero $x_{i}$ : $\sum_{i=1}^{p} A_{i} x_{i}=b$
- Suppose that $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p}$ are linearly dependent $A_{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} z_{i} A_{i}$. Let $x(\epsilon)=x+\epsilon\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p-1},-1,0, \ldots, 0\right)^{\top}=x+\epsilon z$. Then $A x(\epsilon)=b, x_{i}(\epsilon)>0, i=1, \ldots, p$, for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small. There exists $\bar{\epsilon}$ such that $x_{i}(\bar{\epsilon})=0$ for some $i=1, \ldots, p$. Contradiction to the choice of $x$.
- If $p=m$, done. Otherwise, choose $m-p$ columns from among $A_{p+1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ to build up a set set of $m$ linearly independent vectors.


## Polyhedra, extreme points, vertex, BFS

- A Polyhedra is a set that can be described in the form $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A x \geq b\right\}$
- Let $P$ be a polyhedra. A vector $x \in P$ is an extreme point if we cannot find two vectors $y, z \in P$ (both different from $x$ ) such that $x=\lambda y+(1-\lambda) z$ for $\lambda \in[0,1]$
- Let $P$ be a polyhedra. A vector $x \in P$ is a vertex if there exists some $c$ such that $c^{\top} x<c^{\top} y$ for all $y \in P$ and $y \neq x$
- Let $P$ be a nonempty polyhedra. Let $x \in P$. The following statements are equivalent: (i) $x$ is vertex; (ii) $x$ is an extreme point; (iii) $x$ is a BFS
- A basis $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be degenerate if $x_{i}=0$ for some $i \in \mathcal{B}$, where $x$ is the BFS corresponding to $\mathcal{B}$. A linear program $(\mathrm{P})$ is said to be degenerate if it has at least one degenerate basis.
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## The Simplex Method For LP

## Basic Principle

Move from a BFS to its adjacent BFS unitil convergence (either optimal or unbounded)

- Let $x$ be a BFS and $\mathcal{B}$ be the corresponding basis
- Let $\mathcal{N}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \backslash \mathcal{B}, N=\left[A_{i}\right]_{i \in \mathcal{N}}, x_{B}=\left[x_{i}\right]_{i \in \mathcal{B}}$ and $x_{N}=\left[x_{i}\right]_{i \in \mathcal{N}}$
- Since $x$ is a BFS, then $x_{N}=0$ and $A x=B x_{B}+N x_{N}=b$ :

$$
x_{B}=B^{-1} b
$$

- Find exactly one $q \in \mathcal{N}$ and exactly one $p \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{B}^{+}=\{q\} \cup(\mathcal{B} \backslash\{p\})
$$

## Finding $q \in \mathcal{N}$ to enter the basis

Let $x^{+}$be the new BFS:

$$
x^{+}=\binom{x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}}{x_{\mathcal{N}}^{+}}, \quad A x^{+}=b \Longrightarrow x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}=B^{-1} b-B^{-1} N x_{\mathcal{N}}^{+}
$$

The cost at $x^{+}$is

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{\top} x^{+} & =c_{B}^{\top} x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}+c_{N}^{\top} x_{\mathcal{N}}^{+} \\
& =c_{B}^{\top} B^{-1} b-c_{B}^{\top} B^{-1} N x_{\mathcal{N}}^{+}+c_{N}^{\top} x_{\mathcal{N}}^{+} \\
& =c^{\top} x+\left(c_{N}^{\top}-c_{B}^{\top} B^{-1} N\right) x_{\mathcal{N}}^{+} \\
& =c^{\top} x+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}}(\underbrace{c_{j}-c_{B}^{\top} B^{-1} A_{j}}_{s_{j}} x_{j}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $s_{j}$ is also called reduced cost. It is actually the dual slackness
- If $s_{j} \geq 0, \forall j \in \mathcal{N}$, then $x$ is optimal as $c^{\top} x^{+} \geq c^{\top} x$
- Otherwise, find $q$ such that $s_{q}<0$. Then $c^{\top} x^{+}=c^{\top} x+s_{q} x_{q}^{+} \leq c^{\top} x$


## Finding $p \in \mathcal{B}$ to exit the basis

What is $x^{+}$: select $q \in \mathcal{N}$ and $p \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$
x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}=B^{-1} b-B^{-1} A_{q} x_{q}^{+}, \quad x_{q}^{+} \geq 0, x_{p}^{+}=0, x_{j}^{+}=0, j \in \mathcal{N} \backslash\{q\}
$$

Let $u=B^{-1} A_{q}$. Then $x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}=x_{\mathcal{B}}-u x_{q}^{+}$

- If $u \leq 0$, then $c^{\top} x^{+}=c^{\top} x+s_{q} x_{q}^{+} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $x_{q}^{+} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $x^{+}$is feasible. (P) is unbounded
- If $\exists u_{k}>0$, then find $x_{q}^{+}$and $p$ such that

$$
x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}=x_{\mathcal{B}}-u x_{q}^{+} \geq 0, \quad x_{p}^{+}=0
$$

Let $p$ be the index corresponding to

$$
x_{q}^{+}=\min _{i=1, \ldots, m \mid u_{i}>0} \frac{x_{\mathcal{B}(i)}}{u_{i}}
$$

## An iteration of the simplex method

Typically, we start from a BFS $x$ and its associate basis $\mathcal{B}$ such that $x_{B}=B^{-1} b$ and $x_{N}=0$.

- Solve $y^{\top}=c_{B}^{\top} B^{-1}$ and then the reduced costs $s_{N}=c_{N}-N^{\top} y$
- If $s_{N} \geq 0, x$ is optimal and stop; Else, choose $q \in \mathcal{N}$ with $s_{q}<0$.
- Compute $u=B^{-1} A_{q}$. If $u \leq 0$, then ( P ) is unbounded and stop.
- If $\exists u_{k}>0$, then find $x_{q}^{+}=\min _{i=1, \ldots, m \mid u_{i}>0} \frac{x_{\mathcal{B}(i)}}{u_{i}}$ and use $p$ to denote the minimizing $i$. Set $x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}=x_{\mathcal{B}}-u x_{q}^{+}$.
- Change $\mathcal{B}$ by adding $q$ and removing the basic variable corresponding to column $p$ of $B$.


Simplex iterates for a two-dimensional problem

## Finite Termination of the simplex method

## Theorem

Suppose that the LP $(P)$ is nondegenerate and bounded, the simplex method terminates at a basic optimal point.

- nondegenerate: $x_{\mathcal{B}}>0$ and $c^{\top} x$ is bounded
- A strict reduction of $c^{\top} x$ at each iteration
- There are only a finite number of BFS since the number of possible bases $\mathcal{B}$ is finite (there are only a finite number of ways to choose a subset of $m$ indices from $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ ), and since each basis defines a single basic feasible point

Finite termination does not mean a polynomial-time algorithm

## Linear algebra in the simplex method

- Given $B^{-1}$, we need to compute $\bar{B}^{-1}$, where

$$
B=\left[A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}\right], \quad \bar{B}:=B^{+}=\left[A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p-1}, A_{q}, A_{p+1}, \ldots, A_{m}\right]
$$

- the cost of inversion $\bar{B}^{-1}$ from scratch is $O\left(m^{3}\right)$
- Since $B B^{-1}=I$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{-1} \bar{B} & =\left[e_{1}, \ldots e_{p-1}, u, e_{p+1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right] \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & & u_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & \vdots & & \\
& & u_{p} & & \\
& & \vdots & \ddots & \\
& & u_{m} & & 1
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{i}$ is the $i$ th column of $I$ and $u=B^{-1} A_{q}$

## Linear algebra in the simplex method

- Apply a sequence of "elementary row operation"
- For each $j \neq p$, we add the $p$-th row times $-\frac{u_{j}}{u_{p}}$ to the $j$ th row. This replaces $u_{j}$ by zero.
- We divide the $p$ th row by $u_{p}$. This replaces $u_{p}$ by one.

$$
Q_{i p}=I+D_{i p}, \quad\left(D_{i p}\right)_{j l}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
-\frac{u_{j}}{u_{p}}, & (j, l)=(i, p) \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}, \text { for } i \neq p\right.
$$

- Find $Q$ such that $Q B^{-1} \bar{B}=I$. Computing $\bar{B}^{-1}$ needs only $O\left(m^{2}\right)$
- What if $B^{-1}$ is computed by the LU factorization, i.e., $B=L U$ ?
$L$ is is unit lower triangular, $U$ is upper triangular. Read section 13.4 in "Numerical Optimization", Jorge Nocedal and Stephen Wright,


## An iteration of the revised simplex method

Typically, we start from a BFS $x$ and its associate basis $\mathcal{B}$ such that $x_{B}=B^{-1} b$ and $x_{N}=0$.

- Solve $y^{\top}=c_{B}^{\top} B^{-1}$ and then the reduced costs $s_{N}=c_{N}-N^{\top} y$
- If $s_{N} \geq 0, x$ is optimal and stop; Else, choose $q \in \mathcal{N}$ with $s_{q}<0$.
- Compute $u=B^{-1} A_{q}$. If $u \leq 0$, then (P) is unbounded and stop.
- If $\exists u_{k}>0$, then find $x_{q}^{+}=\min _{i=1, \ldots, m \mid u_{i}>0} \frac{x_{\mathcal{B}(i)}}{u_{i}}$ and use $p$ to denote the minimizing $i$. Set $x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}=x_{\mathcal{B}}-u x_{q}^{+}$.
- Form the $m \times(m+1)$ matrix $\left[B^{-1} \mid u\right]$. Add to each one of its rows a multiple of the $p$ th row to make the last column equal to the unit vector $e_{p}$. The first $m$ columns of the result is the matrix $\bar{B}^{-1}$.


## Selection of the entering index (pivoting rule)

Reduced costs $s_{N}=c_{N}-N^{\top} y, c^{\top} x^{+}=c^{\top} x+s_{q} x_{q}^{+}$

- Dantzig: chooses $q \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $s_{q}$ is the most negative component
- Bland's rule: choose the smallest $j \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $s_{j}<0$; out of all variables $x_{i}$ that are tied in the test for choosing an exiting variable, select the one with with the smallest value $i$.
- Steepest-edge: choose $q \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\frac{c^{\top} \eta_{q}}{\left\|\eta_{q}\right\|}$ is minimized, where

$$
x^{+}=\binom{x_{B}^{+}}{x_{N}^{+}}=\binom{x_{B}}{x_{N}}+\binom{-B^{-1} A_{q}}{e_{q}} x_{q}=x+\eta_{q} x_{q}^{+}
$$

efficient computation of this rule is available

## Degenerate steps and cycling

Let $q$ be the entering variable:

$$
x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}=B^{-1} b-B^{-1} A_{q} x_{q}^{+}=x_{B}-x_{q}^{+} u, \text { where } u=B^{-1} A_{q}
$$

- Degenerate step: there exists $i \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x_{i}=0$ and $u_{i}>0$. Then $x_{i}^{+}<0$ if $x_{q}^{+}>0$. Hence, $x_{q}^{+}=0$ and do the pivoting
- Degenerate step may still be useful because they change the basis $\mathcal{B}$, and the updated $\mathcal{B}$ may be closer to the optimal basis.
- cycling: after a number of successive degenerate steps, we may return to an earlier basis $\mathcal{B}$
- Cycling has been observed frequently in the large LPs that arise as relaxations of integer programming problems
- Avoid cycling: Bland's rule and Lexicographically pivoting rule


## Finding an initial BFS

The two-phase simplex method

$$
\begin{array}{llrl}
\text { (P) } \begin{array}{llrl}
\min & c^{\top} x & \text { (P0) } \tilde{f}=\min & z_{1}+z_{2}+\ldots+z_{m} \\
\mathrm{s.t.} & A x=b & \text { s.t. } & A x+z=b \\
& x \geq 0 & & x \geq 0, \quad z \geq 0
\end{array}, ~
\end{array}
$$

- A BFS to (PO): $x=0$ and $z=b$
- If $x$ is feasible to (P), then $(x, 0)$ is feasible to (PO)
- If the optimal cost $\tilde{f}$ of $(\mathrm{PO})$ is nonzero, then $(\mathrm{P})$ is infeasible
- If $\tilde{f}=0$, then its optimal solution must satisfies: $z=0$ and $x$ is feasible to (P)
- An optimal basis $\mathcal{B}$ to (P0) may contain some components of $z$


## Finding an initial BFS

$(x, z)$ is optimal to (PO) with some components of $z$ in the basis

- Assume $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ are in the basis matrix with $k<m$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
B=\left[A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \mid \text { some columns of } I\right] \\
B^{-1} A=\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}, B^{-1} A_{k+1}, \ldots, B^{-1} A_{n}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

- Suppose that $\ell$ th basic variable is an artificial variable
- If the $\ell$ th row of $B^{-1} A$ is zero, then $g^{\top} A=0^{\top}$, where $g^{\top}$ is the $\ell$ th row of $B^{-1}$. If $g^{\top} b \neq 0,(\mathrm{P})$ is infeasible. Otherwise, $A$ has linearly dependent rows. Remove the $\ell$ th row.
- There exists $j$ such that the $\ell$ th entry of $B^{-1} A_{j}$ is nonzero. Then $A_{j}$ is linearly independent to $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$. Perform elementary row operation to replace $B^{-1} A_{j}$ to be the $\ell$ th unit vector. Driving one of $z$ out of the basis


## The primal simplex method for LP

$$
\begin{array}{lllcl}
\text { (P) } \begin{array}{llll}
\min & c^{\top} x & \text { (D) } & \max
\end{array} b^{\top} y \\
\text { s.t. } & A x=b & & \text { s.t. } & A^{\top} y+s=c \\
& x \geq 0 & & & s \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

- KKT condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
A x & =b, \quad x \geq 0 \\
A^{\top} y+s & =c, \quad s \geq 0 \\
x_{i} s_{i} & =0 \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

- The primal simplex method generates

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{B} & =B^{-1} b \geq 0, \quad x_{N}=0, \\
y & =B^{-T} c_{B} \\
s_{B} & =c_{B}-B^{\top} y=0, s_{N}=c_{N}-N^{\top} y ? 0
\end{aligned}
$$
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## The dual simplex method for LP

- The dual simplex method generates

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{B} & =B^{-1} b ? 0, \quad x_{N}=0 \\
y & =B^{-T} c_{B}, \\
s_{B} & =c_{B}-B^{\top} y=0, s_{N}=c_{N}-N^{\top} y \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $x_{B} \geq 0$, then $(x, y, s)$ is optimal
- Otherwise, select $q \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x_{q}<0$ to exit the basis, select $r \in \mathcal{N}$ to enter the basis, i.e., $s_{r}^{+}=0$
- The update is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{B}^{+}=s_{B}+\alpha e_{q} \quad \text { obvious } \\
& y^{+}=y+\alpha v \quad \text { requirement }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The dual simplex method for LP

- What is $v$ ? Since $A^{\top} y^{+}+s^{+}=c$, it holds

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{B}^{+}=c_{B}-B^{\top} y^{+} \\
\Longrightarrow s_{B}+\alpha e_{q}=c_{B}-B^{\top}(y+\alpha v) \Longrightarrow e_{q}=-B^{\top} v
\end{gathered}
$$

- The update of the dual objective function

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{\top} y^{+} & =b^{\top} y+\alpha b^{\top} v \\
& =b^{\top} y-\alpha b^{\top} B^{-T} e_{q} \\
& =b^{\top} y-\alpha x_{B}^{\top} e_{q} \\
& =b^{\top} y-\alpha x_{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $x_{q}<0$ and we maximize $b^{\top} y^{+}$, we choose $\alpha$ as large as possible, but require $s_{N}^{+} \geq 0$


## The dual simplex method for LP

- Let $w=N^{\top} v=-N^{\top} B^{-T} e_{q}$. Since $A y+s=c$ and $A^{\top} y^{+}+s^{+}=c$, it holds

$$
s_{N}^{+}=c_{N}-N^{\top} y^{+}=s_{N}-\alpha N^{\top} v=s_{N}-\alpha w \geq 0
$$

- The largest $\alpha$ is

$$
\alpha=\min _{j \in \mathcal{N}, w_{j}>0} \frac{s_{j}}{w_{j}} .
$$

Let $r$ be the index at which the minimum is achieved.

$$
s_{r}^{+}=0, \quad w_{r}=A_{r}^{\top} v>0
$$

- (D) is unbounded if $w \leq 0$


## The dual simplex method for LP: update of $x^{+}$

We have: $B x_{B}=b, x_{q}^{+}=0, x_{r}^{+}=\gamma$ and $A x^{+}=b$, i.e.,

$$
B x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}+\gamma A_{r}=b \Longrightarrow x_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}=B^{-1} b-\gamma B^{-1} A_{r},
$$

where $B d=A_{r}$. Then $A x^{+}=b$ gives

$$
B\left(x_{\mathcal{B}}-\gamma d\right)+\gamma A_{r}=b \text { for any } \gamma
$$

Since it is required $x_{q}^{+}=0$, we set

$$
\gamma=\frac{x_{q}}{d_{q}}, \text { where } d_{q}=d^{\top} e_{q}=A_{r}^{\top} B^{-T} e_{q}=-A_{r}^{\top} v=-w_{r}<0
$$

Therefore

$$
x_{i}^{+}= \begin{cases}x_{i}-\gamma d_{i}, & \text { for } i \in \mathcal{B} \text { with } i \neq q, \\ 0, & i=q, \\ 0, & i \in \mathcal{N} \text { with } i \neq r, \\ \gamma, & i=r\end{cases}
$$

## An iteration of the dual simplex method

Typically, we start from a dual feasible $(y, s)$ and its associate basis $\mathcal{B}$ such that $x_{B}=B^{-1} b$ and $x_{N}=0$.

- If $x_{B} \geq 0$, then $x$ is optimal and stop. Else, choose $q$ such that $x_{q}<0$.
- Compute $v=-B^{-T} e_{q}$ and $w=N^{\top} v$. If $w \leq 0$, then ( D ) is unbounded and stop.
- If $\exists w_{k}>0$, then find $\alpha=\min _{j \in \mathcal{N}, w_{j}>0} \frac{s_{j}}{w_{j}}$ and use $r$ to denote the minimizing $j$. Set $s_{B}^{+}=s_{B}+\alpha e_{q}, s_{N}^{+}=s_{N}-\alpha w$ and $y^{+}=y+\alpha v$.
- Change $\mathcal{B}$ by adding $r$ and removing the basic variable corresponding to column $q$ of $B$.
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## Primal-Dual Methods for LP

(P) $\min c^{\top} x$
(D) $\max b^{\top} y$
s.t. $\quad A x=b$
$x \geq 0$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { s.t. } & A^{\top} y+s=c \\
& s \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

- KKT condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
A x & =b, \quad x \geq 0 \\
A^{\top} y+s & =c, \quad s \geq 0 \\
x_{i} s_{i} & =0 \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

- Perturbed system

$$
\begin{aligned}
A x & =b, \quad x \geq 0 \\
A^{\top} y+s & =c, \quad s \geq 0 \\
x_{i} s_{i} & =\sigma \mu \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Newton's method

- Let $(x, y, s)$ be the current estimate with $(x, s)>0$
- Let $(\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta s)$ be the search direction
- Let $\mu=\frac{1}{n} x^{\top} s$ and $\sigma \in(0,1)$. Hope to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(x+\Delta x) & =b \\
A^{\top}(y+\Delta y)+s+\Delta s & =c \\
\left(x_{i}+\Delta x_{i}\right)\left(s_{i}+\Delta s_{i}\right) & =\sigma \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

- dropping the nonlinaer term $\Delta x_{i} \Delta s_{i}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \Delta x & =r_{p}:=b-A x \\
A^{\top} \Delta y+\Delta s & =r_{d}:=c-A^{\top} y-s \\
x_{i} \Delta s_{i}+\Delta x_{i} s_{i} & =\left(r_{c}\right)_{i}:=\sigma \mu-x_{i} s_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Newton's method

- Let $\mathrm{L}_{x}=\operatorname{Diag}(x)$ and $\mathrm{L}_{s}=\operatorname{Diag}(s)$. The matrix form is:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A^{\top} & I \\
\mathrm{~L}_{s} & 0 & \mathrm{~L}_{x}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Delta x \\
\Delta y \\
\Delta s
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
r_{p} \\
r_{d} \\
r_{c}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Solving this system we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta y & =\left(A \mathrm{~L}_{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~L}_{x} A^{\top}\right)^{-1}\left(r_{p}+A \mathrm{~L}_{s}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{x} r_{d}-r_{c}\right)\right) \\
\Delta s & =r_{d}-A^{\top} \Delta y \\
\Delta x & =-\mathrm{L}_{s}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{x} \Delta s-r_{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The matrix $A \mathrm{~L}_{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~L}_{x} A^{\top}$ is symmetric and positive definite if $A$ is full rank


## The Primal-Dual Path-following Method

Given $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}, s^{0}\right)$ with $\left(x^{0}, s^{0}\right) \geq 0$. A typical iteration is

- Choose $\mu=\left(x^{k}\right)^{\top} s^{k} / n, \sigma \in(0,1)$ and solve

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A^{\top} & I \\
\mathrm{~L}_{s^{k}} & 0 & \mathrm{~L}_{x^{k}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\Delta x^{k} \\
\Delta y^{k} \\
\Delta s^{k}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
r_{p}^{k} \\
r_{d}^{k} \\
r_{c}^{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Set

$$
\left(x^{k+1}, y^{k+1}, s^{k+1}\right)=\left(x^{k}, y^{k}, s^{k}\right)+\alpha_{k}\left(\Delta x^{k}, \Delta y^{k}, \Delta s^{k}\right)
$$

choosing $\alpha_{k}$ such that $\left(x^{k+1}, s^{k+1}\right)>0$

The choices of centering parameter $\sigma$ and step length $\alpha_{k}$ are crucial to the performance of the method.

## The Central Path

- The primal-dual feasible and strictly feasible sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} & =\left\{(x, y, s) \mid A x=b, A^{\top} y+s=c,(x, s) \geq 0\right\} \\
\mathcal{F}^{o} & =\left\{(x, y, s) \mid A x=b, A^{\top} y+s=c,(x, s)>0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The central path is $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left(x_{\tau}, y_{\tau}, s_{\tau}\right) \mid \tau>0\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A x_{\tau} & =b, \quad x_{\tau}>0 \\
A^{\top} y_{\tau}+s_{\tau} & =c, \quad s_{\tau}>0 \\
\left(x_{\tau}\right)_{i}\left(s_{\tau}\right)_{i} & =\tau \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

- Central path neighborhoods, for $\theta, \gamma \in[0,1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_{2}(\theta) & =\left\{(x, y, s) \in \mathcal{F}^{o} \mid\left\|\mathrm{L}_{x} \mathrm{~L}_{s} e-\mu e\right\|_{2} \leq \theta \mu\right\} \\
\mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma) & =\left\{(x, y, s) \in \mathcal{F}^{o} \mid x_{i} s_{i} \geq \gamma \mu\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Tyically, $\theta=0.5$ and $\gamma=10^{-3}$


Central path, projected into space of primal variables $x$, showing a typical neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$

## The Long-Step Path-following Method

Given $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}, s^{0}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma)$. A typical iteration is

- Choose $\mu=\left(x^{k}\right)^{\top} s^{k} / n, \sigma \in(0,1)$ and solve

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A^{\top} & I \\
\mathrm{~L}_{s^{k}} & 0 & \mathrm{~L}_{x^{k}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\Delta x^{k} \\
\Delta y^{k} \\
\Delta s^{k}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
r_{p}^{k} \\
r_{d}^{k} \\
r_{c}^{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Set $\alpha_{k}$ be the largest value of $\alpha \in[0,1]$ such that $\left(x^{k+1}, y^{k+1}, s^{k+1}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma)$ where

$$
\left(x^{k+1}, y^{k+1}, s^{k+1}\right)=\left(x^{k}, y^{k}, s^{k}\right)+\alpha_{k}\left(\Delta x^{k}, \Delta y^{k}, \Delta s^{k}\right)
$$



## Analysis of Primal-Dual Path-Following

(1) If $(x, y, s) \in \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma)$, then $\|\Delta x \circ \Delta s\| \leq 2^{-3 / 2}(1+1 / \gamma) n \mu$
(2) The long-step path-following method yields

$$
\mu_{k+1} \leq\left(1-\frac{\delta}{n}\right) \mu_{k}
$$

where $\delta=2^{3 / 2} \gamma \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma} \sigma(1-\sigma)$
(3) Given $\epsilon, \gamma \in(0,1)$, suppose that the starting point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}, s^{0}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma)$. Then there exists $K=O(n \log (1 / \epsilon))$ such that

$$
\mu_{k} \leq \epsilon \mu_{0}, \quad \text { for all } k \geq K
$$

Proof of 3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\mu_{k+1}\right) & \leq \log \left(1-\frac{\delta}{n}\right)+\log \left(\mu_{k}\right) \\
\log (1+\beta) & \leq \beta, \quad \forall \beta>-1
\end{aligned}
$$

